

Ensemble learning

Lecture 13

David Sontag
New York University

Slides adapted from Navneet Goyal, Tan, Steinbach,
Kumar, Vibhav Gogate


Ensemble methods

Machine learning competition with a \$1 million prize


Leaderboard

Display top leaders.

Rank	Team Name	Best Score	% Improvement	Last Submit Time
1	The Ensemble	0.8553	10.10	2009-07-26 18:38:22
2	BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos	0.8554	10.09	2009-07-26 18:18:28
Grand Prize - RMSE <= 0.8563				
3	Grand Prize Team	0.8571	9.91	2009-07-24 13:07:49
4	Opera Solutions and Vandelay United	0.8573	9.89	2009-07-25 20:05:52
5	Vandelay Industries !	0.8579	9.83	2009-07-26 02:49:53
6	PragmaticTheory	0.8582	9.80	2009-07-12 15:09:53
7	BellKor in BigChaos	0.8590	9.71	2009-07-26 12:57:25
8	Dace	0.8603	9.58	2009-07-24 17:18:43
9	Opera Solutions	0.8611	9.49	2009-07-26 18:02:08
10	BellKor	0.8612	9.48	2009-07-26 17:19:11
11	BigChaos	0.8613	9.47	2009-06-23 23:06:52
12	Feeds2	0.8613	9.47	2009-07-24 20:06:46
Progress Prize 2008 - RMSE = 0.8616 - Winning Team: BellKor in BigChaos				
13	xianqiang	0.8633	9.26	2009-07-21 02:04:40
14	Gravity	0.8634	9.25	2009-07-26 15:58:34
15	Ces	0.8642	9.17	2009-07-25 17:42:38
16	Invisible Ideas	0.8644	9.14	2009-07-20 03:26:12
17	Just a guy in a garage	0.8650	9.08	2009-07-22 14:10:42
18	Craig Carmichael	0.8656	9.02	2009-07-25 16:00:54
19	J Dennis Su	0.8658	9.00	2009-03-11 09:41:54
20	acmehill	0.8659	8.99	2009-04-16 06:29:35
Progress Prize 2007 - RMSE = 0.8712 - Winning Team: KorBell				
Cinematch score on quiz subset - RMSE = 0.9514				



Bias/Variance Tradeoff



Hastie, Tibshirani, Friedman "Elements of Statistical Learning" 2001

Reduce Variance Without Increasing Bias

- **Averaging** reduces variance:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{N} \quad (\text{when predictions are independent})$$

Average models to reduce model variance

One problem:


only one training set

where do multiple models come from?

Bagging: Bootstrap Aggregation

- Leo Breiman (1994)
- Take repeated **bootstrap samples** from training set D
- *Bootstrap sampling*: Given set D containing N training examples, create D' by drawing N examples at random **with replacement** from D .
- Bagging:
 - Create k bootstrap samples $D_1 \dots D_k$.
 - Train distinct classifier on each D_i .
 - Classify new instance by majority vote / average.

General Idea



Bagging

- Sampling with replacement

Training Data
↙

Data ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Original Data	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Bagging (Round 1)	7	8	10	8	2	5	10	10	5	9
Bagging (Round 2)	1	4	9	1	2	3	2	7	3	2
Bagging (Round 3)	1	8	5	10	5	5	9	6	3	7

- Build classifier on each bootstrap sample
- Each data point has probability $(1 - 1/n)^n$ of being selected as test data
- Training data = $1 - (1 - 1/n)^n$ of the original data


The 0.632 bootstrap

- This method is also called the *0.632 bootstrap*
 - A particular training data has a probability of $1-1/n$ of *not* being picked
 - Thus its probability of ending up in the test data (not selected) is:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \approx e^{-1} = 0.368$$


- This means the training data will contain approximately 63.2% of the instances

Bagging Example




decision tree learning algorithm; very similar to ID3

CART decision boundary




100 bagged trees



shades of blue/red indicate strength of vote for particular classification

Example of Bagging

Assume that the training data is:



Goal: find a collection of 10 simple thresholding classifiers that collectively can classify correctly.

-Each simple (or weak) classifier is:

($x \leq K \rightarrow$ class = +1 or -1 depending on which value yields the lowest error; where K is determined by entropy minimization)

Random Forests

- Ensemble method specifically designed for decision tree classifiers
- Introduce two sources of randomness: “Bagging” and “Random input vectors”
 - **Bagging method**: each tree is grown using a bootstrap sample of training data
 - **Random vector method**: **At each node**, best split is chosen from a random sample of m attributes instead of all attributes

Random Forests




Figure 5.40. Random forests.

Methods for Growing the Trees

- Fix a $m \leq M$. At each node
 - Method 1:
 - Choose m attributes randomly, compute their information gains, and choose the attribute with the largest gain to split
 - Method 2:
 - (When M is not very large): select L of the attributes randomly. Compute a linear combination of the L attributes using weights generated from $[-1,+1]$ randomly. That is, new $A = \text{Sum}(W_i * A_i), i=1..L$.
 - Method 3:
 - Compute the information gain of all M attributes. Select the top m attributes by information gain. Randomly select one of the m attributes as the splitting node.

Random Forest Algorithm: method 1 in previous slide

1. For $b = 1$ to B :
 - (a) Draw a **bootstrap sample** \mathbf{Z}^* of size N from the training data.
 - (b) Grow a random-forest tree T_b to the bootstrapped data, by recursively repeating the following steps for each terminal node of the tree, until the minimum node size n_{min} is reached.
 - i. Select **m variables at random** from the p variables.
 - ii. Pick the best variable/split-point among the m .
 - iii. Split the node into two daughter nodes.
2. Output the ensemble of trees $\{T_b\}_1^B$.

To make a prediction at a new point x :

Regression: $\hat{f}_{\text{rf}}^B(x) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B T_b(x)$.

Classification: Let $\hat{C}_b(x)$ be the class prediction of the b th random-forest tree. Then $\hat{C}_{\text{rf}}^B(x) = \text{majority vote } \{\hat{C}_b(x)\}_1^B$.

Reduce Bias² and Decrease Variance?

- Bagging reduces variance by averaging
- Bagging has little effect on bias
- Can we average *and* reduce bias?
- Yes:
 - Boosting