

Analysis of Smoothly Varying Textures Applied to Segmentation





We aim to capture four texture features: orientation, scale, contrast, and bias. In natural textures, each feature can change due to the underlying geometric shape of the object or a physical change in the texture. We measure these features and impose a smooth Markov random field to capture the spatial correlations.



The steerable pyramid [1] is a multi-scale and orientation decomposition of an image. The red dot is recursively replaced with the gray box. The output at any orientation can be interpolated from the basis. An example output is shown below.



### **Texture Descriptors**

For the interpolated filter output,  $y_i^{s,\theta}$  at pixel *i*, scale *s*, and orientation  $\theta$ , we define the angular energy as:

$$E_i^s \quad \theta = \frac{1}{|R_i|} \sum_{j \in R_i} y_j^{s, \theta_i^s}$$

A plot of the angular energy as a function of orientation is shown on the right. The extracted features are boxed in orange.

We also define the bias of the texture as:

 $\mu_i^s = \frac{1}{|R_i|} \sum_{j \in R} X_j$ 

Our final feature set is shown in the table to the right.



| $\eta_i = \arg\max_s E_i^s$                                    |     |
|--|-----|
| $E_i = E_i^{\eta_i}$   |     |
| $oldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_i = oldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_i^{\eta_i}$ | Res |
| $	heta_i = 	heta_i^{\eta_i}$                                   |     |
| $\mu_i = \mu_i^{\eta_i}$                                       |     |



### **Ali-Silvey Distances for Segmentation**

We define a binary class label,  $L_i \in +, -$ , at each pixel i.

There are two popular information-theoretic approaches to segment an image:

- 1) Maximize the distance between the conditional distributions
- product of the marginals  $d_L p_{XL}, p_X p_L$

relationship as Method 1.

Distance Measures

$$c \quad p_X^+, p_X^- = \mathbb{E}_p$$

$$L \quad p_{XL}, p_X p_L = 1$$

Relationship

# **Ali-Silvey Distances Comparison**

### We compare the following information-theoretic measures for segmentation

| <b>Compared Distance Measures</b> |                    |   |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| ion                               | I X;L              | $D p_{XL} \  p_X p_L$                                 |  |  |
|                                   | $J p_X^+, p_X^-$   | $D p_{X}^{+} \  p_{X}^{-} + D p_{X}^{-} \  p_{X}^{+}$ |  |  |
| ence                              | $J_B p_X^+, p_X^-$ | $\pi^+ D p_X^+ \  p_X^- + \pi^- D p_X^- \  p_X^+$     |  |  |

| <b>Compared Distance Measures</b> |                    |   |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Mutual Information                | I X;L              | $D p_{XL} \  p_X p_L$                             |  |  |
| J Divergence                      | $J p_X^+, p_X^-$   | $D p_X^+ \  p_X^- + D p_X^- \  p_X^+$             |  |  |
| Balanced J Divergence             | $J_B p_X^+, p_X^-$ | $\pi^+ D p_X^+ \  p_X^- + \pi^- D p_X^- \  p_X^+$ |  |  |

Using our defined features, classification on the Brodatz textures can be computed perfectly under any of the three distance measures. Instead we segment synthetic Brodatz images, with a subset of results shown below.



### Jason Chang & John W. Fisher III



 $d_C p_X^+, p_X^-$ 

2) Maximize the distance between the joint of the pixels and the labels and the

When the distance between the conditional distributions takes on a particular symmetric form,  $d_C p_X^+, p_X^- = d p_X^+, p_X^- + d p_X^-, p_X^+$ , there is an equivalent Ali-Silvey distance that can be used in Method 2 to reveal the exact same



For each feature, we impose a smooth, additive MRF. In the orientation case, this is represented as the diagram to the right where  $\phi$  is the smooth field and  $\theta^*$  is the intrinsic orientation.

Once these fields are found, we can find the traditional intrinsic image and visualize the intrinsic texture image (equal contrast, bias, orientation, and scale).







Our model allows us to estimate a one parameter camera radiometric function. We take advantage of the similarity between the following camera and texture model:

- ${\cal R}$  Reflectance Image
- ${\mathcal S}$  Shading Image
- Irradiance Image
- ${\mathcal X}$  Original Image
- ${\cal G}$  Gain Field
- ${\mathcal B}$  Bias Field





| L] | E. Simoncelli and W. Freeman. The steerable                            |
|----|--|
| 2] | J. Kim, I. Fisher, J.W., A. Yezzi, M. Cetin, and A<br>1502, Oct. 2005. |
| 3] | T. Brox and J. Weickert. Level Set Based Imag                          |
| 1] | P sing Tsai and M Shah Shane from shading                              |



### Smooth Fields





Original Image

Intrinsic Image

Intrinsic Texture Image

## Segmentation

# **Nonlinear Camera Estimation**



## Shape from Shading

Once the nonlinear camera model has been estimated with our model, we also estimate a shading image. We use a common shape from shading algorithm [4] to

> pyramid: a flexible architecture for multi-scale derivative computation. Image Processing, 1995. Proceedings., International Conference on, 3:444–447 vol.3, Oct 1995 .Willsky. A nonparametric statistical method for image segmentation using information theory and curve evolution. Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on, 14(10):1486–

ge Segmentation with Multiple Regions. 2004.

[4] P. sing Tsai and M. Shah. Shape from shading using linear approximation. *Image and Vision Computing*, 12:487–498, 1994.