This is a collection of data on the construction operation and performance of the two image dissector cameras. Some of this data is useful in deciding whether certain shortcomings are significant for a given application and if so how to compensate for them.
INTRODUCTION:

The following is a collection of bits and pieces of information about the two image dissector cameras attached to the computers (PDP-6 and PDP-10) of the Artificial Intelligence Group at Project MAC. Most of this data concerns the 'new' 'eye' and the video-processor which can be used to access both 'eyes'.

The new vidisector contains a F4010 tube manufactured by ITT Industrial Laboratories (ITTIL). This tube has a diameter of 4.5" (118 mm), a length of 14.5" (368 mm), a S-ll (Cs-8b) photocathode with a 3" (76 mm) useable diameter. The circular aperture has a diameter of .002" (.05 mm) and the electron-multiplier consists of 14 dynodes. The claimed resolution is 10 cycles (line-pairs) per mm at 50 % amplitude response, and 17 cycles/mm at 10 % amplitude response. The face-plate has a thickness of .125" (3.2 mm).

The old vidisector contains a F4011 tube manufactured by ITTIL. It has a diameter of 1.5" (38 mm), a length of 8.2" (205 mm), a S-ll photocathode with a 1.1" (28 mm) useable diameter. The circular aperture has a diameter of .001" (.025 mm) and the electron-multiplier consists of 10 dynodes. The claimed resolution is 20 cycles/mm at 50 % amplitude response, and 34 cycles/mm at 10 % amplitude response. The face-plate has a thickness of .080" (2.0 mm).

For further information on image dissectors see:

"SPECIAL PURPOSE VACUUM TUBES" ITTIL
"APPLICATIONS NOTE 96, UNIQUE PROPERTIES OF IMAGE DISSECTORS" ITTIL
"TENTATIVE DATA - VIDISSECTORS - IMAGE DISSECTOR TYPE F4010 (or F4011)" ITTIL
"RESEARCH MEMOS 309, 336, 337, 353, 385 ITTIL"
The video-processor was supplied by Information International Inc. and is described in:

"OPERATION MANUAL - IMAGE DISSECTOR CAMERA SYSTEM"

III

Further information about the use of our video processor can be found in an appendix to:

"FOCUSING" AI Memo 160
THE EYE: PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION:

The main component of the eye is the image dissector camera (vidis-sector for short). It is an evacuated tube coated on one end with a substance that converts a certain fraction of incoming photons into electrons. By means of a large applied potential and an axial magnetic field most of these electrons are imaged onto an aperture plate (see Fig 1). The electrons travelling through the aperture all come from a small area on the photocathode of approximately the same size as the aperture. These electrons now enter an electron multiplier where secondary emission produces a large number of new electrons as this stream of electrons impinges successively on each of 14 suitably coated dynodes. The current in the anode is converted to a voltage which is directly proportional to the illumination falling on the small area on the photocathode selected.

With only the axial focusing field this small area is in the centre of the photocathode. Two perpendicular radial fields allow one to move the electron image around on the aperture plate thus selecting different areas of the image. Attached to this device is the video-processor which includes the interface with the computer.

The complexity of the video-processor is in large part due to two design objectives:

1. Relative error in an intensity measurement should be independent of the intensity.

2. The video-processor should not waste time processing very dark points.
The main source of error in an intensity measurement is caused by quantisation - in effect we are counting electrons. The only reason for not actually counting them is that they may arrive at a rate of up to $2^8$ per sec. To obtain a relative error independent of intensity we must 'count' the same number of electrons for each measurement. This then implies that dark points will require an inordinate amount of time for measurement. A mechanism must be provided for ignoring points darker than a given level at an early stage. The programmer has two parameters available to control these two features:

1. Signal to noise ratio: a parameter which may be 0, 1, 2 or 3 indicating a relative error of $1/8$, $1/16$, $1/32$ and $1/64$ respectively (nominal). See Tab 1 for effective number of photo-electrons counted at each setting.

2. Dim cut off level: a parameter which may be 0, 1, 2, ..., 7 indicating a cut off at $2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, 2^{-3}$, ..., $2^{-7}$ off the maximum permissible intensity (nominal). See Tab 2 for further details.

A highly schematic diagram of some parts of the video processor (Fig 1) will illustrate the operation in more detail. The variable pulse frequency generator at the bottom of the diagram is used to allow measurements of intensities differing by more than the normal 64 to 1 dynamic range of the video-processor and also allows a reference signal to eliminate the effects of light-source intensity variations. The number read by the computer is in effect the ratio of the intensity measured on the photo-cathode and some
Fig 1
reference signal which may be selected to be the total photocathode current. In this case a change in the illumination will cause an equal change in the two factors used in the ratio and the output of the video-processor will be independent of such changes (unless they are too large).

The variable pulse frequency generator operates by selecting as many pulses as needed from a 5 Mc/s pulse source. The average frequency can be read on a meter which is 5 Mc/s full scale. These pulses are fed into a 14 bit counter when measurements are being taken.

At the same time the output of the electromultiplier is fed into an integrator whose output is attached to four comparators. These comparators fire when the integrator output reaches a voltage corresponding to \(2^7, 2^9, 2^{11}, 2^{13}\) photoelectrons through the aperture plate (nominally). These four comparators are used for the four different signal-to-noise ratios, while the \(2^2, 2^5, ... 2^9\) bits in the time-pulse counter are used in the dim-cut-off decisions.

Fig 2 illustrates this in more detail. Note that both scales are \(\log_2\). Trace A shows the voltage at the output of the integrator versus the count in the time pulse counter for a very bright point. Small crosses indicate the times at which the comparators at the output of the integrator fire. Small boxes indicate the times when the flip-flops attached to the various bits in the time pulse counter fire. Suppose we had selected a signal-to-noise ratio 2 and a dim-cut-off level 5. At point X (when the appropriate bit comes on in the time pulse counter) a test is made whether
CFL = SIGNAL TO NOISE LEVEL  DCL = DIM CUT OFF LEVEL

Fig 2
the voltage in the integrator exceeds the $\frac{1}{64} V_o$ level. In our case it does and the point is not dim-cut-off. If we had used dim cut off level 7 the test would have been made at point 2 and the processing stopped, the integrator and the counter reset and a dim-cut-off value returned to the PDP-6. In our case integration would have proceeded up to point Y where the voltage level corresponding to our selected signal to noise ratio was reached. The significant value now is the count in the time pulse counter. Note however that to obtain the same output for different choices of signal to noise ratios we need to divide by 4 for every increase in signal to noise ratio of 1. So we divide by 16 (if we had used a signal to noise ratio of 1, integration would have stopped at W and we would divide by 4).

To produce the floating point number required for transmission to the PDP-6 the 14 bit binary number is moved from the counter to a shift register when the selected comparator has fired. It is then shifted left until a one appears in the most significant place (ie. it is normalised), the number of shifts is subtracted from the initial exponent. The initial exponent is preset by the selection of signal-to-noise ratio to simulate the division by powers of 4 described above. In this way a four bit exponent (0 to $17_8$) and a six bit mantissa (00 to $77_8$) is retained. Presently exponents larger than 13 cannot occur. When the lin/log switch on the video-processor is in the lin position the right hand half word contains just this floating binary number - written together it ranges from 0 to $1300_8$. 
To facilitate using this number in the PDP-6 it is reproduced in standard format (i.e. preceded by a 2 and with the leading bit in the mantissa) in the left half.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|}
\text{STANDARD} & \text{MANTISSA} & \text{EXPO\-NENT} & \text{MANTISSA} \\
\text{FORM ExpN} & & & (S\text{HIFTED 1 \text{RIGHT}})
\end{array}
\]

This floating point number can thus range from 0.5 to 1024.0 (0-1300 in the right half).

For many purposes it is more meaningful to work with the logarithm of the incident intensity. When the lin/log switch is in the log position the last six bits are modified such that the right half is a 10 bit value of \(100_8 \cdot \log_2 I\) (since the same flip-flops are used to supply the left half word bits, these too are modified and the left half is now meaningless - actually only the last 3 bits are effected so for some purposes it could still be considered a useful value). The method used to change the value to log base 2 is to leave the exponent unchanged and to feed the mantissa into a table-look up network which finds a three bit correction to be added. This correction is always less than or equal to 5. The relation between the value obtained this way, \(J\), and the value obtained in the left-half with the switch in the lin position, \(I\), is:

\[
I = 2^{J/100} - 1
\]

Thus \(700_8\) corresponds to 64.0.
When processing is stopped because of a dim cut off, the DCF (2 bit in the left half word) is set and the value returned to the computer is that produced from the preloaded exponent (ie. it varies with signal to noise ratio and not with dim-cut-off level). The value at which the vidi-sector will just dim-cut-off an intensity on the otherhand varies in just the opposite way and the system does in fact substitute the appropriate number dependent on the selected dim-cut-off. Furthermore it is possible to obtain occasionally a value past this point because of the statistical nature of the signal - ie. at the time the dim-cut-off decision was made it appeared that the point was bright enough (remember that it has only been measured with a signal to noise ratio 0 at this stage) and was on more accurate measurement (ie. this cannot happen when one is using signal to noise ratio 0) found to be darker. Such a value is also replaced by the system with the exact value where dim-cut-off should have occurred. See Fig 3 for a summary of some of these facts.

We have now arrived at a stage where we can consider some of the more intricate interactions. Firstly note that it is possible for the time pulse counter to overflow. This will happen when we are using a very low dim-cut-off setting (0 for example), a high signal-to-noise ratio (3 for example) and are measuring a point dark enough to be near dim-cut-off (a value near 1500_3). From Fig 3 it can be seen that it is for this reason that CFL=3 and DCL=0 has much the same effect as CFL=3 and DCL=1. This implies that very dark points cannot be measured with the best signal-to-noise ratio. An overflow will cause both the 2 and the 1 bit in the left half word to be on (actually the system obtains
these bits in another I/O instruction and patches them in). Proceeding with the case CFL=3 and DCL=0 we find that values between 1200 and 1300 (approx.) (Fig. 2) will cause both the dim-cut-off and the overflow bits to be on, while points even darker than 1300 will be caught by the dim-cut-off mechanism and have only the dim-cut-off bit on. So one can measure to some extend in this range normally inaccessible to the user of CFL=3!

The other condition under which overflow is likely to happen is for CFL=2 and DCL=0 where as described above it is possible for a intensity just below the dim-cut-off level to avoid being caught by it now and then because of the noise in this signal yet on more accurate measurement cause the counter to overflow. For most purposes an overflow should be treated just like a dim-cut-off.

The other extreme condition is too small a count in the counter. This implies that we have not measured the time accurately enough (and since it is one of the factors in the ratio, it will contribute to the noise). This can only happen when the pulse generator has a very low frequency and we are looking at a very bright point (one almost bright enough to cause an ANODE WARN condition). Also it is extremely unlikely except for the CFL=0 and CFL=1 cases. To deal with this problem the count is tested against 8 for CFL=0 and 16 for CFL=1 and if less, the integration is not stopped, the measurement being taken at a higher signal to noise ratio (actually the tests are also applied in the CFL=2 and 3 cases, but they should not often pay off there; if an attempt is made to increment the CFL past 3 an overflow will result).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIM CUT OFF LEVEL</th>
<th>TIME COUNT</th>
<th>PHOTOELEC/μSEC (NOM.)</th>
<th>SAME FOR F=4 Mc/s</th>
<th>FRACTION OF CUTOFF(F=4 Mc/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2^9</td>
<td>2^-2*F</td>
<td>2^0</td>
<td>2^-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2^8</td>
<td>2^-1*F</td>
<td>2^1</td>
<td>2^-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2^7</td>
<td>2^0*F</td>
<td>2^2</td>
<td>2^-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2^6</td>
<td>2^1*F</td>
<td>2^3</td>
<td>2^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2^5</td>
<td>2^2*F</td>
<td>2^4</td>
<td>2^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2^4</td>
<td>2^3*F</td>
<td>2^5</td>
<td>2^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2^3</td>
<td>2^4*F</td>
<td>2^6</td>
<td>2^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2^2</td>
<td>2^5*F</td>
<td>2^7</td>
<td>2^-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNAL/NOISE LEVEL</th>
<th>EFFECT. PHOTO-ELECTRONS (NOM.)</th>
<th>85% RANGE</th>
<th>99% RANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2^7</td>
<td>± 1/8</td>
<td>± 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2^9</td>
<td>± 1/16</td>
<td>± 1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2^11</td>
<td>± 1/32</td>
<td>± 1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2^13</td>
<td>± 1/64</td>
<td>± 1/32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab 2
It is well known that photocathodes suffer temporary 'fatigue' when large current densities flow on them, i.e. with the high voltage applied and high incident light. At a somewhat higher current density the damage is permanent. Damage is probably also possible when no voltages are applied and a higher intensity is applied to the photocathode (?). The effect is thought to be thermal and occurs between 1 and $10 \mu A/cm^2$. For our vidicons the maximum safe current is considered to be $2 \mu A/cm^2$ (about $80 \mu A$ for the whole photocathode when evenly illuminated). A further source of problems exists in the electronmultiplier, where too high a gain may damage the last few dynodes, when many electrons travel through the aperture. To protect against both of these misadventures the output of the electronmultiplier (i.e. the signal fed into the integrator) is monitored and the high voltage supply is tripped out (ANODE WARN light comes on) when a current flows which nominally corresponds to $2 \mu A/cm^2$. Naturally this will only protect the whole photocathode if one scans all points on it. Presently there exist some misadjustments in the system indicating that either the ANODE WARN circuit trips out too early or the gain of the chain photomultiplier - integrator is too low. This may indicate that the effective number of electrons counted at a given signal-to-noise ratio is wrong, thus effecting the measured signal to noise ratio somewhat (the discrepancy is not large here).
DISTORTIONS

The X and Y deflection values (0-400000) supplied by the PDP-6 are fed into two 14 bit DAC converters which drive voltage to current converters. These in turn drive a current which may be several amperes through the horizontal and vertical deflection coils. This current is measured by small series resistors and fed back to allow an accurate relation between the voltage and the current. Because of coupling between the coils and a magnetic shield surrounding them their response is rather slow.

Presently the settling time of the coils is considered to be less than 70μsecs and this time is allowed to elapse before the integrator and the time pulse counter are started.

The static focus coil carries an adjustable current and allows the formation of a sharp image on the aperture plate. Because of what one might call curvature of field, accurate focus does not obtain when X and Y deflection currents are applied. Reasonably accurate focus can be restored if a small \(-\omega e\) additional focus current proportional to \(X^2 + Y^2\) is applied. This is termed dynamic focusing. The interaction of all of these field and geometric inaccuracies produces distortions in the image which are considerably larger than those found in a typical optical imaging system.

Firstly one would expect some radial distortion proportional to \(X^2 + Y^2\), (pin-cushion or drum distortion). Further a twist increasing with \(X^2 + Y^2\) (with no
optical system equivalent) will be found. Some errors will depend on X and Y only.

A program has been written to measure the position in address-space of a 10. by 10. grid of points projected on the photocathode. The above errors are present and account for perhaps half of the distortion - the rest is highly unsymmetrical and cannot be so easily explained and parameterised. For this reason a 10. by 10. matrix of X and Y values in address space of these points is stored on DSK: and can be used in interpolation. See Fig 4 for a graphic demonstration of these distortions. Also Fig 5 is a program using these tables.

The photocathode has a non-uniform sensitivity, aside from very local phenomena such as Beeler's craters (about 25 areas of about 0.5 mm diameter with less than half the normal sensitivity). Aside from very small variations over the order of a few mm's this non-uniformity varies smoothly and can thus easily be incorporated in the above 10. by 10. interpolation subroutine. See Fig. 7 for a contour map of the sensitivity of the photocathode alone (measured by closing the iris to 20 mm diameter, removing the lens, placing a piece of tracing paper in front of the iris and producing a more or less uniform illumination of this tracing paper by placing a large white sheet in front of the BYE). This map correlates well with measurements made in other ways with the lens on and the iris closed down. With the iris fully open, a large number of overlapping constrictions cause serious vignetting and a rapid drop of in system sensitivity towards the edge of the field of view (see Fig 8).
DELX = 5.4594  DELY = 5.4594  XNT = -24.5673  YNT = -24.5673

XUD = 188.36 * XD + -1.01 * YD + 8174.7
YUD = -3.95 * XD + -211.28 * YD + 7655.1

Fig. 4   ARROWS EXAGGERATED 3 TIMES
INTERPOLATION FROM THE STORED GRID:

Fig 5 contains the interpolation formula found when fitting a function \( a_n + a_{n}x + a_{m}y + a_{n}xy \) to the values at the four corners of a little elemental square in the grid. The photocathode space is measured in mm's from the approximate centre of the photocathode. \( Y \) is measured down to allow a right handed system with \( z \) pointing towards the scene from the EIE. The orientation as shown is that which would appear on the monitor (hence inverted in both \( X \) and \( Y \) as far as the back of the photocathode is concerned). Convolutions with larger support (rather than the 4 points chosen here) could be used, but little extra accuracy can be expected.

Also available is a least squares linear approximation

\[
\begin{align*}
X &= a_x XD + a_{xy} YD + X_0 \\
Y &= a_{yx} XD + a_y YD + Y_0
\end{align*}
\]

\( a_x \approx 200, \quad a_{xy} \approx a_{yx} \approx 0, \quad a_y \approx -210 \),

\( X_0 \approx 8200, \quad Y_0 \approx 8200 \).

In fact Fig 4 showing the distortion is wrt coordinates found in this way.
TO ESTIMATE \( f(x,y) \) WE INTERPOLATE A POLYNOMIAL OF THE FORM:

\[
f(x,y) \approx (1 - \frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} - \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y} + \frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}) f_{i,j} \\
+ (\frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} - \frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}) f_{i,j+1} \\
+ (\frac{\delta y}{\Delta y} - \frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}) f_{i+1,j} \\
+ \frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y} f_{i+1,j+1} \quad \text{Fig 5a}
\]
EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM:

XNT = x₀
YNT = y₀
DELX = Δx
DELY = Δy
DHNX = δx/Δx
DHNY = δy/Δy
QNT = c
QNY = j

SCLX = aₓ
SCLXY = aₓᵧ
SCLX = aₓ x
SCLY = aᵧ

CNTX = x₀
CNTY = y₀

INPUT TO GETVID IS XX,YY IN KM'S.
OUTPUT FROM - XSUM,YSUM VID1 COORDINATES.

THESE ARE FIXED (0-377778) LEFT HALF WORDS
(AS NEEDED FOR .VS CAN)

OFFSET = 1 → USE LINEAR APPROXIMATION
           = 2 → INTERPOLATION

BAD? = 0 → BSCL = 1.0
       = 1 → BSCL = SENSITIVITY OF PHOTO CATHODE
XCORD: BLOCK 10.*10.  #X COORDINATES OF GRID
YCORD: BLOCK 10.*10.  #Y COORDINATES OF GRID
BSQRD: BLOCK 10.*10.  #SENSITIVITY AT GRID POINTS

SOLX: 194.0  #VOLD UNITS PER AD MM
SOLXY: 0.0
SOLYX: 0.0
SOLY: -216.0  #VOLD UNITS PER YD MM

CNTX: 6192.0  #HORIZ CENTRE OF VOLD
CNXY: 6192.0  #VERTIC CENTRE OF VOLD

DELA: 5.5037190  #HORIZONTAL SPACING OF GRID IN MM'S
DELY: 5.5037190  #VERTICAL SPACING OF GRID IN MM'S
XN: -24.757063  #CORNER X COORDINATE OF GRID
YN: -24.757063  #CORNER Y COORDINATE OF GRID

NNX: 10.  #NUMBER OF POINTS HORIZONTALLY IN GRID
NNY: 10.  #NUMBER OF POINTS VERTICALLY IN GRID
OPTGET: 1  #1 = LINEAR TRANSFORMATION, 2 = INTERPOLATION
BADJ: 0  #NON-ZERO TO REQUEST ADJUST OF SENSITIVE

PHIDAT: BLOCK 20.*20.  #REFLECTIVITY DATA
NPH: 20  #SIZE OF THIS MATRIX

F: 330.0  #EXIT PUPIL TO PHOTOCATHODE DISTANCE
FCLN: 254.0  #FOCAL LENGTH

XSLIM: 100.0  #TETHOR ON XS
LAM: 80.0  #URAGUSTER LIMIT ON LAMBDA

AD: 0  #ADADOR1012
DCSTV: 1000.0  #UCF STANDARD SUBSTITUTE
VERYS: 0.1  #SMALLEST REASONABLE FRAME SIZE

MI: 0.65  #CONTRACTION FACTOR
LAM: 30.0  #MAXIMUM RADIUS OF IMAGE SPACE
REDL: 0.5  #REDUCTION LIMIT

OPT: 1  #DIVISION OPTION

XCENT: 0  #X OF CENTRE OF IMAGE OF SPHERE
YCENT: 0  #Y OF CENTRE OF IMAGE OF SPHERE

XNORTH: 0  #EXTREMITIES
YNORTH: 0
XWEST: 0
YWEST: 0
XSOUTH: 0
YSOUTH: 0
XEAST: 0
YEAST: 0

RAUCIR: 0  #MEASURED RADIUS ON PHOTOCATH
RHO: 0  #CALCULATED TRUE RADIUS IN 3-D
CMUCF: 0  #VALUE GIVEN TO DIM POINTS

DATI03: XCORD-..XCORD  #IO PONIER
WRDUBAT: OPEN DATCHN, D10PN
*WHITE OUT MEMORY
*VALUE
MOVE R1, D110D
IUT DATCHN, R1
CLOSE DATCHN, R

READDAT: OPEN DATCHN, D10PN
*READ IN MEMORY
*VALUE
MOVE R1, D110D
IUT DATCHN, R1
CLOSE DATCHN, R

GETVID: NZ EDGFLG
*INITIALIZES HIT EDGE FLAG
IF BSCL=1.0
M H7, OPTGET
CALCULATE VID1 COORDINATES
J . (R7)
SELECT INTERPOLATION OPTION
SKIPA
J CMPRD

SIMORD: GET COORDINATES THE GOOD OLD WAY
IF XSUM=XX*SCLX+YY*SCLY+CNTX
JUMPGE R1, NULIFC
SZ XSUM
TOO FAR LEFT
J NUDIFB
NULIFC: CAMG R1, (16383.0)
J NUDIFA
IF XSUM=16383.0
NUDIFB: SO EDGFLG
MARK THAT WE WENT OVER EDGE

NUDIFA:
IF YSUM=XX*SCLY+YY*SCLY+CNTY
JUMPGE R1, NEDIFC
SZ YSUM
TOO FAR DOWN
J NEDIFB
NEDIFC: CAMG R1, (16383.0)
J NEDIFA
IF YSUM=16383.0
NEDIFB: SO EDGFLG
MARK THAT WE WENT OVER EDGE
NEDIFA: SKIPN EDGFLG

LAMB: RECALCULATE XX, YY IN CASE OF EDGE PROBLEMS
IF DELT=SCLX*SCLY-SCLXY*SCLYX
XX=((XSUM-CNTX)*SCLY-(YSUM-CNTY)*SCLXY)/DELT
YY=((XSUM-CNTX)*SCLY-(YSUM-CNTY)*SCLX)/DELT
SKIPA
LAMB: A (P)
FIX AND PUT IN LEFT HALF WORD
XSUM=FIX<XSUM>*1000000
YSUM=FIX<YSUM>*1000000
R
CALCOX:
  IF DMNX' = -FLOAT<UN1> = FIX<171P' = (XX'-XNT)/DELX>>ITZIP R

CALCOY:
  IF DMNY' = -FLOAT<UNJ' = FIX<JZ1P' = (YY'-YNT)/DELY>>JZIP R

CMPCRD: S2 EDGFLG  ; COMPUTE INTERPOLATED COORDINATE
          Q CALCOX  ; CALCULATE DMNX, ITZIP
          Q CALCOY  ; CALCULATE DMNY, JZIP

SKIPL R1, ITZIP  ; DID WE HIT EDGE ON LEFT
  J NONIOK
  IF XX=XNT
    J NPNAAA

NONIOK: M R1, UNI
          A K1
          CAMGE R1, NNX  ; DID WE HIT EDGE ON THE RIGHT
          J NPNI0K
          IF XX=XNT+9.0*DELX-.01
            J NPNAAA

NPNAAA: SU EDGFLG  ; MARK EDGE PROBLEMS
          Q CALCOX  ; RECALCULATE SOME

NPNI0K: SKIPL R1, JZIP  ; DID WE HIT TOP EDGE
  J NONIOK
  IF YY=YNT
    J NONAAA

NONIOK: M R1, UNJ
          A K1
          CAMGE R1, NNJ  ; DID WE HIT BOTTOM EDGE
          J NPNI0K
          IF YY=YNT+9.0*DELY-.01
            J NPNAAA

NPNAAA: SU EDGFLG  ; MARK EDGE PROBLEMS
          Q CALCOY  ; RECALCULATE SOME

NPNI0K: SU SB R5, NNX, UNJ, UNI
          M R4, R5  ; GENERATE POINTERS INTO ARRAYS
ALD R4, NNX
          \  G E N E R A T E C O E F F I C I E N T S
          IF COF1' = 1.0-DMNX-DMNY+COF4' = DMNX*DMNY
          IF COF3' = COF1-3DF4
          IF COF2' = COF4*DMNY+COF4
          \  I N T E R P O L A T E
          IF XSUM' = FIX<COF1*XCORD(R5)+COF2*XCORD(R4) $
          IF +COF3*XCORD(R5+1)+COF4*XCORD(R4+1)>
          IF YSUM' = FIX<COF1*YCORD(R5)+COF2*YCORD(R4) $
          IF +COF3*YCORD(R5+1)+COF4*YCORD(R4+1)>
          SKIPN 3 ADJ
          J NOBADJ
          IF BSGL = COF1*2SCRD(R5)+COF2*2SCRD(R4) $
          IF +COF3*3SCRD(R3+1)+COF4*3SCRD(R4+1)
          NOBADJ: MOVSS XSUM  ; PUT IN LEFT HALF
                    MOVSS YSUM  ; PUT IN LEFT HALF
                    SKIPN EDGFLG

COMREF: A (P)
OUTLINE OF SENSITIVE
AREA OF THE VIDISECTOR:
(10" LENS)
AND POINT-SPREADS
(10 TIMES EXAGGERATED)
HALF-INTENSITY CONTOURS

PARAXIAL: .18 mm DIAMETER
NEAR EDGE: .18 x .6

Fig 6
Fig 9

Noise (Variance)/Signal (Average)

\[ \text{CFL} = 0 \]
\[ 11\% \]

\[ \text{CFL} = 1 \]
\[ 5.4\% \]

\[ \text{CFL} = 2 \]
\[ 2.8\% \]

\[ \text{CFL} = 3 \]
\[ 1.6\% \]

Time Quantisation Effect

Over/Flow

1/Intensity
FOCAL LENGTH: 254 mm
MAXIMUM F STOP: 5.1
FOCAL LENGTH: 164 mm
MAXIMUM F STOP: 3.3
80 mm Hasselblad:

**FOCAL LENGTH:** 80 mm

**MAXIMUM F STOP:** 2.8

**Note:** Open Iris in Lens mount to avoid vignetting.
A slide adaptor is available for this lens.
Fig. 13

\[ d = 62 + 0.04657 \times V \]

14 mm/second maximum velocity

Jitter \( \pm 6 \%

Input from CH 34 \( \pm V \times 15 \%

V is output to CH 33

Fig. 14

\[ d = 43 - 0.036 \times V \]

Jitter \( \pm 20 \%

Input from CH 33 \( \pm V - 13 \%

V is output to CH 32
ROE'S SETTLING TIME GRAPH

\[ \tau = 25 \times t^{2/3} \]

settles to within 0.1 mm

\[ \tau = 5 \times t^{4/3} \]

settles to within 0.1 mm

\( \mu \text{sec} \uparrow \)

Semi-Logarithmic
2 cycles x 10 to the inch
## LIST OF BEELER'S CRATERS ON NEW VIDISSECTOR PHOTOCATHODE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>'Volume' (arbitrary scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6370</td>
<td>13420</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8700</td>
<td>12830</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>12280</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11080</td>
<td>12200</td>
<td>.25 by .40</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10460</td>
<td>11900</td>
<td>.25 by .33</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12270</td>
<td>11700</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10570</td>
<td>11520</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10080</td>
<td>11110</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8770</td>
<td>9850</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>9280</td>
<td>.12 by .33</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11720</td>
<td>8940</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5620</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13580</td>
<td>7980</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5280</td>
<td>7730</td>
<td>.12 by .40</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6560</td>
<td>7350</td>
<td>.08 by .20</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9900</td>
<td>6870</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>12460</td>
<td>6570</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>9060</td>
<td>6460</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>9030</td>
<td>5760</td>
<td>.08 by .25</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4040</td>
<td>5680</td>
<td>.16 by .40</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5620</td>
<td>4920</td>
<td>.16 by .40</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4930</td>
<td>4820</td>
<td>.16 by .85</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The old vidissector can be accessed through the video-processor much the same way as the new one. Major differences exist in noise levels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFL</th>
<th>Noise (variance)/signal(average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>OLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There also exist a number of interactions in the wiring causing both measured intensity and noise to vary with the state of other electrical equipment near by.

The lens is a Canon F1.3 Zoom lens (15 mm - 140 mm) (which seems to have too small a field of view for the photocathode). The zoom and focus servo data can be found in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2013. - 4000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>850. - 4000.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because of the noisyness of the Monitor-display it was not possible to set up experiments to measure the parameters found for the new vidissector.

The lens is connected to a 1.6 times expander which changes field of view to the required 26 mm, its f-number to 2.08 (nominally) and its focal length to 24 mm - 192 mm.
The image is superbly sharp even at maximum aperture opening and especially so when the aperture is closed down. Because aberration correction has been made for vidicon and 16 mm film camera use.

Brightest 16 mm zoom lens with f 1.3 speed for entire 15-120 mm zoom range.

Constant focus through entire zoom range.

Finest color correction by patented "spectra" coating with amber and magenta colors.

Anti-reflection multicoating: Prevent loss of lightflares and ghosts to the lens surfaces.

Most satisfactory performance assured by 30 years of proven lens research and manufacture.
Sensitivity of old vidsector
with iris fully open:
Canon Zoom lens
5000 (The outline of the field
of view is fairly accurate)

Fig 19