

## Lecture 19

Lecturer: Madhu Sudan

Scribe: Swastik Kopparty

## 1 Today

- Finish Groebner Basis (Recognition)
- Complexity of Ideal Membership

## 2 Groebner Bases

Recall that for an ideal  $J$ , we call  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  a Groebner Basis for  $J$  if

- $\forall i, g_i \in J$
- $I(LT(g_1), LT(g_2), \dots, LT(g_t)) = I(LT(J))$

We further define two notions.

We say  $r$  is a *weak remainder* of  $f$  w.r.t.  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  if  $f = r + \sum g_i q_i$  and  $\forall$  monomials  $m$  of  $r$  and  $\forall i$ ,  $LT(g_i)$  does not divide  $m$ .

We say  $(q_1, \dots, q_m)$  is a *strong quotient* for  $f$  w.r.t.  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  if  $\forall i, \deg(g_i q_i) \leq \deg f$ .

Recall that when we run our algorithm *DIVIDE*, we get a weak remainder.

For two polynomials  $f, g$ , we define the *Syzygy* to be the linear combination of them which cancels leading terms; i.e.

$$S(f, g) = LC(g) \frac{M}{LM(f)} f - LC(f) \frac{M}{LM(g)} g$$

where  $M = LCM(LM(f), LM(g))$ .

We can now give the test for a GB:

- Given  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  as input
- Check that  $\forall i, j, \text{DIVIDE}(S(g_i, g_j), g_1, \dots, g_t)$  returns (0, strong quotient).
- Then  $\{g_i\}$  form a GB iff it passes the check.

We now prove the validity of this test:

**Proof** Take  $f \in J = I(g_1, \dots, g_t)$ . We need to show that  $LT(f) \in I(LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_t))$ .

First write  $f = \sum m_j g_{i_j}$  where  $i_j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Amongst all such representations, pick the *reduced form*; i.e. the sequence with the smallest length satisfying  $\deg(m_1 g_{i_1}) \geq \deg(m_2 g_{i_2}) \geq \dots$  and also, if  $\deg(m_j g_{i_j}) = \deg(m_{j+1} g_{i_{j+1}})$ , then  $i_j < i_{j+1}$ .

Claim:  $LT(f) = LT(m_1 g_{i_1})$ .

Wlog, we can take  $f = m_1 g_1 + m_2 g_2 + \dots$ . Suppose  $\deg(m_1 g_1) = \deg(m_2 g_2)$ . In this case we want to say that  $m_2 g_2 = m_1 g_1 +$  lower degree terms. We use the Syzygy property:

$$m_1 g_1 = w \frac{M}{LM(g_1)} g_1$$

$$m_2 g_2 = w \frac{M}{LM(g_2)} g_2$$

$$S(g_1, g_2) = 0 + \sum g_i q_i$$

where  $\text{degree}(g_i q_i) < \text{degree}(\frac{M}{LM(g_1)} g_1)$ .

So,  $m_2 g_2 = m_1 g_1 + \sum g_i q_i$ . Thus reducedness is violated, and hence  $\deg(m_1 g_1) > \deg(m_2 g_2)$ , thus  $LT(f) = LT(m_1 g_1)$ , as desired. ■

### 3 Complexity of Ideal Membership Problem

- Given  $f_0, \dots, f_m \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  of degree  $d$
- Decide if  $\exists q_1, \dots, q_m$  s.t.  $f_0 = \sum f_i q_i$ .

We wish to bound the complexity (in operations over  $K$ ) in terms of  $n, d, m$ .

**Theorem 1 [Mayr, Meyer '81]**  $IM \in EXPSPACE = SPACE(2^{poly(n,d,m)})$  and further,  $IM$  is  $EXPSPACE$  hard!

#### 3.1 Hardness

The reduction is from the Commutative word equivalence problem (CWEP).

- Input:
- $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet,  $|\Sigma| = n$ .
- Rules  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \alpha_2 = \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_m = \beta_m, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Sigma^*$
- $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^*$
- Goal:
- Determine if  $\alpha = \beta$ .
- Using given rules and using commutativity of symbols in  $\Sigma$ .

It is known that  $CWEP$  is  $EXPSPACE$  hard.

The reduction is obvious. Every word is a monomial. Rules are binomials  $f_i(x) = mono(\alpha_i) - mono(\beta_i)$ . Membership in  $CWEP$  is asking if  $f_0(x) = mono(\alpha_0) - mono(\beta_0) \in I$ ? Thus  $IM$  is  $EXPSPACE$  hard.

#### 3.2 Upper bound

This result rests on 2 facts:

- Inverting a  $m \times n$  linear system can be done in  $SPACE(polylog(m+n))$ .
- A 1926 result of Hermann that says that there exist  $q_i$  with  $deg(q_i) \leq D = (md)^{2^n}$

Note that finding  $q_i$  (if they exist) can be posed as inverting a linear system.

We will prove Hermann's result. We want to get an understanding of solutions to the following kind of question, a linear equation over a ring:

- Determine if  $\exists q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  s.t.  $\sum f_i q_i = f_0$

Note that this question can be posed as a linear system over a field, a kind of question that we do understand:

- Determine  $\exists q_{i,\alpha} \in K$  s.t.  $\forall \beta \sum_{i,\alpha+\alpha'=\beta} q_{i,\alpha} f_{i,\alpha'} = f_{0,\beta}$ , where  $\beta$  ranges over all multi-indices over  $n$  variables of degree  $\leq deg(f_0)$

In order to bound the degree, we introduce a common generalization, the  $j$ -variable linear system, that will help us make the transition between the problems

- Given polynomials  $f_{i,\alpha} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_j], i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, \alpha \in A$

- Determine if  $\exists q_i \in K[X_1, \dots, X_j]$  s.t.  $\forall \alpha \in A, \sum_i q_i f_{i,\alpha} = f_{0,\alpha}$

The strategy will be to eliminate 1 variable at a time. The crux of the Hermann result is that a  $j$  variable linear system with  $M$  equations and  $n$  unknowns reduces to a  $j - 1$  variable linear system in  $\text{poly}(M, n, d)$  equations and  $\text{poly}(M, n, d)$  unknowns.

**Lemma 2** Let  $f_i \in K[X_1, \dots, X_j]$ . Suppose  $\exists q_i \in K[X_1, \dots, X_j]$  with  $X_j$  degree  $< D$  satisfying  $f_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i q_i$ . Then the following system of equations over has a solution  $q'_{i,\alpha} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}]$

$$\forall \gamma < D, \quad \sum_{i,\beta,\alpha,\beta+\alpha=\gamma} f_{i,\beta} q'_{i,\alpha} = f_{0,\gamma}$$

where  $f_{i,\beta} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}]$  is the coefficient of  $X_j^\beta$  in  $f_i$ . Furthermore, any solution to this system of equations yields a solution to the original equation with  $X_j$  degree  $< D$ .

**Proof** Simply take  $q'_{i,\alpha}$  to be the coefficient of  $X_j^\alpha$  in  $q_i$ . ■

**Definition 3** Let  $R$  be a ring. We call an  $r \times s$  matrix  $A$  with entries in  $R[z]$  good if

- $r < s$
- There exists an  $r \times r$  minor  $\tilde{A}$  with  $\det \tilde{A}$  monic and nonzero.

**Lemma 4** Let  $R$  be a ring. Let  $A$  be a good matrix in  $R[z]$  with each entry having degree  $\leq D$ . Let  $b$  be a vector with entries in  $R[z]$  with each entry having degree  $\leq D$ . Suppose  $Ax = b$  has a solution in  $R[z]$ . Then  $Ax = b$  has a solution with each entry having degree  $\leq O(MD)$ .

**Proof** Consider the minor  $\tilde{A}$  guaranteed to exist by the goodness of  $A$ . We can rearrange the columns and have  $A = [\tilde{A}|B]$ . For a vector  $w$  with  $w^T = (w_1|w_2)$ , we have that  $Aw = \tilde{A}w_1 + Bw_2$ . Thus, if we pick  $w_2$  arbitrarily, then if  $Aw = b$ , it must be that  $w_1 = \tilde{A}^{-1}(b - Bw_2)$ .

Note that  $\tilde{A}^{-1} = \frac{\text{Adj}(\tilde{A})}{\det(\tilde{A})}$ . Thus if  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a solution, then for any vector  $c$ , so is  $w = (x_1 + \text{Adj}(\tilde{A})Bc, x_2 - \det(\tilde{A})c)$ . Now, by the goodness hypothesis,  $\det(\tilde{A})$  is monic, and since its degree  $\leq O(MD)$ , then by choosing  $c$  appropriately, make  $\deg(w_2) = O(MD)$ . Then,  $\deg(w_1) < \deg\left(\frac{\text{Adj}(\tilde{A})}{\det(\tilde{A})}(b - Bw_2)\right)$  which  $= O(MD)$ , as desired. ■

With this lemma in hand, it is essentially clear what to do. Suppose we are given a system of  $M$  equations  $Ax = b$  with coefficients in  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_j]$  and degree bounded by  $D$ . Suppose that we also know that there is a solution to this system. Then by lemma 4, there is a solution with  $X_j$  degree  $< O(MD)$ . Thus by lemma 2 we can reduce to  $O(M^2D)$  equations in over  $K[X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}]$  with degree at most  $D$ . Continuing this way, we get a linear system over  $K$  which has a solution, from which we can reconstruct a solution to the original problem with degree at most  $(MD)^{O(2^n)}$  (note that the degree was squaring at each stage).

Actually, to apply lemma 4 we required some goodness from our linear system at each stage. This can be achieved by doing the following at every stage: we throw away all row dependencies to make the matrix of full row rank. Then applying a random linear transformation to the  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , we get that with high probability for any single polynomial and any fixed variable, the modified polynomial will be monic in that variable. This holds in particular for the determinant of a nonsingular  $r \times r$  minor of our  $A$ , thus making it good.

To see the high probability result, let us be a bit more precise. Given a polynomial  $f(x)$  homogenous of degree  $n$ , not identically 0. Pick a random orthogonal matrix  $P$  (uniform from  $S^{n-1}, S^{n-2}, \dots, S_0$ ) and consider the polynomial  $g(x) = f(Px)$ . Then the resulting polynomial is homogenous of degree  $n$  and is not monic if and only if  $g(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ . However  $P \cdot (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  is a point uniformly chosen from the surface of the sphere and by Schwarz Zippel,  $f(P \cdot (1, 0, \dots, 0))$  is nonzero almost everywhere. Thus w.h.p.  $g$  is monic.