

Probabilistic Graphical Models, Spring 2013

Problem Set 3: Undirected graphical models

Due: Thursday, February 21, 2013 at 5pm

1. Exercise 4.1 from Koller & Friedman (requirement of positivity in Hammersley-Clifford theorem; see page 116).
2. Both of:
 - (a) Exercise 4.2 from Koller & Friedman (*reparameterization* leaves distribution unchanged. See page 124).
 - (b) Exercise 4.12 from Koller & Friedman (converting Boltzmann machine to Ising model. See page 126).
3. Give a procedure to convert any Markov network into a pairwise Markov random field. In particular, given a distribution $p(\mathbf{X})$, specify a new distribution $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ which is a pairwise MRF, such that $p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, where \mathbf{Y} are any new variables added.

Clarification: Assume that the input is specified as full tables specifying the value of the potential for every assignment to the variables for each potential. The new pairwise MRF must have a description which is polynomial in the size of the original MRF.

Hint: consider the factor graph representation of the Markov network, and introduce one new variable for each non-pairwise factor.

4. **Exponential families** (see Chap. 8.1-8.3). Probability distributions in the exponential family have the form:

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \eta) = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{\eta \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \ln Z(\eta)\}$$

for some scalar function $h(\mathbf{x})$, vector of functions $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_d(\mathbf{x}))$, canonical parameter vector $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (often referred to as the *natural parameters*), and $Z(\eta)$ a constant (depending on η) chosen so that the distribution normalizes.

- (a) Determine which of the following distributions are in the exponential family, exhibiting the $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$, $Z(\eta)$, and $h(\mathbf{x})$ functions for those that are.
 - i. $N(\mu, I)$ —multivariate Gaussian with mean vector μ and identity covariance matrix.
 - ii. $\text{Dir}(\alpha)$ —Dirichlet with parameter vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K)$.
 - iii. log-Normal distribution—the distribution of $Y = \exp(X)$, where $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.
 - iv. Boltzmann distribution—an undirected graphical model $G = (V, E)$ involving a binary random vector \mathbf{X} taking values in $\{0, 1\}^n$ with distribution $p(\mathbf{x}) \propto \exp\{\sum_i u_i x_i + \sum_{(i,j) \in E} w_{i,j} x_i x_j\}$.
- (b) *Conditional models.* One can also talk about conditional distributions being in the exponential family, being of the form:

$$p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}; \eta) = h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \exp\{\eta \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - \ln Z(\eta, \mathbf{x})\}.$$

The partition function Z now depends on \mathbf{x} , the variables that are conditioned on. Let Y be a binary variable whose conditional distribution is specified by the logistic function,

$$p(Y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}; \alpha) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i}}$$

Show that this conditional distribution is in the exponential family.

5. Conjugacy and Bayesian prediction.

- (a) Let $\theta \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$. Consider multinomial random variables (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) , where $X_i \sim \text{Mult}(\theta)$ for each i (thus the X_i are conditionally independent of one another given θ).¹ Show that the posterior $p(\theta \mid x_1, \dots, x_N, \alpha)$ is given by $\text{Dir}(\alpha')$, where

$$\alpha'_k = \alpha_k + \sum_{i=1}^N 1[x_i = k].$$

This property, that the posterior distribution $p(\theta \mid \mathbf{x})$ is in the same family as the prior distribution $p(\theta)$, is called *conjugacy*. The Dirichlet distribution is the *conjugate prior* for the Multinomial distribution. Every distribution in the exponential family has a conjugate prior. For example, the conjugate prior for the mean of a Gaussian distribution can be shown to be another Gaussian distribution.

- (b) Now consider a random variable $X_{\text{new}} \sim \text{Mult}(\theta)$ that is assumed conditionally independent of (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) given θ . Compute:

$$p(x_{\text{new}} \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, \alpha)$$

by integrating over θ .

Hint: Your result should take the form of a ratio of gamma functions.

This is called *Bayesian* prediction because we put a prior distribution over the parameters θ (in this case, a Dirichlet) and are thus able to take into consideration our initial uncertainty over (and prior knowledge of) the parameters together with the evidence we observed (samples x_1, \dots, x_N) when giving our predictions for x_{new} .

¹We are using the book's definition of the Multinomial distribution, given on page 20, which Wikipedia calls the Categorical distribution.