# LP Decoding Corrects a Constant Fraction of Errors

| $Jon Feldman^1$       | Tal Malkin                   | Rocco A. Servedio                | $\text{Cliff Stein}^2$ | Martin J. Wainwright <sup>3</sup> |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Dept. of IEOR         | Dept. of CS                  | Dept. of CS                      | Dept. of IEOR          | Dept. of EECS                     |
| Columbia Univ.        | Columbia Univ.               | Columbia Univ.                   | Columbia Univ.         | UC Berkeley                       |
| New York, NY          | New York, NY                 | New York, NY                     | New York, NY           | Berkeley, CA                      |
| $\{ jonfeld@ieor, \}$ | <pre>tal@cs, rocco@cs,</pre> | <pre>cliff@ieor}.columbia.</pre> | edu, wainwr            | ig@eecs.berkeley.edu              |

Abstract — We show that for low-density paritycheck (LDPC) codes with sufficient expansion, the *Linear Programming (LP) Decoder* corrects a constant fraction of errors.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Linear Programming (LP) Decoder [2, 3, 4, 6] provides an alternative to message-passing decoding that is more amenable to finite-length analysis. The pseudocodewords for an LP decoder are the vertices of a linear polytope whose constraints depend on the structure of the code, and they unify many known notions of pseudocodewords for various codes and decoders (see [2]). For the case of LDPC codes, Koetter and Vontobel [7, 8] described these LP pseudocodewords as "graph covers," and established a connection to the pseudocodewords of the message-passing "min-sum" algorithm.

In this paper, we show that LDPC codes using LP decoders can correct up to a constant fraction of error, which implies WER  $\leq e^{-\Omega(n)}$  in the BSC. This is the first proof that LP decoding has an inverse-exponential WER on a constant rate code. As far as we are aware, no such bound is known for message-passing decoders such as min-sum and sum-product (belief propagation) on finite-length LDPC codes.

Our main theorem is given below, where a Tanner graph G is a  $(k, \Delta)$ -expander if for all sets S of variable nodes where  $|S| \leq k$ , at least  $\Delta |S|$  check nodes are incident to S:

**Theorem 1** Let C be a low-density parity-check code with length n and rate at least 1-m/n described by a Tanner graph G with n variable nodes, m check nodes, and regular left degree c. Suppose G is an  $(\alpha n, \delta c)$ -expander, where  $\delta > 2/3 + 1/(3c)$ and  $\delta c$  is an integer. Then the LP decoder succeeds, as long at most  $\frac{3\delta-2}{2\lambda-1}(\alpha n-1)$  bits are flipped by the channel.

This result matches Sipser and Spielman [9] for the case  $\delta = 3/4$ . Random Tanner graphs will meet the conditions of this theorem with high probability, and recent work by Capalbo *et al.* [1] gives efficient deterministic constructions of such graphs.

## II. USING A DUAL WITNESS TO PROVE SUCCESS

We use the LP decoder from [6], which decodes any LDPC code described by a bipartite Tanner graph  $G = (V \cup C, E)$ , where codewords are settings of bits  $y_i$  to nodes  $i \in V$  s.t. the neighborhood N(j) of every check  $j \in C$  has even parity. For each code bit i, let  $\gamma_i = +1/-1$  if a 0/1 is received. We assume that the codeword  $0^n$  is sent, an assumption that can be made without loss of generality (see [5]).

The decoder in [6] solves a particular LP relaxation of the ML decoding problem. If the solution y is integral (in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ ), it must be the ML codeword, and so it is output; otherwise the decoder declares an error. Decoding succeeds if  $0^n$  is the unique optimal LP solution. To prove decoding success, it suffices to exhibit a **dual witness**: a solution to the dual LP with value zero. This notion leads to the following proposition, in which the dual variables play the role of edge weights:

**Proposition 2** A setting of weights  $\tau_{ij}$  to every edge  $(i, j) \in E$  is feasible if (i) for all checks  $j \in C$  and distinct  $i, i' \in N(j)$ , we have  $\tau_{ij} + \tau_{i'j} \geq 0$ , and (ii) for all nodes  $i \in V$ , we have  $\sum_{j \in N(i)} \tau_{ij} < \gamma_i$ . If there is a feasible setting of edge weights, then LP decoding succeeds.

To prove Theorem 1, we apply expansion to a portion of the graph around where errors occur. This allows us to set edge weights  $\tau_{ij}$  to satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2. The construction goes through as long as the number of errors in the channel is at most  $\frac{3\delta-2}{2\delta-1}(\alpha n-1)$ .

## III. CONCLUSIONS

Our "dual witness" technique applies to any LP decoder, and it would be interesting to see it used in different settings. A full version with proofs can be found in the technical report [5].

**Acknowledgments** We would like to thank G. David Forney Jr., David Karger, Ralf Koetter and Pascal Vontobel for helpful discussions.

#### References

- M. Capalbo, O. Reingold, S. Vadhan, and A. Wigderson. Randomness conductors and constant-degree expansion beyond the degree/2 barrier. In Proc. 34th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, pages 659–668, 2002.
- [2] J. Feldman. Decoding Error-Correcting Codes via Linear Programming. PhD thesis, MIT, 2003.
- [3] J. Feldman, D. R. Karger, and M. J. Wainwright. LP decoding. In Proc. 41st Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing, October 2003.
- [4] J. Feldman and David R. Karger. Decoding turbo-like codes via linear programming. Proc. 43rd annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), November 2002.
- [5] J. Feldman, T. Malkin, R. A. Servedio, C. Stein, and M. J. Wainwright. LP decoding corrects a constant fraction of errors. Technical Report TR-2003-08, Computational Optimization Research Center, Columbia University, 2003.
- [6] J. Feldman, M. J. Wainwright, and D. R. Karger. Using linear programming to decode linear codes. 37th annual Conference on Information Sciences and Systems (CISS '03), 2003.
- [7] R. Koetter. Personal communication, 2002.
- [8] R. Koetter and P. O. Vontobel. Graph-covers and iterative decoding of finite length codes. In Proc. 3rd International Symposium on Turbo Codes, September 2003.
- [9] M. Sipser and D. Spielman. Expander codes. *IEEE Transac*tions on Information Theory, 42(6):1710–1722, 1996.

 $<sup>^1 \</sup>mathrm{Supported}$  by an NSF Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

 $<sup>^2 \</sup>rm Research$  partially supported by NSF Grant DMI-9970063 and an Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellowship.

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mathrm{Research}$  partially supported by NSF grant IIS-9988642, ARO MURI DAA19-02-1-0383.