# Linear classifiers Lecture 3

# David Sontag New York University

Slides adapted from Luke Zettlemoyer, Vibhav Gogate, and Carlos Guestrin

# ML Methodology

- Data: labeled instances, e.g. emails marked spam/ham
  - Training set
  - Held out set (sometimes call Validation set)
  - Test set

#### Randomly allocate to these three, e.g. 60/20/20

- Features: attribute-value pairs which characterize each x
- Experimentation cycle
  - Select a hypothesis *f* (Tune hyperparameters on held-out or *validation* set)
  - Compute accuracy of test set
  - Very important: never "peek" at the test set!
- Evaluation
  - Accuracy: fraction of instances predicted correctly



# **Linear Separators**

Which of these linear separators is optimal?



# Support Vector Machine (SVM)

SVMs (Vapnik, 1990's) choose the linear separator with the largest margin



- Good according to intuition, theory, practice
- SVM became famous when, using images as input, it gave accuracy comparable to neural-network with hand-designed features in a handwriting recognition task

## **Planes and Hyperplanes**

A plane can be specified as the set of all points given by:





Alternatively, it can be specified as:  $(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ Normal vector (we will call this w)

Only need to specify this dot product, a scalar (we will call this the offset)

Barber, Section 29.1.1-4

## Normal to a plane



# Scale invariance



Any other ways of writing the same dividing line?

- **w.x** + b = 0
- 2**w.x** + 2b = 0
- 1000**w.x** + 1000b = 0

# Scale invariance



During learning, we set the scale by asking that, for all *t*,

for y\_t = +1, 
$$w \cdot x_t + b \ge 1$$
  
and for y\_t = -1,  $w \cdot x_t + b \le -1$ 

That is, we want to satisfy all of the **linear** constraints

$$y_t (w \cdot x_t + b) \ge 1 \quad \forall t$$

### What is $\gamma$ as a function of **w**?



Final result: can maximize margin by minimizing ||w||<sub>2</sub>!!!

# Support vector machines (SVMs)



 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize}_{\mathbf{w},b} & \mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w} \\ \left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_{j}+b\right)y_{j} \geq \mathbf{1}, \ \forall j \end{array}$ 

#### Example of a convex optimization problem

- A quadratic program
- Polynomial-time algorithms to solve!
- Hyperplane defined by support vectors
  - Could use them as a lower-dimension basis to write down line, although we haven't seen how yet

#### More on these later

# What if the data is not linearly separable?

 $\phi(x) =$ 

 $\left\langle x_{i}^{(1)},\ldots,x_{i}^{(m)}\right\rangle$  — *m* features

$$y_i \in \{-1,+1\}$$
 — class



Add More Features!!!

$$\begin{pmatrix}
x^{(1)} \\
... \\
x^{(n)} \\
x^{(1)}x^{(2)} \\
x^{(1)}x^{(3)} \\
... \\
e^{x^{(1)}} \\
... \\
\end{pmatrix}$$

What about overfitting?

# What if the data is not linearly separable?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize}_{\mathbf{w},b} & \mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w} + \mathsf{C} \ \texttt{#(mistakes)} \\ \left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_j + b\right) y_j \geq \mathbf{1} & , \forall j \end{array}$ 



- First Idea: Jointly minimize **w.w** and number of training mistakes
  - How to tradeoff two criteria?
  - Pick C using validation data
- Tradeoff #(mistakes) and w.w
  - 0/1 loss
  - Not QP anymore
  - Also doesn't distinguish near misses and really bad mistakes
  - NP hard to find optimal solution!!!

# Allowing for slack: "Soft margin SVM"



$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize}_{\mathbf{w},b} & \mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w} + C \Sigma_{j} \xi_{j} \\ \left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_{j} + b\right) y_{j} \geq \mathbf{1} - \xi_{j} &, \forall j \xi_{j} \geq 0 \\ & \uparrow \\ & \text{``slack variables''} \end{array}$$

### Slack penalty C > 0:

- • $C=\infty \rightarrow$  have to separate the data!
- • $C=0 \rightarrow$  ignores the data entirely!

•Select using validation data

#### For each data point:

- •If margin  $\geq$  1, don't care
- •If margin < 1, pay linear penalty

### Allowing for slack: "Soft margin SVM"

