## 1 Compressed Sensing

In compressed sensing, we want to solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \|x\|_{0} \text { s.t. } A x=b \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\|x\|_{0}$ refers to the number of nonzero entries in $x$.
Here $A$ is $m \times n$ and $m \ll n$, so there are many solutions. Among the solutions, we want to find the sparsest. This problem has a huge number of applications: MRI, single pixel camera, etc.
It is NP-hard, but we'll give conditions under which we can solve it anyway. Let's start by considering a relaxed version of the problem that we can solve efficiently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \|x\|_{1} \text { s.t. } A x=b \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be rewritten as

$$
\min \sum y_{i} \text { s.t. } A x=b, x \leq y,-x \leq y
$$

If $A$ has certain properties, the optimal solution to $\left(P_{1}\right)$ will also be an optimal solution to $\left(P_{0}\right)$, allowing us to solve ( $P_{0}$ ) easily.

## 2 Restricted Isometry Property

We say that $A$ has restricted isometry property (RIP) $\left(k, \delta_{k}\right)$ if for all $x$ with $\|x\|_{0} \leq k$ we have

$$
\left(1-\delta_{k}\right)\|x\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|A x\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left(1+\delta_{k}\right)\|x\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Roughly, this means that on sparse vectors, A behaves similarly to an orthogonal matrix. Recall that if $Q$ is an orthogonal matrix, $\|x\|_{2}^{2}=\|Q x\|_{2}^{2} \forall x$.

## 3 Sample Compressed Sensing Theorem

Theorem 1 (Candes-Tao [1]). If $A x=b$ and $\|x\|_{0} \leq k$, and $A$ has the RIP for $\left(2 k, \delta_{2 k}\right)$ and for $\left(3 k, \delta_{3 k}\right)$, and $\delta_{2 k}+\delta_{3 k}<1$, the uniquely optimal solution to $\left(P_{1}\right)$ is $x$.

Fact: Random $m \times n$ matrix $A$ (independent, Gaussian entries), when scaled appropriately, will satisfy the above RIP with $m=\Theta\left(k \log \frac{n}{k}\right)$.

## 4 Almost Euclidean Subspace

In what follows, we care about the subspace which is the kernel of $A$.
We say that a subspace $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is C-Almost Euclidean (C-AE) if for all $v \in \Gamma$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|v\|_{1} \leq\|v\|_{2} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}\|v\|_{1}
$$

Informally, we want $\Gamma \cap\left\{x \mid\|x\|_{1} \leq 1\right\}$ to be approximately a sphere
Claim 2. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|v\|_{1} \leq\|v\|_{2}$ for all $v$, regardless of $\Gamma$.
Proof. If $u_{i}=\operatorname{sign}\left(v_{i}\right)$, then $\|v\|_{1}=\langle v, u\rangle \leq\|v\|_{2} \cdot\|u\|_{2} \leq\|v\|_{2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{supp}(v)|} \leq\|v\|_{2} \sqrt{n}$
Consider $v \in \Gamma, v \neq 0, S=\frac{n}{C^{2}}$.
Lemma 3. If $v \in \Gamma, v \neq 0$, then $|\operatorname{supp}(v)| \geq S$

Proof. From above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{1} \leq\|v\|_{2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{supp}(v)|} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}\|v\|_{1} \sqrt{|\operatorname{supp}(v)|} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so it must be that $\sqrt{|\operatorname{supp}(v)|} \geq \sqrt{n} / C$.
Analogy: linear error correcting codes

$$
e=\left\{A x \mid x \in\{0,1\}^{k}\right\}
$$

over $G F(2)$ need all nonzero $A x$ 's to have many 1's.
Lemma 4. Let $v \in \Gamma, v \neq 0, T \subset[n],|T| \leq \frac{S}{16}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{T}\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{\|v\|_{1}}{4} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{T}$ is $v$ restricted to $T$.

In words, this lemma says that the $\ell_{1}$ norm of $v$ cannot be concentrated in too small a set of vertices.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{T}\right\|_{1} \leq \sqrt{|T|}\left\|v_{T}\right\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{|T|}\|v\|_{2} \leq \frac{C \sqrt{|T|}}{\sqrt{n}}\|v\|_{1} \leq \frac{C \sqrt{\frac{n}{16 C^{2}}}}{\sqrt{n}}\|v\|_{1}=\frac{\|v\|_{1}}{4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5. If $A x=b$ and $\|x\|_{0} \leq \frac{S}{16}=\frac{n}{16 C^{2}}$ and $\Gamma=\operatorname{ker}(A)$ is $C-A E$ then $x$ is the uniquely optimal solution to ( $P_{1}$ ).

Proof. Let $w$ be any other potential solution to $\left(P_{1}\right)$. We can write $w=x+v, v \in \Gamma$ since $\Gamma=\operatorname{ker}(A)$. Let $T=\operatorname{supp}(x)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|w\|_{1} & =\left\|w_{T}\right\|_{1}+\left\|w_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1} \geq\left\|x_{T}\right\|_{1}-\left\|v_{T}\right\|_{1}+\left\|v_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\left\|x_{1}\right\|-2\left\|v_{T}\right\|_{1}+\|v\|_{1} \\
& \geq\|x\|_{1}+\frac{\|v\|_{1}}{2} \\
& \geq\|x\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second to last last inequality follows because $v \in \Gamma$ and so $\left\|v_{T}\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{\|v\|_{1}}{4}$.
Theorem 6 (Kashin [3], Garnaev-Gluskin [2]). A random subspace $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of $\operatorname{dim}(\Gamma)=n-m$ is C-AE (whp) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{m} \log \frac{n}{m}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 5 we can obtain sparse recovery up to sparsity:

$$
\|x\|_{0}=\frac{S}{16}=\frac{n}{16 C^{2}}=\Omega\left(\frac{m}{\log \frac{n}{m}}\right)
$$

What happens if $x$ is not exactly $k$-sparse? Let $\sigma_{k}(x)=\min _{\|w\|_{0} \leq k}\|x-w\|_{1}$
This measures how far $x$ is, in the $l_{1}$ norm, from being $k$-sparse.
Theorem 7. Let $A x=b$, with $\Gamma=\operatorname{ker}(A)$ is $C-A E$. Let $S=\frac{n}{C^{2}}$. If $w$ is an optimal solution to $\left(P_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-w\|_{1} \leq 4 \sigma_{\frac{S}{16}}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, even when $x$ is not exactly $k$-sparse we can recover a vector that well approximates $x$ in the sense that does nearly as well as the best $k$-sparse approximation to $x$.

Proof. Let $T$ be the $\frac{S}{16}$ largest magnitude coordinates of $x$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-w\|_{1}=\left\|(x-w)_{T}\right\|_{1}+\left\|(x-w)_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|(x-w)_{T}\right\|_{1}+\left\|x_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1}+\left\|w_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $w$ is optimal for $\left(P_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1}=\|w\|_{1}-\left\|w_{T}\right\|_{1} \leq\|x\|_{1}-\left\|w_{T}\right\|_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-w\|_{1} \leq\left\|(x-w)_{T}\right\|_{1}+\left\|x_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1}+\|x\|_{1}-\left\|w_{T}\right\|_{1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1}+\|x\|_{1}-\left\|w_{T}\right\|_{1}=2\left\|x_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1}+\left\|x_{T}\right\|_{1}-\left\|w_{T}\right\|_{1} \leq 2\left\|x_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1}+\left\|(x-w)_{T}\right\|_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining all the above gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-w\|_{1} \leq 2\left\|(x-w)_{T}\right\|_{1}+2\left\|x_{\bar{T}}\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{\|x-w\|_{1}}{2}+2 \sigma_{\frac{S}{16}}(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality uses Lemma 4. Finally, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|x-w\|_{1}}{2} \leq 2 \sigma_{\frac{S}{16}}(x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the result.
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