

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Student Feedback Questionnaire

Instructor - Section Report

SPRING 2013-14

Survey period: 25 April 2014 - 9 May 2014

Prof. KIM, Sung Hun

COMP-3111-L2 Software Engineering

15 student(s) have evaluated the course.

70 student(s) have not evaluated the course.

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 17.6% (15/85)

Lecture Courses

Q1. The course has been well designed to help me learn.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	26.7%	
B		75.0	4	26.7%	
C		50.0	5	33.3%	
D		25.0	1	6.7%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	6.7%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	65.0	29.6
Section	65.0	29.6
Course	65.2	25.7
Department (COMP)	77.9	23.3
School (SENG)	75.9	23.6
University	74.5	23.9

Q2. Lectures and course materials have been well prepared and useful in my learning.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	3	20.0%	
B		75.0	6	40.0%	
C		50.0	3	20.0%	
D		25.0	1	6.7%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	2	13.3%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	61.7	32.6
Section	61.7	32.6
Course	61.4	26.6
Department (COMP)	78.5	23.7
School (SENG)	76.1	24.0
University	74.9	24.2

Q3. The value of this course was clear to me.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	3	20.0%	
B		75.0	4	26.7%	
C		50.0	5	33.3%	
D		25.0	2	13.3%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	6.7%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	60.0	29.6
Section	60.0	29.6
Course	59.1	27.8
Department (COMP)	77.5	24.3
School (SENG)	76.4	23.8
University	74.7	24.4

Q4. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject and encouraged me to think.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	26.7%	
B		75.0	7	46.7%	
C		50.0	2	13.3%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	70.0	28.7
Section	70.0	28.7
Course	62.1	30.1

D		25.0	1	6.7%	■
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	6.7%	■
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Department (COMP)	77.6	24.7
School (SENG)	75.4	25.1
University	73.6	25.8

Q5. Tests and assignments have been well designed, fair and relevant to my learning.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	3	20.0%	■
B		75.0	5	33.3%	■
C		50.0	6	40.0%	■
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	6.7%	■
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	65.0	26.4
Section	65.0	26.4
Course	59.8	27.9
Department (COMP)	75.2	25.0
School (SENG)	74.3	24.8
University	72.7	24.8

Q6. Feedback on tests and assignments has been helpful and provided in good time.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	26.7%	■
B		75.0	2	13.3%	■
C		50.0	7	46.7%	■
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	6.7%	■
NA	Not Applicable		1	6.7%	■
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	64.3	28.9
Section	64.3	28.9
Course	57.8	28.0
Department (COMP)	74.4	24.9
School (SENG)	73.3	25.3
University	70.9	25.8

Q7. The instructor has been responsive to students' problems and available to answer questions.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	26.7%	■
B		75.0	6	40.0%	■
C		50.0	3	20.0%	■
D		25.0	1	6.7%	■
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	6.7%	■
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	68.3	29.1
Section	68.3	29.1
Course	66.7	27.0
Department (COMP)	80.9	22.5
School (SENG)	79.2	22.8
University	78.3	23.1

Q8. The instructor created a good atmosphere for learning.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	4	26.7%	■
B		75.0	6	40.0%	■
C		50.0	4	26.7%	■
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	6.7%	■
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	70.0	27.1
Section	70.0	27.1
Course	66.4	25.1
Department (COMP)	79.3	23.4
School (SENG)	76.4	24.5
University	75.1	25.1

Q9. Compared to other courses, this course is academically:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Difficult	100.0	3	20.0%	■
B		75.0	7	46.7%	■
C		50.0	5	33.3%	■
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Very Easy	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	71.7	18.6
Section	71.7	18.6
Course	69.7	17.4
Department (COMP)	61.4	22.8
School (SENG)	60.7	22.7
University	59.7	22.6

Q10. Compared to other courses, the workload for this course is:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Heavy	100.0	6	40.0%	██████
B		75.0	6	40.0%	██████
C		50.0	3	20.0%	███
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Very Light	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	80.0	19.4
Section	80.0	19.4
Course	76.5	20.7
Department (COMP)	57.5	22.5
School (SENG)	57.2	22.2
University	54.5	23.1

Q11. Please rate the instructor overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Good	100.0	6	40.0%	██████
B		75.0	4	26.7%	████
C		50.0	4	26.7%	████
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
E	Very Bad	0.0	1	6.7%	█
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	73.3	29.1
Section	73.3	29.1
Course	70.3	24.1
Department (COMP)	82.1	21.5
School (SENG)	79.4	22.8
University	78.6	23.3

Q12. Please rate the course overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Very Good	100.0	3	20.0%	███
B		75.0	2	13.3%	██
C		50.0	6	40.0%	██████
D		25.0	3	20.0%	███
E	Very Bad	0.0	1	6.7%	█
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		15	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	55.0	30.2
Section	55.0	30.2
Course	58.3	27.0
Department (COMP)	76.0	23.8
School (SENG)	75.0	23.8
University	72.9	24.2

Q13. What is good about the course?

1. A lot of useful tools introduced
2. Nothing. The worst designed course I had to take.
3. chance to create concrete software

Q14. What could be done to improve the course?

1. Everything.
2. could provide more details during lectures on the technologies that may be needed in projects, like database, set up of server ... etc.
It is quite hard for students who have not taken the relevant courses before.

Note: Please note that the aggregate statistics for department, school and university will be subjected to an updating and finalized on 2 July 2014

Report prepared by CELT
26 May 2014