

An Invitation to Discuss Computer Depiction



Frédo Durand
MIT
Lab for Computer Science

“Philosophical” interrogations

- What are the goals/context of NPR?
 - What are the goals of computer graphics?
 - Are photos photorealistic?
 - After the Grail, then what?
 - Does Pr=NPr?
 - What is picture making?
-
- Interdisciplinary class *The Art and Science of Depiction*
 - SIGGRAPH course *Perceptual and Artistic Principles for Effective Computer Depiction* (Sunday)

How is NPR different?

- Style
 - Imitation of traditional media (pencil, oil, etc.)
- Interaction
 - Less automatic, more user control

Emphasis on aesthetic, legibility
Subjective assessment

What are the frustrating points?


- Not satisfying name
- What are the issues?
 - Hard to explain what we do
 - Hard to set goals
- Modularity
- Lack of common language

Outline

- NOT photorealism vs. non-photorealism
- General issue of depiction
- Control & interaction are overlooked
- Look for a language
 - So far, we have written complex sentences
 - We need to discuss the basic vocabulary and grammar
- Plan
 - Picture making is more complex than we think
 - Framework


One-way graphics pipeline

- Common framework, paradigm [Kuhn]
- Modularity
- Common and clear goals




Problems

- Requires extension for richer styles
- User feedback loop
 - Reverse-engineers the image




Depiction as an inverse of inverse


- Picture that conveys same impression as reality



Realistic image simulation




From 3D to 2D via interpretation



3D and 2D attributes

- Show a die to children (~6-7)
- They usually draw a rectangle
- The rectangle could stand for one face




3D and 2D attributes

- Show coloured or numbered die to children (6-7)
- They still draw a rectangle
- But different colours or many points
- The rectangle stands for the whole die
- The notion of 3D object with corners is translated as a 2D object with corners




Inversing our view of Depiction

- 2D sometimes rules



Purely 2D depiction




2D/3D dualism

- 3D-driven picture: architectural visualization



- 2D-driven picture

- Horizontal organization & magnitude
- 2D gradients for spheres




Mixed 2D-/3D-driven: group photo

- 3D position are determined by 2D goals
- See also the technique of trenching




Summary

- One-way pipeline is powerful yet limited
- Requires user feedback loop
- Depiction is an inverse of inverse
- Can go from 3D to 2D via interpretation and/or from 2D to 3D




Depiction as optimization

- “Best” picture reaching goals and respecting constraints



Realistic image simulation

- Realistic image simulation:
There is an analytical direct formulation




The computer solves the optimization

- Route maps [Agrawala 01]
- Lighting optimization [Schacked 01]
- Composition [Gooch 01]
- Paint with relaxation [Haeberli 91, Hertzman 01]
- Define the energy function
- Exploration of a highly-non-linear parameter space
- Or come up with a set of direct rules [He 96]

When the human solves

- Fast feedback
- Relevant degrees of freedom
- Uniform and meaningful parameter space
- Controls in image space
- High-level controls related to goals & constraints
- Pictorial techniques to alter the picture




General case: computer+human

- The computer solves some issues, the human has control and adds the “magic”
- Decouple relevant dimensions of depiction
- Exciting challenge:
Convergence of games and movies


Framework: Representation systems

- Adaptation of Willats [1997]
- With inspiration from cartography
- Decompose depiction into orthogonal issues
- Vocabulary
- Modularity
- Coarse-grain definition of style



Representation systems

- Spatial
 - Eye-balled perspective
- Primitives
 - Lines
- Attributes
 - Color, thickness
- Marks
 - Physical stroke




Toulouse-Lautrec, *Femme rousse nu-tête*, 1891

Classification with dimensions

- Inputs and outputs
- 3D: object space (3D colors, intrinsic colors, light intensity)
- 2D: picture space (2D coordinates, extrinsic color)
- 2.5D: Intermediate representations
 - Z-buffer, normal maps, G-buffer, etc.
- Perspective matrix: 3D→2D spatial system
- Realistic local shading: 3D→2D attribute system
- Painting with light: 2D→3D attribute system

Imaging vs. interaction

- Direct picture making always decreases dimension
 - Globally, 3D→2D
- Interaction might require to increase to propagate picture-space goals & constraints



Spatial systems

- Map 3D spatial properties and 2D spatial properties




Examples of spatial techniques

- 3D → 2D
 - 4*4 perspective matrices
 - Non-linear projections
- 2.5D → 2D
 - View warping [Chen 93]
- 2D → 2D
 - Correcting perspective distortions [Zorin 95]
- 2D → 3D
 - Image-based modeling [e.g. Debevec 96]
 - Sketch-based modeling [Zeleznik 96]
 - View-dependent geometry [Rademacher 99]


Primitive systems

- Map 3D primitives (points, lines, surfaces, volumes) to 2D primitives (points, lines, regions)




Primitive systems

- Map 3D primitives (points, lines, surfaces, volumes) to 2D primitives (points, lines, regions)
- Can be complex



Examples of primitive techniques

- Classical graphics: continuous point
- Silhouette rendering:
 - 3D → 2D: e.g. [Markosian 97]
 - 2.5D → 2D z-buffer-based, e.g. [Saito 90, Raskar 99]
 - 2D → 2D edge detection, e.g. [Canny 86, Pearson 90]



Attributes systems



- Assign visual properties to primitives
 - E.g. Color, texture, thickness, wiggleness, orientation

Color: Extrinsic Color: Extrinsic B/W Color: Intrinsic hue Thickness




Examples of attribute techniques

- 3D → 2D
 - Realistic shading
 - NPR shading [Gooch 98]
 - Line shading [Gooch 99]
- 2.5D → 2D
 - Comprehensible rendering [Saito 96]
 - Lumo [Johnston 02]
- 2D → 2D
 - Painting/drawing systems
 - Brightness/contrast/saturation



Mark systems

- Implementation of the primitives placed at their spatial location with their attributes
- Medium simulation, physical strokes




Marks vs. primitives

- Discrete 0D marks, but 1D line primitives




NPR marks

- Most NPR papers have a mark component
- Watercolor [Curtis 97]
- Engraving [Ostromoukhov 99]
- Issue of temporal coherence




[Ostromoukhov 99]


[Curtis 97]



- ### Invitation
- Express PR & NPR techniques in this framework
 - Find-out missing categories
 - Use it for modularity
 - Extension to animation
 - Complex coupling between representation systems
 - Finer notion of style
 - Abstraction
 - Different pictures, different users, different contexts
 - Back to art history & perception



- ### Mapping of curvature
- Convex: positive curvature
 - 3D example: Egg
 - 2D: Convex contour
 - Concave: negative curvature
 - 3D example: Interior of cup
 - 2D: Nothing, hidden contour
 - Saddle: mix of positive and negative curvature
 - 3D example: Saddle (surprising!)
 - 2D: Concave contour
-



Mapping of curvature

- But some artists map 3D concave objects to 2D concave outlines
- This maps the property of concavity
- The left view of the plate is more “correct” but does not convey the notion of concavity



Summary

- Images: direct optical recording/simulation
- Pictures: more general visual representation
- Depiction is more than direct rendering
- Complex interaction/mapping between 3D and 2D
- Depiction is an optimization problem