Non-Adaptive Fault Diagnosis for All-Optical Networks via Combinatorial Group Testing on Graphs

Nick Harvey, Mihai Pătraşcu, Yonggang Wen, Sergey Yekhanin Vincent W.S. Chan











Group Testing

• n drugs: n-1 good, 1 bad



Group Testing

- n drugs: n-1 good, 1 bad
- better idea:



Very Useful in Practice





Combinatorial Group Testing

- adaptive / nonadaptive
- m = # elements
- s = # bad elements





$$\Omega\left(\frac{s^2}{\log s}\log m\right) \le T^*[m,s] \le O\left(s^2\log m\right)$$



Group Testing on Graphs

- elements = edges
- bad elements = failed edges
- probes = connected subgraphs



Assumptions
$$\bigotimes$$
1 element = \$1Graph Testing: 1 connected subset = \$1CGT:1 subset = \$1

Cost Model: All-Optical Networks

Practical assumption: undirected graph an edge = 2 parallel optical fibers

=> testing entire connected subgraph = 1 lightpath





Cost Model: All-Optical Networks

"1 lightpath = \$1"

routing with negligible attenuation

speed of light = fast



Optical routing

=> real cost: transmission / reception
=> real delay: adaptivity

Assumptions

Failure model:

- links fail
- permanent failure model
- adversarial failures

Network model:

- undirected
- separate control network [alternative: want to find the connected component of a central node]



(cable cuts...)
(link = up/down)
(up to s)

Some Special Cases

adaptive: $O[s \log m]$ -



• line, ring $1 \cdots n$ • complete graph K_n $L^*(s=1) = O(\log n)$ • grid, torus $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ $L^*(s=1) = O(\log n)$ $L^*(s \ge 7) = \Omega(n)$

Lesson 1: Small Connectivity Hurts

Lower bound for line:

- probes = interval
- if one vertex has no [or]

=> can't distinguish adjacent edges



Lesson 2: Just Need a Trusted Subnet

Solution for complete graph:

- **1.** test the star $(1,2),(1,3),\dots,(1,n)$
- assuming 1. says "fail": apply CGT on star edges
- 3. assuming 1. says "not fail": apply CGT on all other edges



Cost: 1 +
$$T * (n, s = 1) + T * [(n-1)(n-2)/2, s = 1]$$

= $O(\log n)$

General Results: Well Connected

If G containts s+1 edge-disjoint spanning trees:

 $T^*(m,s) \le L^*(G,s) \le \mathcal{O}(s \cdot T^*(m,s))$

Proof:

- test each subtree => at least one is ok
- use this subtree to do CGT on the other edges
 Corollaries:
- 2D torus has min-cut 4 => L*(s=1) = O(log n)
 2D grid similar, more complicated
- complete graph has min-cut n-1 => can handle $s \le [n-3]/2$



 $O[s^3 \log m]$

General Results: Trees

Tree T of depth D: $\Omega(D + \log n) \le L^*(T, s = 1) \le O(D + \log^2 n)$ *Proof:*



General Results: Low Diameter

G connected, diameter **D**: $L^*(G,1) \leq O(D + \log^2 n)$

Proof:

- let T = shortest path tree (depth D)
 Cost
- test T 1
- assuming T is ok, do CGT on G\T
 O(log n)
- assuming T in not ok, apply tree algorithm on T

 $O(D + \log^2 n)$

Summary

- trees $\Omega(D + \log n) \le L^*(T, s = 1) \le O(D + \log^2 n)$ * line, ring: $L^*(s = 1) = \Theta(D) = \Theta(n)$
- diameter D: $L^*(G, s = 1) \le O(D + \log^2 n)$
- s+1 edge-disjoint spanning trees $\Omega\left(\frac{s^2}{\log s}\log m\right) \le L^*(G,s) \le O(s^3\log m)$

* complete graph $\forall s \le \lfloor n-3 \rfloor/2$ * torus, grid $L^*(s=1) = O(\log n)$ $L^*(s \ge 7) = \Omega(n)$

The End

I have a question about slides {1,2,5,6,9}