Efficient Model Learning for Dialog Management

Finale Doshi Nick Roy



AAAI Spring Symposium 2007

1

Outline

- How can Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs) help handle the uncertainties in dialog management?
- How can we learn user dialog models online through human-robot interactions?
 - Planning with expected parameter values
 - Planning with parameters as hidden state
 - Planning with meta-actions



Why dialog management?

•Wouldn't it be nice if we could simply tell a robot

- to go to a particular location?
- to follow alongside someone?
- to remember the name of a new room?

This is would be particularly useful to wheelchair users with severely limited mobility.





The Problem

Spoken language allows for natural human robot interaction, but there are several challenges:

- Noisy speech recognition
 - ex. "Gates" becomes "Good"
- Linguistic ambiguities
 - multiple "elevators" may exist
 - the robot must know that an "elevator" is a location





The POMDP Dialog Model



- Observations: what the robot hears
- Actions: robot movements and queries
- Reward Model R(s,a)
- Transition Model T(s'|s,a)
- Observation Model O(o|s,a)

How do we specify the parameters? (Our simple model has **1344** parameters!)





How can we learn the model online?

- We'll present several approaches that trade off between speed, robustness, and ease of use.
- Common theme:
 - Keep a distribution over possible models
 - Initialize that distribution with reasonable guesses about the parameters
 - Update our distribution (and our dialog policy) as we interact with the user



Idea: use only the expected values of the parameters

Benefit: very fast, works well in practice

Drawback: dialog manager unaware of uncertainty



Why is this reasonable?





User Results

Non-learner

User: Take me to the elevator. Robot: Where did you want to go? User: The Gates elevator please. Robot: Do you want to go to the Gates Tower? User: Yes. Robot: Going to Gates.

Learner

User: Take me to the elevator. Robot: Do you want to go to the Gates Tower? User: Yes Robot: Going to Gates





II. Parameters as Hidden State

Idea: hidden state is now user's intent and preferences Benefit: more robust dialog manager Drawback: computationally very difficult, even with creative sampling to solve the big POMDP





Simulation Results

The Parameter POMDP is not sensitive to the initial distributions placed over the parameters.





III. Meta-Action Queries

Idea: robot asks the user about what action to do next Benefits:

- Overall less feedback required (robot determines when additional learning is needed)
- We can discover the consequences of a mistake without making the mistake.



Case One: Discrete User Models

- Choose sets of parameters that produce different policies; let each of these be a user preference model.
- Design meta-action queries to differentiate between the models.
- Solve just like the parameter POMDP.





Case Two: Continuous User Models

- Sample many POMDPs from an initial distribution over observations and rewards.
- Combine the POMDP samples to choose actions:
 - Find the action with the minimal risk:

$$a = argmin_{a \in A} \sum_{i} (Q_i(b, a) - Q_i(b, a')) w_i$$

- If the risk is more than the cost of a meta-action, check if the meta-action will reduce the risk.
- Reweight and resample POMDPs as new data arrives and our distribution over models changes.



Case Two: Continuous Models





Wheelchair Video





Conclusions and Future Work

- POMDPs provide a useful way to handle dialog uncertainty.
- Although the dialog models require many parameters, we presented several approaches for learning those parameters online.
- The learning process can be further improved by incorporating meta-actions, actions that ask about what the robot should have done.



Thank-you



User Interface





Expected Value Simulation Results





Expected Value Simulation Results





Dialogs have limited policies





Meta-Actions help prune rewards



