LMC: Automatic Resource-Aware Program-Optimized Memory Partitioning

Hsin-Jung Yang[†], Kermin E. Fleming[‡], Michael Adler[‡],
Felix Winterstein[§], and Joel Emer[†]

[†] Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
[‡] Intel Corporation, [§] Imperial College London,

February 22nd, FPGA 2016

Motivation

Moore's Law continues

- More transistors & memory controllers on modern FPGAs
  - Example: **Xilinx VC709:** two 4GB DDR3 memories
    Nallatech 510T: eight 4GB DDR4 memories + 2GB HMC
    Xeon + FPGA: three memory channels

It is difficult to fully utilize DRAM bandwidth

- Co-optimizing application cores and memory systems
- Porting an existing design to a new platform
  - Smaller FPGA -> Larger FPGA
  - Single FPGA -> Multiple FPGAs

Motivation

Moore's Law continues

- More transistors & memory controllers on modern FPGAs
  - Example: **Xilinx VC709:** two 4GB DDR3 memories
    Nallatech 510T: eight 4GB DDR4 memories + 2GB HMC
    Xeon + FPGA: three memory channels

It is difficult to fully utilize DRAM bandwidth

- Co-optimizing application cores and memory systems
- Porting an existing design to a new platform
  - Smaller FPGA -> Larger FPGA
  - Single FPGA -> Multiple FPGAs

Goal: automatically optimizing the memory system to efficiently utilize the increased DRAM bandwidth

Utilizing Multiple DRAMs

- How to connect computational engines to DRAMs in order to maximize program performance?
  - Network topology: latency, bandwidth
  - On-chip caching
  - Area constraints



Utilizing Multiple DRAMs

- How to connect computational engines to DRAMs in order to maximize program performance?
  - Network topology: latency, bandwidth
  - On-chip caching
  - Area constraints

High design complexity!



Utilizing Multiple DRAMs

- How to connect computational engines to DRAMs in order to maximize program performance?
  - High design complexity: network, caching…



Utilizing Multiple DRAMs

- How to connect computational engines to DRAMs in order to maximize program performance?
  - High design complexity: network, caching…
- Applications have different memory behavior



Utilizing Multiple DRAMs

- How to connect computational engines to DRAMs in order to maximize program performance?
  - High design complexity: network, caching…
- Applications have different memory behavior



Utilizing Multiple DRAMs

- How to connect computational engines to DRAMs in order to maximize program performance?
  - High design complexity: network, caching…
- Applications have different memory behavior



Utilizing Multiple DRAMs

- How to connect computational engines to DRAMs in order to maximize program performance?
  - High design complexity: network, caching…
- Applications have different memory behavior



Need a memory compiler!

Automatic Construction of Program-Optimized Memories

- **A clearly-defined, generic memory abstraction**
  - Separate the user program from the memory system implementation
- **Program introspection**
  - To understand the program's memory behavior
- **A resource-aware, feedback-driven memory compiler**
  - Use introspection results as feedback to automatically construct the "best" memory system for the target program and platform

Abstraction

- Abstraction hides implementation details and provides good programmability



Abstraction

- Abstraction hides implementation details and provides good programmability





Compilers & system developers

- Hardware can be optimized for the target application and platform

LEAP Memory Abstraction



LEAP memory block

- Simple memory interface
- Arbitrary data size
- Private address space
- **"Unlimited" storage**
- **Automatic caching**

```
interface MEM_IFC#(type t_ADDR, type t_DATA)
    method void readReq(t_ADDR addr);
    method void write(t_ADDR addr, t_DATA din);
    method t_DATA readResp();
endinterface
```

LEAP Memory Abstraction




```
interface MEM_IFC#(type t_ADDR, type t_DATA)
    method void readReq(t_ADDR addr);
    method void write(t_ADDR addr, t_DATA din);
    method t_DATA readResp();
endinterface
```

LEAP Private Memory



M. Adler *et al.*, "LEAP Scratchpads," in FPGA, 2011.

LEAP Private Memory



M. Adler *et al.*, "LEAP Scratchpads," in FPGA, 2011.

LEAP Private Memory



M. Adler *et al.*, "LEAP Scratchpads," in FPGA, 2011.

LEAP Memory with Multiple DRAMs

- **Naïve solution:** unified memory with multiple DRAM banks



LEAP Memory with Multiple DRAMs

- **Naïve solution:** unified memory with multiple DRAM banks



LEAP Memory with Multiple DRAMs

- **Naïve solution:** unified memory with multiple DRAM banks



LEAP Memory with Multiple DRAMs

- **Naïve solution:** unified memory with multiple DRAM banks



LEAP Memory with Multiple DRAMs

- **Naïve solution:** unified memory with multiple DRAM banks



LEAP Memory with Multiple DRAMs

- **Distributed central caches and memory controllers**



LEAP Memory with Multiple DRAMs

- **Distributed central caches and memory controllers**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Program introspection**
  - To understand programs' memory behavior



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 1: Memory clients with homogeneous behavior**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 1: Memory clients with homogeneous behavior**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 1: Memory clients with homogeneous behavior**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 2: Memory clients with heterogeneous behavior**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 2: Memory clients with heterogeneous behavior**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 2: Memory clients with heterogeneous behavior**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 2: Memory clients with heterogeneous behavior**
  - Load-balanced partitioning
    - Classical minimum makespan scheduling problem

m controllers, n clients, client j with traffic t_j

$$x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if client j is mapped to controller i} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ILP formulation:

minimize t

s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i,j} t_j \leq t, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$

$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i,j} = 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$

$x_{i,j} \in \{0,1\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, n$



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 2: Memory clients with heterogeneous behavior**
  - Load-balanced partitioning
    - Classical minimum makespan scheduling problem

m controllers, n clients, client j with traffic t_j

$$x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if client j is mapped to controller i} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ILP formulation:

minimize t

s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i,j} t_j \leq t, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$

$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i,j} = 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$

$x_{i,j} \in \{0,1\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, n$

Approximation:
Longest processing time (LPT) algorithm



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 3: Fractional load-balancing**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 3: Fractional load-balancing**



Private Cache Network Partitioning

- **Case 3: Fractional load-balancing**

ILP->LP

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i,j} t_j \le t \\ & \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i,j} = 1 \\ & \mathbf{0 \le x_{i,j} \le 1} \end{aligned}$$



LEAP Memory Compiler

- **Three-phase feedback-driven compilation**
  - **Instrumentation (optional):** to collect runtime information about the way the program uses memory
  - **Analysis:** to analyze the program properties and decide an optimized memory hierarchy
  - **Synthesis:** to implement the program-optimized memory



LEAP Memory Performance

- Baseline



LEAP Memory Performance

- Baseline



LEAP Memory Performance

- Memory interleaving



Case Study: Cryptosorter

- **Cryptosorter:** each sorter uses a LEAP private memory



Case Study: Filtering Algorithm

- **Filtering algorithm for K-means clustering (HLS kernel)**
  - 8 partitions: each uses 3 LEAP private memories



Coherent Cache Network Partitioning

- **Baseline coherent memory**



Coherent Cache Network Partitioning

- **Coherent memory interleaving**



Coherent Cache Network Partitioning

- **Coherent memory interleaving**



Private cache network optimizations can be directly composed

Case Study: Heat Transfer

- **Heat transfer:** 16 engines, 1024x1024 frame



Case Study: Heat Transfer

- **Heat transfer:** 16 engines, 1024x1024 frame



Moving to Multi-FPGA Platforms



Moving to Multi-FPGA Platforms



Performance on Dual FPGAs



Conclusion

- We introduce the LEAP memory compiler that can transparently optimize the memory system for a given application.
- The compiler automatically partitions both private and coherent memory networks to efficiently utilize the increased DRAM bandwidth on modern FPGAs.
- Future work:
  - More case studies on asymmetric memory clients
  - More complex memory network topologies
  - Dynamic cache partitioning

Thank You