

Lecture #22: More Matrix Completion

Last time we studied generalization bounds:

$$X = \underset{\text{nuclear norm}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|X\|_* \quad \text{s.t.} \quad X_{ij} = M_{ij} \quad \forall (i,j) \in \Omega$$
$$\text{and } \|X\|_\infty \leq \mu \sqrt{\frac{r}{n_1 n_2}}$$

If $\|M\|_* \leq r$ and $\|M\|_\infty \leq \mu \sqrt{\frac{r}{n_1 n_2}}$ we know

there is a good solution. We proved:

simple solution + low training error = low test error

(Rademacher complexity) (zero)

In particular:

$$\frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i,j} |X_{ij} - M_{ij}| \leq \underbrace{\frac{2r \mathbb{E}[\|\Sigma\|]}{m}}_{\text{Rademacher}} + \underbrace{2\mu \sqrt{\frac{r}{n_1 n_2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log^2 1/\delta}{2|\Omega|}}}_{\text{McDiarmid}}$$

with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$.

Here Σ is a random matrix with $m = |\Omega|$ entries chosen u.i.d., random ± 1 s

Theorem: Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$ be independent, mean zero random matrices of size $d \times d$.

Let $P_i^2 = \max \left\{ \mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^T], \mathbb{E}[X_i^T X_i] \right\}$ and $\|X_i\| \leq L$

Then for any $\tau > 0$

$$\Pr \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right\| > \tau \right] \leq 2d e^{\frac{-\tau^2/2}{\sum p_i^2 + \tau/3}}$$

Let's say we had a trivial bound:

$$\frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}[\|\Sigma\|] \leq \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}[\|\Sigma\|_F] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$$

Now the average error on the l.h.s. is ($r, m = O(1)$)

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1 n_2}} \xrightarrow[\text{to get nontrivial bound}]{\text{need } \gg} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$$

This is only true when $m \geq n_1 n_2$ and we see the entire matrix

Let's use matrix Bernstein to prove

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\Sigma\|] \sim \sqrt{\frac{m \log d}{\min(n_1, n_2)}}$$

in which case (again $r, m = O(1)$) we just need

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1 n_2}} \gg \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{m \min(n_1, n_2)}}$$

which happens when $m \gg \max(n_1, n_2) \log d$ which just requires seeing every row/column

In our setting

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^T] = \frac{1}{n_1} I \quad \mathbb{E}[X_i^T X_i] = \frac{1}{n_2} I$$

↑
random matrix with one ± 1 at random location

Hence $p_c^2 = \frac{1}{\min(n_1, n_2)}$, also $L = I$ so we have

$$\Pr\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^m X_i\right\| > \tau\right] \leq 2d e^{\frac{-\tau^2/2}{m/\min(n_1, n_2) + \tau/3}}$$

We want $\tau = c \sqrt{\frac{m \log d / \delta}{\min(n_1, n_2)}}$ which implies r.h.s.

is at most δ provided

$$\frac{m}{\min(n_1, n_2)} \geq \sqrt{\frac{m \log d / \delta}{\min(n_1, n_2)}}$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$m \geq \min(n_1, n_2) \log d / \delta$$

An elegant aspect of these generalization bounds is that they still work when M is only close to low rank:

If we replace $X_{ij} = M_{ij} \forall (i,j) \in \Omega$ with

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{(i,j) \in \Omega} |X_{ij} - M_{ij}| \leq \eta \text{ then we get same}$$

test error bound but $+ \eta$

Later $[Condes, Recht]$, $[Condes, Tao]$, $[Recht]$ studied exact matrix completion:

Let $n_1 = n_2 = n$ for simplicity and let

$$M_0 = \frac{\sigma}{r} \max \left(\max_i \|P_u e_i\|^2, \max_i \|P_v e_i\|^2 \right)$$

↑ ↑
left/right singular vectors of M

and $\|U V^T\|_\infty \leq \frac{\mu_1 \sqrt{r}}{D}$

Theorem: If Ω is chosen u.a.r and $|\Omega|=m$ with

$$m \geq C \max(\mu_1^2, \mu_0) r n \log^2 n$$

then w.h.p. $X = M$

Again, X is the minimizer of $\|X\|_*$ s.t. $X_{ij} = M_{ij} \forall (i,j) \in \Omega$

The approach is based on the following lemma

Lemma: $\|x\|_* = \max_{\|B\| \leq 1} \langle x, B \rangle$

When $X = \text{diag}(x)$ and $B = \text{diag}(b)$ we get

$$\|X\|_* = \|x\|, \text{ and } \|B\| = \|b\|_\infty$$

Thus this is a matrix generalization of the more familiar $\ell_1 - \ell_\infty$ norm duality:

Lemma: $\|x\|_1 = \max_{\|b\|_\infty \leq 1} \langle x, b \rangle$

their approach is given M and another candidate solution $X = M + Z$ find a B s.t.

$$(1) \|B\| \leq 1$$

$$(2) \langle M + Z, B \rangle \geq \|M\|_* + c \|P_u Z P_v\|_*$$

Thus $\|M + Z\|_1 > \|M\|_1$ and it is a worse solution

Let u' and v' be singular vectors of $P_u Z P_v$

Then they set $B = \begin{bmatrix} u, u' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^T \\ v'^T \end{bmatrix}$

For this choice of B we have $\|P_u Z P_v\|_*$

$$\langle M + Z, B \rangle = \underbrace{\langle M, u v^T \rangle}_{\|M\|_*} + \langle P_u Z P_v, u' v'^T \rangle$$

$$+ \underbrace{\langle P_u Z + Z P_v - P_u Z P_v, u' v'^T \rangle}_{\text{small}}$$

long argument to show this is small, quantum golfing

This is a more complex version of other arguments we've seen that use convex programs for exact recovery e.g. [Feige, Kilian]

What about tensor completion:

low-rank, incoherent T is $n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3$

We can try:

$$\min \|X\|_* \quad \text{s.t.} \quad T_{ijk} = X_{ijk} \quad \forall (i,j,k) \in \Omega$$

$\uparrow$
tensor nuclear norm,

$$\text{unit ball} = \left\{ X \mid X = \sum \overset{\text{index comb.}}{\lambda_i} u_i \otimes v_i \otimes w_i \text{ where } \|u_i\|, \|v_i\|, \|w_i\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

Theorem [Gurvits] Computing the tensor nuclear norm is hard

We can also flatten it into a matrix

$$\text{flat}(T) \text{ is } n_1 n_2 \times n_3$$

But then we need $m \geq \max(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ observations

Following [Srebro, Shraibman] approach, [Barak, Mottra]:

If $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n$ then can estimate the entries when $m \geq n^{3/2} r$

Is there an algorithm for exact tensor completion?

Also [Barak, Mottra]: any relaxation of tensor nuclear norm that has nontrivial rademacher complexity

for $m = n^{3/2 - \epsilon}$ cannot come from sos, and would imply better algorithms for refuting random CSPs

Other linear inverse problems that seem to have computational vs. statistical tradeoffs?

Practical algorithms for tensor completion?