

# Regularized Logistic Regression is Strictly Convex

Jason D. M. Rennie  
jrennie@csail.mit.edu

January 9, 2005

## Abstract

We show that Logistic Regression and Softmax are convex.

## 1 Binary LR

Let  $X = \{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ ,  $\vec{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , be a set of examples. Let  $\vec{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ,  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ , be a corresponding set of labels. Logistic Regression learns parameters<sup>1</sup>  $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  so as to minimize

$$-\log P(\vec{y}|X, \vec{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i)). \quad (1)$$

To show that the LR objective is convex, we consider the partial derivatives. Define  $g(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ . Note that  $1 - g(z) = \frac{e^{-z}}{1+e^{-z}}$  and  $\frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z} = -g(z)(1 - g(z))$ .

$$\frac{\partial \log P(\vec{y}|X, \vec{w})}{\partial w_j} = - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_{ij} (1 - g(y_i \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i)) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log P(\vec{y}|X, \vec{w})}{\partial w_j \partial w_k} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 x_{ij} x_{ik} g(y_i \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i) (1 - g(y_i \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i)) \quad (3)$$

To show that the objective is convex, we first show that the Hessian (the matrix of second derivatives) is positive semi-definite (PSD). A matrix,  $M$ , is PSD iff  $\vec{a}^T M \vec{a} \geq 0$  for all vectors  $\vec{a}$ . Let  $\nabla^2$  be the Hessian for our objective. Define  $P_i := g(y_i \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i)(1 - g(y_i \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i))$  and  $\rho_{ij} = x_{ij} \sqrt{P_i}$ . Then,

$$\vec{a}^T \nabla^2 \vec{a} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d a_j a_k x_{ij} x_{ik} P_i, \quad (4)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{a}^T \vec{\rho}_i \vec{\rho}_i^T \vec{a} \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In terms of the two vector formulation,  $\vec{w} = W_+ - W_-$ .

Note that  $\vec{a}^T \vec{\rho}_i \vec{\rho}_i^T \vec{a} = (\vec{a}^T \vec{\rho}_i)^2 \geq 0$ . Hence, the Hessian is PSD. Theorem 2.6.1 of Cover and Thomas (1991) gives us that an objective with a PSD Hessian is convex. If we add an L2 regularizer,  $C\vec{w}^T \vec{w}$ , to the objective, then the Hessian is positive definite and hence the objective is strictly convex.

## 2 Two-weight LR

Let  $X = \{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ ,  $\vec{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , be a set of examples. Let  $\vec{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ,  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ , be a corresponding set of labels. Logistic Regression learns parameters  $W_- \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $W_+ \in \mathbb{R}^d$  so as to minimize

$$-\log P(\vec{y}|X, W) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\exp(W_+ \vec{x}_i) + \exp(W_- \vec{x}_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^n W_{y_i} \vec{x}_i. \quad (6)$$

To show that the LR objective is convex, we consider the partial derivatives. Define  $Z_i := \exp(W_+ \vec{x}_i) + \exp(W_- \vec{x}_i)$ . Define  $P_{+i} = \exp(W_+ \vec{x}_i)/Z_i$  and  $P_{-i} = \exp(W_- \vec{x}_i)/Z_i$ .

$$\frac{\partial \log P(\vec{y}|X, W)}{\partial W_{uj}} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} P_u - \sum_{i|y_i=u} x_{ij} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log P(\vec{y}|X, W)}{\partial W_{uj} \partial W_{vk}} = \delta_{u=v} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} x_{ik} P_{ui} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} x_{ik} P_{ui} P_{vi} \quad (8)$$

$$= (-1)^{\delta_{u=v}+1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} x_{ik} P_{+i} P_{-i} \quad (9)$$

To show that the objective is convex, we first show that the Hessian (the matrix of second derivatives) is positive semi-definite (PSD). A matrix,  $M$ , is PSD iff  $\vec{a}^T M \vec{a} \geq 0$  for all vectors  $\vec{a}$ . Let  $\nabla^2$  be the Hessian for our objective. Define  $\rho_{iuj} := ux_{ij} \sqrt{P_{+i} P_{-i}}$ .

$$\vec{a}^T \nabla^2 \vec{a} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k,u,v} (-1)^{\delta_{j=u}+1} a_{uj} a_{vk} x_{ij} x_{ik} P_{+i} P_{-i} \quad (10)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{a}^T \vec{\rho}_i \vec{\rho}_i^T \vec{a} \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

Note that  $\vec{a}^T \vec{\rho}_i \vec{\rho}_i^T \vec{a} = (\vec{a}^T \vec{\rho}_i)^2 \geq 0$ . Hence, the Hessian is PSD. Theorem 2.6.1 of Cover and Thomas (1991) gives us that an objective with a PSD Hessian is convex. If we add an L2 regularizer,  $C(W_- W_-^T + W_+ W_+^T)$ , to the objective, then the Hessian is positive definite and hence the objective is strictly convex.

Note that we abuse notation by collapsing two indices into a single vector, e.g.  $\vec{a} = (a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \dots, a_{-d}, a_{+1}, \dots, a_{+d})$ . Similar for  $\rho$ .

### 3 Softmax

Next, we show that the multiclass generalization of LR, commonly known as “softmax,” is convex. Let  $\vec{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ,  $y_i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , be the set of multi-class labels. Softmax learns parameters  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  so as to minimize

$$-\log P(\vec{y}|X, W) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \log \left( \sum_{u=1}^m \exp(W_u \vec{x}_i) \right) - W_{y_i} \vec{x}_i \right]. \quad (12)$$

We use  $W_u$  ( $W_{y_i}$ ) to denote the  $u^{\text{th}}$  ( $y_i^{\text{th}}$ ) row of  $W$ . To show that the Softmax objective is convex, we consider the the partial derivatives. Define  $Z_i = \sum_{u=1}^m \exp(W_u \vec{x}_i)$  and  $P_{iu} = \exp(W_u \vec{x}_i)/Z_i$ . Note that

$$\frac{\partial P_{iu}}{\partial W_{vk}} = x_{ik} P_{iu} [\delta_{u=v}(1 - P_{iu}) - \delta_{u \neq v} P_{iv}]. \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log P(\vec{y}|X, W)}{\partial W_{uj}} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} P_{iu} - \sum_{i|y_i=u} x_{ij} \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log P(\vec{y}|X, W)}{\partial W_{uj} \partial W_{vk}} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} x_{ik} P_{iu} [\delta_{u=v}(1 - P_{iu}) - \delta_{u \neq v} P_{iv}] \quad (15)$$

By the Diagonal Dominance Theorem (see the Appendix), the Hessian (the matrix of second derivatives) is positive semi-definite (PSD). Theorem 2.6.1 of Cover and Thomas (1991) gives us that an objective with a PSD Hessian is convex. If we add an L2 regularizer,  $C \sum_u W_u W_u^T$ , to the objective, then the Hessian is positive definite and hence the objective is strictly convex.

## Appendix

**Theorem 1 (Diagonal Dominance Theorem)** *Suppose that  $M$  is symmetric and that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have*

$$M_{ii} \geq \sum_{j \neq i} |M_{ij}|. \quad (16)$$

*Then  $M$  is positive semi-definite (PSD). Furthermore, if the inequalities above are all strict, then  $M$  is positive definite.*

**Proof:** Recall that an eigenvector is a vector  $\vec{x}$  such that  $M\vec{x} = \gamma\vec{x}$ .  $\gamma$  is called the eigenvalue for  $\vec{x}$ . Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric matrix. Then  $M$  has  $n$  eigenvectors with real eigenvalues. Consider an eigenvector,  $\vec{x}$ , of  $M$  with eigenvalue  $\gamma$ . Then,  $M\vec{x} = \gamma\vec{x}$ . In particular,  $M_{ii}x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij}x_j = \gamma x_i$ . Let  $i$  be such that  $|x_i| \geq |x_j| \forall j$ . Now, assume  $M_{ii} \geq \sum_{j \neq i} |M_{ij}| \forall i$ . Then we see that  $\gamma \geq 0$ . Hence, all eigenvalues of  $M$  are non-negative and  $M$  is PSD. If the inequalities in our assumption are strict, then eigenvalues of  $M$  are positive and  $M$  is positive definite.  $\square$

## References

Cover, T., & Thomas, J. (1991). *Elements of information theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.