# **Reliable Meaningful Communication**

#### Madhu Sudan Microsoft, Cambridge, USA

01/03/2015

ISCA-2015: Reliable Meaningful Communication

1 of 14

#### **Reliable Communication?**

Problem from the 1940s: Advent of digital age.



Communication media are always noisy
 But digital information less tolerant to noise!

### Coding by Repetition

Can repeat (every letter of) message to improve reliability:

WWW EEE AAA RRR EEE NNN OOO WWW ...

WXW EEA ARA SSR EEE NMN OOP WWW ...

- Calculations:
  - t repetitions  $\Rightarrow$  Prob. Single symbol corrupted  $\approx 2^{-t}$
  - To transmit k symbols, choose  $t \approx \log k$
  - Rate of transmission  $= \frac{1}{\log k} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$
  - Belief (pre-1940s): Rate of any scheme  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

## Shannon's Theory [1948]



- Sender "Encodes" before transmitting
- Receiver "Decodes" after receiving



• Encoder/Decoder arbitrary functions.  $E: \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$   $D: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^k$ 

• Rate = 
$$\frac{k}{n}$$
;

• Requirement: m = D(E(m) + error) w. high prob.

What are the best E, D (with highest Rate)?

#### Shannon's Theorem

If every bit is flipped with probability p

• Rate  $\rightarrow 1 - H(p)$  can be achieved.

$$H(p) \triangleq p \log_2 \frac{1}{p} + (1-p) \log_2 \frac{1}{1-p}$$

- This is best possible.
- Examples:

$$\bullet p = 0 \Rightarrow Rate = 1$$

• 
$$p = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow Rate = 0$$

- Monotone decreasing for  $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$
- Positive rate for p = 0.4999; even if  $k \to \infty$

#### Challenges post-Shannon

Encoding/Decoding functions not "constructive".

- Shannon picked *E* at random, *D* brute force.
- Consequence:
  - D takes time  $\sim 2^k$  to compute (on a computer).
  - *E* takes time  $2^{2^k}$  to find!
- Algorithmic challenge:
  - Find *E*, *D* more explicitly.
  - Both should take time  $\sim k, k^2, k^3$  ... to compute

#### Explicit Codes: Reed-Solomon Code

- Messages = Coefficients of Polynomials.
  - Example:
    - Message = (100,23,45,76)
    - Think of polynomial  $p(x) = 100 + 23x + 45x^2 + 76x^3$
    - Encoding: (p(1), p(2), p(3), p(4), ..., p(n))
    - First four values suffice, rest is redundancy!
  - (Easy) Facts:
    - Any k values suffice where k = length of message.
    - Can handle n k erasures or (n k)/2 errors.
    - Explicit encoding  $\checkmark$
    - Efficient decoding? [Peterson 1960]

#### More Errors? List Decoding

- Why was (n k)/2 the limit for #errors?
  - $\frac{n}{2}$  is clearly a limit right?
    - First half = evaluations of  $p_1$
    - Second half = evaluations of  $p_2$
    - What is the right message:  $p_1$  or  $p_2$ ?
- $\frac{n}{2}$  (even  $\frac{n-k}{2}$ ) is the limit for "unique" answer.
- List-decoding: Generalized notion of decoding.
  - Report (small) list of possible messages.
  - Decoding "successful" if list contains the message polynomial.

#### **Reed-Solomon List-Decoding Problem**

- Given:
  - Parameters: n, k, t
  - Points: (x<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>), ..., (x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>) in the plane (finite field actually)
- Find:
  - All degree k poly's that pass thru t of n points
    - i.e., all *p* s.t.
      - $\deg(p) < k$
      - $\#\{i \mid p(x_i) = y_i\} \ge t$

•  $t \ge \frac{(n+k)}{2}$ : Answer unique; [Peterson 60] finds it.

• [S. 96, Guruswami+S. '98]:  $t \ge \sqrt{kn}$ ; small list

#### Decoding by example + picture [S'96]

n = 14; k = 1; t = 5

Algorithm idea:

 Find algebraic explanation of all points.

 $x^4 - y^4 - x^2 + y^2 = 0$ 

Stare at the solution ③
 (factor the polynomial)

$$(x+y)(x-y)(x^2+y^2-1)$$



#### Decoding by example + picture [S'96]

n = 14; k = 1; t = 5

Algorithm idea:

 Find algebraic explanation of all points.

 $x^4 - y^4 - x^2 + y^2 = 0$ 

Stare at the solution ③
 (factor the polynomial)

 $(x + y) (x - y) (x^2 + y^2 - 1)$ 



### **Decoding Algorithm**

- Fact: There is always a degree  $2\sqrt{n}$  polynomial thru *n* points
  - Can be found in polynomial time (solving linear system).
- [80s]: Polynomials can be factored in polynomial time [Grigoriev, Kaltofen, Lenstra]
- Leads to (simple, efficient) list-decoding correcting  $\kappa$  fraction errors for  $\kappa \rightarrow 1$

#### Summary and conclusions

- (Many) errors can be dealt with:
  - Pre-Shannon: vanishing fraction of errors
  - Pre-list-decoding: small constant fraction
  - Post-list-decoding: overwhelming fraction
- Future challenges?
  - Communication can overcome errors introduced by channels.
  - Can communication overcome errors in misunderstanding between sender and receiver?
    - [Goldreich, Juba, S. '2011];
      [Juba, Kalai, Khanna, S. '2011] ....

# Thank You!

01/03/2015

ISCA-2015: Reliable Meaningful Communication

**14** of 14