



DS-210: PROGRAMMING FOR DATA SCIENCE

LECTURE 35

1. ERROR HANDLING IN RUST

2. ALGORITHM DESIGN: DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING





ERROR HANDLING IN RUST

Two basic options:

- terminate when an error occurs: macro `panic!(...)`
- pass information about an error: enum `Result<T, E>`



MACRO `panic! (...)`

- Use for unrecoverable errors
- Terminates the application





MACRO `panic! (...)`

- Use for unrecoverable errors
- Terminates the application

```
In [2]: fn divide(a:u32, b:u32) -> u32 {  
    if b == 0 {  
        panic!("I'm sorry, Dave. I'm afraid I can't do that.");  
    }  
    a/b  
}
```





MACRO `panic! (...)`

- Use for unrecoverable errors
- Terminates the application

```
In [2]: fn divide(a:u32, b:u32) -> u32 {  
        if b == 0 {  
            panic!("I'm sorry, Dave. I'm afraid I can't do that.");  
        }  
        a/b  
    }
```

```
In [3]: divide(20,7)
```

```
Out[3]: 2
```





MACRO `panic! (...)`

- Use for unrecoverable errors
- Terminates the application

```
In [2]: fn divide(a:u32, b:u32) -> u32 {  
        if b == 0 {  
            panic!("I'm sorry, Dave. I'm afraid I can't do that.");  
        }  
        a/b  
    }
```

```
In [3]: divide(20,7)
```

```
Out[3]: 2
```

```
In [4]: divide(20,0)
```

```
thread '<unnamed>' panicked at 'I'm sorry, Dave. I'm afraid I can't do that.', src/lib.rs:4:9  
stack backtrace:  
 0: std::panicking::begin_panic  
 1: run_user_code_3  
 2: evcxr::runtime::Runtime::run_loop  
 3: evcxr::runtime::runtime_hook  
 4: evcxr_jupyter::main  
note: Some details are omitted, run with `RUST_BACKTRACE=full` for a verbose backtrace.  
Segmentation fault.  
 0: evcxr::runtime::Runtime::install_crash_handlers::segfault_handler  
 1: <unknown>  
 2: mi_free_generic  
 3: alloc::alloc::dealloc  
        at /rustc/9d1b2106e23b1abd32fce1f17267604a5102f57a/library/alloc/src/alloc.rs:105:14  
        <alloc::alloc::Global as core::alloc::Allocator>::deallocate
```





ENUM Result<T, E>

```
enum Result<T, E> {  
    Ok(T),  
    Err(E),  
}
```

Functions can use it to

- return a result
- or information about an encountered error



ENUM Result<T, E>

```
enum Result<T, E> {  
    Ok(T),  
    Err(E),  
}
```

Functions can use it to

- return a result
- or information about an encountered error

```
In [5]: fn divide(a:u32, b:u32) -> Result<u32, &'static str> {  
    if b != 0 {  
        Ok(a / b)  
    } else {  
        Err("Division by zero")  
    }  
}
```




ENUM Result<T, E>

```
enum Result<T, E> {  
    Ok(T),  
    Err(E),  
}
```

Functions can use it to

- return a result
- or information about an encountered error

```
In [5]: fn divide(a:u32, b:u32) -> Result<u32, &'static str> {  
        if b != 0 {  
            Ok(a / b)  
        } else {  
            Err("Division by zero")  
        }  
    }
```

```
In [6]: divide(20,7)
```

```
Out[6]: Ok(2)
```

```
In [7]: divide(20,0)
```

```
Out[7]: Err("Division by zero")
```





ENUM Result<T, E>

```
enum Result<T, E> {  
    Ok(T),  
    Err(E),  
}
```

Functions can use it to

- return a result
- or information about an encountered error

```
In [5]: fn divide(a:u32, b:u32) -> Result<u32, &'static str> {  
        if b != 0 {  
            Ok(a / b)  
        } else {  
            Err("Division by zero")  
        }  
    }
```

```
In [6]: divide(20,7)
```

```
Out[6]: Ok(2)
```

```
In [7]: divide(20,0)
```

```
Out[7]: Err("Division by zero")
```

- Useful when the error best handled somewhere else
- **Example:** input/output subroutines in the standard library



COMMON PATTERN: PROPAGATING ERRORS

- We are interested in the positive outcome: `t` in `Ok(t)`
- But if an error occurs, we want to propagate it
- This can be handled using `match` statements

```
In [8]: // compute a/b + c/d
fn calculate(a:u32, b:u32, c:u32, d:u32) -> Result<u32, &'static str> {
    let first = match divide(a,b) {
        Ok(t) => t,
        Err(e) => return Err(e),
    };
    let second = match divide(c,d) {
        Ok(t) => t,
        Err(e) => return Err(e),
    };
    Ok(first + second)
}
```



COMMON PATTERN: PROPAGATING ERRORS

- We are interested in the positive outcome: `t` in `Ok(t)`
- But if an error occurs, we want to propagate it
- This can be handled using `match` statements

```
In [8]: // compute a/b + c/d
fn calculate(a:u32, b:u32, c:u32, d:u32) -> Result<u32, &'static str> {
    let first = match divide(a,b) {
        Ok(t) => t,
        Err(e) => return Err(e),
    };
    let second = match divide(c,d) {
        Ok(t) => t,
        Err(e) => return Err(e),
    };
    Ok(first + second)
}
```

```
In [9]: calculate(16,4,18,3)
```

```
Out[9]: Ok(10)
```

```
In [10]: calculate(16,0,18,3)
```

```
Out[10]: Err("Division by zero")
```





THE QUESTION MARK SHORTCUT

- Place `?` after an expression that returns `Result<T, E>`
- This will:
 - give the content of `Ok(t)`
 - or return `Err(e)` from the encompassing function





THE QUESTION MARK SHORTCUT

- Place `?` after an expression that returns `Result<T, E>`
- This will:
 - give the content of `Ok(t)`
 - or return `Err(e)` from the encompassing function

```
In [11]: // compute a/b + c/d
fn calculate(a:u32, b:u32, c:u32, d:u32) -> Result<u32, &'static str> {
    Ok(divide(a,b)? + divide(c,d)?)
}
```



THE QUESTION MARK SHORTCUT

- Place `?` after an expression that returns `Result<T, E>`
- This will:
 - give the content of `Ok(t)`
 - or return `Err(e)` from the encompassing function

```
In [11]: // compute a/b + c/d
fn calculate(a:u32, b:u32, c:u32, d:u32) -> Result<u32, &'static str> {
    Ok(divide(a,b)? + divide(c,d)?)
}
```

```
In [12]: calculate(16,4,18,3)
```

```
Out[12]: Ok(10)
```

```
In [13]: calculate(16,0,18,3)
```

```
Out[13]: Err("Division by zero")
```



1. ERROR HANDLING IN RUST

2. ALGORITHM DESIGN: DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING





BIG PICTURE: REST OF THIS LECTURE AND NEXT

Review a few approaches to algorithm design:

- dynamic programming
- greedy approach
- divide and conquer





HOMEWORK 9: BEST DECISION TREE FOR A CLASSIFICATION PROBLEM

Input: set of n labelled points (x_i, z_i) , where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_i \in \{0, 1\}$

Goal: find decision tree with L leaves and highest accuracy on the input set





HOMWORK 9 RESTRICTION: $L = 2$

How to solve it?





HOMEWORK 9 RESTRICTION: $L = 2$

How to solve it?

Two-leaf decision tree: if $x < T$, output α , else output $(1 - \alpha)$





HOMWORK 9 RESTRICTION: $L = 2$

How to solve it?

Two-leaf decision tree: if $x < T$, output α , else output $(1 - \alpha)$

Two parameters: T and α

- suffices to try $T = x_i$ for all x_i 's and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$
- at most $2n$ options





HOMWORK 9 RESTRICTION: $L = 2$

How to solve it?

Two-leaf decision tree: if $x < T$, output α , else output $(1 - \alpha)$

Two parameters: T and α

- suffices to try $T = x_i$ for all x_i 's and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$
- at most $2n$ options

Algorithms:

- **Simple:** evaluate accuracy for each T and $\alpha \Rightarrow O(n^2)$ time
- **More sophisticated:** sort points, move the threshold for each α updating accuracies $\Rightarrow O(n \log n)$ time





GENERAL L





GENERAL L

How do decision trees with at most L leaves partition the line?





GENERAL L

How do decision trees with at most L leaves partition the line?

- at most L line segments: prediction fixed to 0 or 1 for each
- $\binom{n}{L-1} = O(n^{L-1})$ thresholds configurations to consider
- test each: $O(n^L)$ -time algorithm





GENERAL L

How do decision trees with at most L leaves partition the line?

- at most L line segments: prediction fixed to 0 or 1 for each
- $\binom{n}{L-1} = O(n^{L-1})$ thresholds configurations to consider
- test each: $O(n^L)$ -time algorithm

OUR GOAL: MUCH FASTER ALGORITHM





DEFINE SUBPROBLEMS

Simplifying assumption: $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$





DEFINE SUBPROBLEMS

Simplifying assumption: $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$

$M[l, k]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying the first k points, using at most l ranges

- $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$





DEFINE SUBPROBLEMS

Simplifying assumption: $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$

$M[l, k]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying the first k points, using at most l ranges

- $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$M[L, n]$ will give the best accuracy





HOW TO COMPUTE $M[l, k]$?

$M[l, k]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying the first k points, using at most l ranges

- $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$





HOW TO COMPUTE $M[l, k]$?

$M[l, k]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying the first k points, using at most l ranges

- $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

ONE LABEL PREDICTIONS ON $\{x_k : i \leq k \leq j\}$

- Define $S[i, j]$ = number of mispredictions for one label classifiers on this set
- $S[i, j]$ minimum of the numbers of 0 and 1 labels on this set





HOW TO COMPUTE $M[l, k]$?

$M[l, k]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying the first k points, using at most l ranges

- $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

ONE LABEL PREDICTIONS ON $\{x_k : i \leq k \leq j\}$

- Define $S[i, j]$ = number of mispredictions for one label classifiers on this set
- $S[i, j]$ minimum of the numbers of 0 and 1 labels on this set

COMPUTE $M[1, k]$ FOR ALL k

- $M[1, k] \leftarrow S[1, k]$
- $O(n)$ time overall





HOW TO COMPUTE $M[l, k]$?

$M[l, k]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying the first k points, using at most l ranges

- $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$S[i, j]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying points $\{x_k : i \leq k \leq j\}$ with one range





HOW TO COMPUTE $M[l, k]$?

$M[l, k]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying the first k points, using at most l ranges

- $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$S[i, j]$ = the minimum number of mistakes, when classifying points $\{x_k : i \leq k \leq j\}$ with one range

COMPUTE $M[l, k]$ FOR $l \geq 2$ AND ALL k

$$M[l, k] \leftarrow \min_{i=\{1, \dots, k\}} (M[l-1, i] + S[i+1, k])$$





TIME COMPLEXITY?





TIME COMPLEXITY?

- Computing $S[i, j]$ for all i and j : $O(n^2)$





TIME COMPLEXITY?

- Computing $S[i, j]$ for all i and j : $O(n^2)$
- Computing $M[l + 1, i]$ for all i from $M[l, i]$: $O(n^2)$





TIME COMPLEXITY?

- Computing $S[i, j]$ for all i and j : $O(n^2)$
- Computing $M[l + 1, i]$ for all i from $M[l, i]$: $O(n^2)$
- Total running time: $O(L) \cdot O(n^2) = O(Ln^2)$
- Much better than the more straightforward $O(n^L)$





RECONSTRUCTING THE SOLUTION

- This gives us $M[L, n] =$ the minimum number of mistakes overall
- How to get the best solution, not just the best cost?





RECONSTRUCTING THE SOLUTION

- This gives us $M[L, n]$ = the minimum number of mistakes overall
- How to get the best solution, not just the best cost?

Iteratively:

- Start from $M[L, n]$
- Find i the best $M[L - 1, i] + S[i + 1, n]$
- Label $\{x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ with the better of 0 and 1
- Continue with $M[L - 1, i]$
- ...





DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING IN GENERAL

- Define a small number of subproblems that are
 - sufficient to solve the general problem
 - helpful to solve each other





DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING IN GENERAL

- Define a small number of subproblems that are
 - sufficient to solve the general problem
 - helpful to solve each other

The most classic example: edit distance

- minimum number of edits to turn one string into another
- edits: deletions, insertions, substitutions
- correcting spelling mistakes: how far are two words?

Can you solve it?

