

## Lecture 6

Lecturer: Madhu Sudan

Scribe: Kyomin Jung

Remark: We defer the proof of the next statement to some later lecture.(it occurred in the proof of Plotkin bound in the last lecture):

if  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy  $\forall i \neq j, \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \leq 0$  then,  $m \leq 2n$ .

## 1 Overview

In this lecture we will examine some topics of decoding codes. Especially we will study Welch-Berlekamp algorithm, an error detecting decoding algorithm for Reed Solomon Codes(RS Codes).

## 2 Decoding linear codes

When we encode or decode linear codes, the some problems of finding efficient algorithm arise.

- Encoding codes: by multiplying the generator matrix, complexity of encoding any linear code is  $O(n^2)$ .<sup>1</sup>
- Detecting errors : For any linear codes, if the number of errors is less than  $d$ , we can detect errors in  $O(n^2)$  since it only involves multiplication by  $H$ , the error check matrix.
- Decoding from erasures
- Decoding from erroneous codes: This is one of the main topics in codes decoding and in this lecture we will cover one algorithm for RS codes decoding.

## 3 Decoding from erasure

Given a generator matrix  $G$ , and a codeword  $y \in (\sum \cup \{?\})^n$  where ‘?’ represents an erasure,

Goal: find  $x$  such that  $xG$  is consistent with  $y$ .

Note that if  $y_i \neq ?$ ,  $(xG)_i = x(G_i) = y_i$  because  $xG$  is consistent with  $y$ . ( Here,  $G_i$  refers to the  $i$ th column of  $G$  )

Now construct  $G'$  consisting of such  $i$ th columns of  $G$ , and  $y'$  consisting of non ? elements of  $y$ . If the number of erasure is less than  $d$ , than because  $d \leq n - k + 1$ , we can obtain unique  $x$  such that  $xG' = y'$ . Then this is the required  $x$ .

## 4 Welch-Berlekamp algorithm for RS codes decoding('86)

### 4.1 Brief history for RS codes decoding

- 1958,1959 - BCH codes were discovered.
- 1960 - Peterson gave a polynomial time algorithm for decoding BCH codes.
- 1963 - Gorenstein Zierler saw that BCH codes and RS codes have a common generalization. And the decoding algorithm extends to more general situation.
- 1968 - Berlekamp, Massey gave more efficient algorithm to decode BCH, RS codes.

<sup>1</sup>Some codes have lower encoding complexity. For example there exists an  $O(n(\log n)^{O(1)})$  algorithm for encoding RS codes. There even exist some linear-time encoding codes

## 4.2 Error-locator polynomial

Let's recall the RS decoding problem. In this problem inputs are pairwise distinct  $\alpha_i$ 's ( $i = 1 \dots n$ ) and a codeword  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Now our goal is to find a polynomial  $P$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $P$  has degree less than  $k$  and (the number of  $i$ 's s.t.  $P(\alpha_i) \neq y_i$ )  $\leq \frac{d-1}{2} = \frac{n-k}{2}$ . Note that the coefficients of  $P$  are the encoded information.

To solve this problem, we may think of an indicator for the  $i$ 's where error occurred. To this end, we will define a Error-locator polynomial  $E(x)$ .  $E(x)$  will be a polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $E(\alpha_i) = 0$  if  $y_i \neq P(\alpha_i)$  and the degree of  $E$  is less than or equal to  $\frac{n-k}{2}$ .

**Claim 1** *Error locator polynomial exists.*

### Proof

Let  $S = \{\alpha_i | P(\alpha_i) \neq y_i\}$   
Then let  $E(x) = \prod_{\alpha_i \in S} (x - \alpha_i)$ . ♠

Now, define  $N(x)$  a polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}$  by  $N(x) = E(x)P(x)$ . Then  $E(x)$  and  $N(x)$  have following properties.

- $\deg(E) \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$
- $E \neq 0$
- $\deg(N) \leq \frac{n-k}{2} + (k-1) = \frac{n+k}{2} - 1$
- $\forall i N(\alpha_i) = E(\alpha_i)y_i$
- $\frac{N}{E} = P$

The proofs for the above properties are straightforward. Now we introduce **Welch-Berlekamp Algorithm**. it uses above properties of  $E$  and  $N$ .

## 4.3 Welch-Berlekamp Algorithm

### Welch-Berlekamp Algorithm

Find two polynomials  $E_0(x)$ ,  $N_0(x)$  such that

1.  $\deg E_0 = \frac{n-k}{2}$ , the highest coefficient of  $E_0$  is 1.
2.  $\deg N_0 \leq \frac{n-k}{2} + (k-1) = \frac{n+k}{2} - 1$
3.  $\forall i N_0(\alpha_i) = E_0(\alpha_i)y_i$

We can find these  $E_0$  and  $N_0$  using  $n$  linear equations of 3) over  $\frac{n-k}{2} + \frac{n+k}{2} = n$  unknown coefficients of  $E_0$  and  $N_0$ . It can be performed in  $O(n^3)$  time.

Let the output of this algorithm be  $\frac{N_0}{E_0}$ .

**Lemma 2** *If  $(N_1, E_1)$  and  $(N_2, E_2)$  are two solutions satisfying above 1), 2), 3), then*

$$\frac{N_1}{E_1} = \frac{N_2}{E_2} \tag{1}$$

### Proof

For all  $i$ ,  $N_j(\alpha_i) = E_j(\alpha_i)y_i$ .  
If  $y_i \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$N_1(\alpha_i)E_2(\alpha_i) = N_2(\alpha_i)E_1(\alpha_i) \quad (2)$$

by multiplying  $N_1(\alpha_i) = E_1(\alpha_i)y_i$  and  $E_2(\alpha_i)y_i = N_2(\alpha_i)$  side by side.

If  $y_i = 0$ ,  $N_1(\alpha_i) = N_2(\alpha_i) = 0$ . So (2) still holds.

Therefore (2) holds for all  $i$ .

Then because  $N_1E_2$  and  $N_2E_1$  have degrees less than  $n$ , they must be identical. ♠

Now, it can be easily checked that for some polynomial  $R(x)$  with degree  $\frac{n-k}{2} - \deg(E)$ ,  $(E(x)R(x), N(x)R(x))$  is one solution for 1), 2), 3). And by definition of  $N(x)$ , it also can be easily checked that  $\frac{N \cdot R}{E \cdot R} = P$ . So for any solution  $(N_0, E_0)$  of 1), 2), 3),  $\frac{N_0}{E_0} = P$  as expected.

## 5 Abstracting the algorithm

In this section, we will try to generalize the condition given for the Welch-Berlekamp algorithm. When we consider  $E, N, P$  of Welch-Berlekamp algorithm,  $E$  is an element of set  $A$  of all the polynomials with degree  $\frac{n-k}{2}$  or less. Similarly  $N$  is an element of set  $B$  of all the polynomials with degree  $\frac{n+k}{2} - 1$  or less, and  $P$  is an element of set  $C$  of all the polynomials with degree  $k - 1$  or less.

Then the problem we need to solve is,

Given  $(A, B, C)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  such that  $y$  is (in some sense) close to some element of  $C$ , Find  $E \in A$ ,  $N \in B$  such that  $E \neq 0$  and  $\forall i E_i y_i = N_i$ .

More precise description and analysis of this generalization will be given in the next lecture.