#### Communication & Computation A need for a new unifying theory

#### Madhu Sudan Microsoft, New England

09/19/2011

#### **Theory of Computing**



09/19/2011

#### **Theory of Communication**

 Shannon's architecture for communication over noisy channel



# Yields reliable communication (and storage (= communication across time)).

## 



#### 1940s – 2000:

Theories developed mostly independently.

- Shannon abstraction (separating information theoretic properties of encoder/decoder from computational issues) – mostly successful.
- Turing assumption (reliable storage/communication) – mostly realistic.

### Modern Theory (of Comm. & Comp.)

Network (society?) of communicating computers



#### Modern Challenges (to communication)

- Nature of communication is more complex.
  - Channels are more complex (composed of many smaller, potentially *clever* sub-channels)
    - Alters <u>nature</u> of errors
  - Scale of information being stored/communicated is much larger.
    - Does <u>scaling</u> enhance <u>reliability</u> or decrease it?
  - The Meaning of Information
    - Entities constantly evolving. Can they preserve meaning of information?

#### Part I: Modeling errors

09/19/2011

**UIUC:** Communication & Computation

8 of 31

### Shannon (1948) vs. Hamming (1950)

- q-ary channel:
  - Input: n element string Y over Σ = {1,..., q}
  - Output: n element string  $\hat{Y}$  over  $\Sigma = \{1, ..., q\}$
- Shannon: Errors = Random
  Ŷ<sub>i</sub> = Y<sub>i</sub>w.p. 1 − p, uniform in Σ − {Y<sub>i</sub>} w.p. p.
  p < 1 − 1/q ⇒ Channel can be reliable.</li>
  q → ∞ ⇒ p → 1.
- Hamming: Errors = Adversarial
  - p-fraction of i's satisfy  $\hat{Y}_i \neq Y_i$
  - p can never exceed ½!

#### Which is the right model?

- 60 years of wisdom ...
  - Error model can be fine-tuned ...
  - Fresh combinatorics, algorithms, probabilistic models can be built ...
  - ... to fit Shannon Model. Corrects More Errors!
- An <u>alternative</u> List-Decoding [Elias '56]!
  - Decoder allowed to produce list {m<sub>1</sub>,...,m<sub>l</sub>}
  - "Successful" if {m<sub>1</sub>,...,m<sub>l</sub>} contains m.
  - "60 years of wisdom" ⇒ this is good enough!
  - [70s]: Corrects as many adversarial errors as random ones! Safer Model!

09/19/2011

#### **Challenges in List-decoding!**

#### Algorithms?

- Correcting a few errors is already challenging!
  - Can we really correct 70% errors? 99% errors?
  - When an adversary injects them?
  - Note: More errors than data!
- Till 1988 ... no list-decoding algorithms.
  - Goldreich-Levin '88] Raised question
    - Gave non-trivial algorithm (for weak code).
    - Gave cryptographic applications.

#### **Algorithms for List-decoding**

- [S. '96], [Guruswami + S. '98]:
  - List-decoding of Reed-Solomon codes.
  - Corrected p-fraction error with linear "rate".
- ['98 '06] Many algorithmic innovations ...
  [Guruswami, Shokrollahi, Koetter-Vardy, Indyk]
- [Parvaresh-Vardy '05 + Guruswami-Rudra '06]
  - List-decoding of new variant of Reed-Solomon codes.
  - Correct p-fraction error with optimal "rate".

#### **Reed-Solomon List-Decoding Problem**

#### Given:

- Parameters: n,k,t
- Points: (x<sub>1</sub>,y<sub>1</sub>),...,(x<sub>n</sub>,y<sub>n</sub>) in the plane (over finite fields, actually)

#### Find:

- All degree k polynomials that pass through t of the n points.
  - i.e., f such that
  - $\deg(f) \le k$

■ 
$$|\{i \text{ s.t. } f(x_i) = y_i\}| \ge t$$

#### Decoding by Example + Picture [S. '96]

n=14;k=1;t=5

Algorithm Idea:

 Find algebraic explanation of *all* points.

$$x^4 - y^4 - x^2 + y^2 = 0$$

Stare at it!
 Factor the polynomial!



$$(x^2 + y^2 - 1)(x + y)(x - y) = 0$$

09/19/2011

**UIUC:** Communication & Computation

14 of 31

#### **Decoding Algorithm**

- Fact: There is always a degree 2√n polynomial thru n points
  - Can be found in polynomial time (solving linear system).
- [80s]: Polynomials can be factored in polynomial time [Grigoriev, Kaltofen, Lenstra]
- Leads to (simple, efficient) list-decoding correcting p fraction errors for p → 1

#### Conclusion

More errors (than data!) can be dealt with ...

More computational power leads to better error-correction.

- Theoretical Challenge: List-decoding on <u>binary</u> channel (with optimal (Shannon) rates).
  - Important to clarify the right model.

# Part II: Massive Data; Local Algorithms

09/19/2011

**UIUC: Communication & Computation** 

17 of 31

#### Reliability vs. Size of Data

- Q: How reliably can one store data as the amount of data increases?
  - [Shannon]: Can store information at close to "optimal" rate, and prob. decoding error <u>drops</u> exponentially with <u>length</u> of data.
    - Surprising at the time?
  - Decoding time grows with length of data
    Exponentially in Shannon
    - Subsequently polynomial, even linear.
    - Is the bad news necessary?

09/19/2011

#### Sublinear time algorithmics

- Algorithms don't always need to run in linear time (!), provided ...
  - They have random access to input,
  - Output is short (relative to input),
  - Answers don't have usual, exact, guarantee!
- Applies, in particular, to Decoder
  - Given CD, "test" to see if it has (too many) errors? [Locally Testable Codes]
  - Given CD, recover particular block. [Locally Decodable Codes]

### Progress [1990-2008]

- Question raised in context of results in complexity and privacy
  - Probabilistically checkable proofs
  - Private Information Retrieval
- Summary:
  - Many non-trivial tradeoffs possible.
  - Locality can be reduced to n<sup>€</sup> at O(1) penalty to rate, fairly easily.
  - Much better effects possible with more intricate constructions.
    - [Ben-Sasson+S. '05, Dinur '06]: O(1)-testing with poly(log n) penalty in rate.
    - [Yekhanin '07, Raghavendra '07, Efremenko '08]: 3-local decoding with subexponential penalty in rate.
    - [Koppary-Saraf-Yekhanin '10]:  $n^{\epsilon}$ -decoding with rate 1- $\delta$ .

#### **Challenges ahead**

- Technical challenges
  - Linear rate testability?
  - Polynomial rate decodability?
  - Logarithmic time decodability with linear rate?
- Bigger Challenge
  - What is the model for the future storage of information?
  - How are we going to cope with increasing drive to digital information?

# Part III: The Meaning of Information

09/19/2011

**UIUC:** Communication & Computation

22 of 31

#### The Meaning of Bits



- Is this perfect communication?
- What if Alice is trying to send instructions?
  - In other words ... an algorithm
  - Does Bob understand the correct algorithm?
  - What if Alice and Bob speak in different (programming) languages?

#### **Motivation: Better Computing**

Networked computers use common languages:

- Interaction between computers (getting your computer onto internet).
- Interaction between pieces of software.
- Interaction between software, data and devices.
- Getting two computing environments to "talk" to each other is getting problematic:
  - time consuming, unreliable, insecure.

Can we communicate more like humans do?

09/19/2011

#### Some modelling

- Say, Alice and Bob know different programming languages. Alice wishes to send an algorithm A to Bob.
- Bad News: Can't be done
  - For every Bob, there exist algorithms A and A', and Alices, Alice and Alice', such that Alice sending A is indistinguishable (to Bob) from Alice' sending A'
- Good News: Need not be done.
  - From Bob's perspective, if A and A' are indistinguishable, then they are equally useful to him.
- Question: What should be communicated? Why?

09/19/2011

#### **Progress Report I: Computational Goal**

- Bob (weak computer) communicating with Alice (strong computer) to solve hard problem.
- Alice "Helpful" if she can help some (weak) Bob' solve the problem.
- Theorem [Juba & S., STOC 08]: Bob can use Alice's help to solve his problem iff problem is verifiable (for every Helpful Alice).
- "Misunderstanding" = "Mistrust"

09/19/2011

#### Progress Report II: General Goals

- [Goldreich, Juba, S. ECCC 2010]
- Not every goal is computational. Does the [JS] result extend to other settings?
  - First: What do general goals look like?
    - Non-trivial to define (in languageindependent form).
    - But can be done.
  - Second: Results extend provided goals are verifiable, and players are "helpful".
    - Definitions can be extended.

#### **Progress Report III: Efficiency?**

- One of the main contributions of [JS'08] was a measure of efficiency of "achieving understanding".
- Unfortunately protocol in [JS'08] could be inefficient.
  - [JS'08] proves such inefficiency is inherent.
- [JS ICS 2011]:
  - New measure of efficiency:
  - Takes into account compatibility of user with server; and "broadmindedness" of server and shows understanding can be achieved efficiently if these parameters are small.

# Main Contribution: A new model Semantic Communication Model Classical Channon Model



[Kalai,Khanna,J.,S. – ICS 2011] Compression in this setting: Leads to ambiguous, redundant compression March 1, 2011 Semantic Communication @ UCLA

**29** of 31

#### Concluding

- More, complex, errors can be dealt with, thanks to improved computational abilities.
- Need to build/study tradeoffs between global reliability and local computation.
- Meaning of information needs to be preserved!
- Need to merge computation and communication more tightly!

09/19/2011

# Thank You!

09/19/2011

**UIUC:** Communication & Computation

**31** of 31