CLOSED-LOOP PALLET ENGAGEMENT IN AN UNSTRUCTURED ENVIRONMENT



Sertac Karaman, <u>Matthew Walter</u>, Emilio Frazzoli, & Seth Teller CSAIL, LIDS Massachusetts Institute of Technology

7 May 2010





JOINT WORK WITH



Sertac Karaman (MIT/LIDS)



Emilio Frazzoli (MIT/LIDS)



Seth Teller (MIT/CSAIL)





AUTONOMOUS PALLET MANIPULATION

I. Palletized Cargo Manipulation: An Overview

II. LP Formulation for Fast, Closest Edge Detection

III. Pallet Manipulation: Detection, Estimation, and Control

IV. Results

V. Limitations & Current Work

FLEXIBLE IN-SITU WAREHOUSE AUTOMATION

Goal: Autonomous palletized material handling in short-term outdoor warehouses

- Environment: Dynamic, forward-operating storage facilities
 - Disaster relief (Red Cross, FEMA), Military
 - Little reliable structure
 - Rapid, temporary deployment
 - Uneven terrain

1417

- Dynamic (people, vehicles)





HUMAN-DIRECTED MANIPULATION

- Hand-held tablet command interface
- Supervisor circles pallets to be picked up
- Supervisor circles desired destinations
- Manipulation is autonomous
 - Detect pallet and truck bed
 - Safely engage and place pallets





HUMAN-DIRECTED PALLET MANIPULATION

Autonomously pickup pallets from ground and from unknown truck beds

[Video: 2009_11_30_agile_short.mp4]

HUMAN-DIRECTED PALLET MANIPULATION

Autonomously pickup pallets from ground and from unknown truck beds



[Video: 2009_11_30_agile_short.mp4]

HUMAN-DIRECTED PALLET MANIPULATION

Autonomously place pallets onto ground and onto unknown truck beds

[Video: 2009_11_30_agile_short.mp4; 1:20 in]

1417

HUMAN-DIRECTED PALLET MANIPULATION

Autonomously place pallets onto ground and onto unknown truck beds



[Video: 2009_11_30_agile_short.mp4; 1:20 in]

THE ROBOT: SENSING

- LIDARs directed along tines for pallet detection and servoing during fork insertion
- LIDARs with vertical FOV mounted to carriage for truck detection





SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE



WHY ISTHIS HARD?

- LIDAR range returns are noisy
- Variable pallet geometry and structure
- Pallet and truck poses unknown a priori
- Sparse pallet and truck structure yields limited
 LIDAR returns
- Pallet load is variable and unknown

Assumptions

- Pallet initially in LIDAR FOV (i.e., in front of robot)
- Pallet is not occluded

1111

No obstacles between robot and pallet or truck





PALLET ESTIMATION & MANIPULATION

Our approach: Closed-loop manipulation based on individual LIDAR scans

- Input: Individual scans from tine-mounted LIDAR
- Hierarchical classification of individual scans
- Filter over positive detections to estimate pallet pose
- Servo vehicle and tine poses to filter estimates via simple closed-loop controller

Key component: Fast, robust linear shape estimation



AUTONOMOUS PALLET MANIPULATION

I. Palletized Cargo Manipulation: An Overview

II. LP Formulation for Fast, Closest Edge Detection

III. Pallet Manipulation: Detection, Estimation, and Control

IV. Results

V. Limitations & Current Work

CHALLENGES OF SERVOING APPROACH

Two primary challenges of perception for closed-loop servoing:

• Noisy data:

Outliers in range data, particularly near pallet corners

Computational requirements:

Each LIDAR produces 1000 range and bearing returns at 40Hz

Our approach:

- Formulate a linear program (LP) that accounts for noise and outliers
- Exploit the structure of the LP to solve it in real-time

• Simple problem:

Given an orientation, find the line farthest from the origin that separates all range returns

- A simple algorithm (but not robust):
 - Find distance of closest point along known orientation
 - Efficient (linear time) but not robust to outliers



• Simple problem:

Given an orientation, find the line farthest from the origin that separates all range returns

- A simple algorithm (but not robust):
 - Find distance of closest point along known orientation
 - Efficient (linear time) but not robust to outliers



 $\xi_i \stackrel{\text{projected distance}}{\text{from outlier to line}}$

• Simple problem:

Given an orientation, find the line farthest from the origin that separates all range returns

- A simple algorithm (but not robust):
 - Find distance of closest point along known orientation
 - Efficient (linear time) but not robust to outliers



 $\xi_i \stackrel{\text{projected distance}}{\text{from outlier to line}}$

Simple problem:

Given an orientation, find the line farthest from the origin that separates all range returns

- A simple algorithm (but not robust):
 - Find distance of closest point along known orientation
 - Efficient (linear time) but not robust to outliers



 $\xi_i \stackrel{\text{projected distance}}{\text{from outlier to line}}$

A more robust formulation:

- Express as LP that allows for, but penalizes, outliers
- Exploit the structure of the dual to solve in $O(n\min\{\nu, \log n\})$
- Allows for linear shape estimation and pallet detection in real-time

AUTONOMOUS PALLET MANIPULATION

I. Palletized Cargo Manipulation: An Overview

II. LP Formulation for Fast, Closest Edge Detection

III. Pallet Manipulation: Detection, Estimation, and Control

IV. Results

V. Limitations & Current Work

1111

Individual LIDAR scan

Pallet Classifier

PALLET DETECTION

Approach: Supervised classification of identified structure

- Use LP closest edge algorithm to detect candidate face
- For each face, search for pallet structure via repeated calls to LP closest edge algorithm to identify features: width, slot geometry, ...
- Pallet classification based upon rough prior



III i i

PALLET DETECTION

Approach: Supervised classification of identified structure

- Use LP closest edge algorithm to detect candidate face
- For each face, search for pallet structure via repeated calls to LP closest edge algorithm to identify features: width, slot geometry, ...
- Pallet classification based upon rough prior





1111

PALLET DETECTION

Approach: Supervised classification of identified structure

- Use LP closest edge algorithm to detect candidate face
- For each face, search for pallet structure via repeated calls to LP closest edge algorithm to identify features: width, slot geometry, ...
- Pallet classification based upon rough prior





PALLET DETECTION



PALLET DETECTION



PALLET DETECTION



PALLET POSE FILTERING

- Positive detections serve as observations for vanilla Kalman Filter
- Estimate pallet pose:
 - Position
 - Heading
 - Slot locations
 - Width and depth for each slot



MOTION CONTROLLER

• Special case of controller by Hoffman et al. [Hoffman, ACC 2007]

 $a_{initial}$

 e_y

 e_{θ}

 a_{final}

• Steer to desired position and orientation, $(z_{\text{final}}, a_{\text{final}})$

$$\delta = K_y \tan^{-1}(e_y) + K_\theta e_\theta$$

Dubins vehicle model

 $\dot{z} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta),$ $\dot{\theta} = \tan^{-1}(\delta),$

• Smooth steering policy

14ii



1417

TRUCK DETECTION & ESTIMATION

- Input: Pair of individual scans from vertical LIDARs
- Employ same LP closest edge algorithm as input to classifiers
- Filter over distance to truck, truck orientation, & truck height



PALLET ESTIMATION & MANIPULATION



AUTONOMOUS PALLET MANIPULATION

- I. Palletized Cargo Manipulation: An Overview
- II. LP Formulation for Fast, Closest Edge Detection
- III. Pallet Manipulation: Detection, Estimation, and Control

IV. Results

V. Limitations & Current Work

1417

EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION: SETUP

- Attempted 68 pallet pick-up attempts with pallet
 inside initial tine LIDAR FOV
 - 38 from the ground
 - 30 from a truck loaded with two pallets
- Three different pallet types
- Counted as a successful engagement if no detectable contact with pallet or truck occurred





٠

14117

EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION: RESULTS

Ground Pickup Trajectories 8.73 7.86 35 of 38 ground pick-ups successful 6.99 6.11 Failure I: Vehicle moved pallet during insertion • 5.24 y (meters) 4.36 Failures 2 & 3: Unable to reacquire pallet • 3.49 2.62 1.74 30 of 30 truck pick-ups successful × Failed Detection 0.87 × Failed Engagement -2 **>** 0 x (meters) Pallet location Truck Pickup Trajectories 10 14 12.6 Starting position 11.2 8 (arrow indicates forklift orientation) 9.8 8.4 y (meters) 5.6 4.2 2.8 1.4 × Failed Detection -2 0 2 -4 x (meters)

AUTONOMOUS PALLET MANIPULATION

I. Palletized Cargo Manipulation: An Overview

II. LP Formulation for Fast, Closest Edge Detection

III. Pallet Manipulation: Detection, Estimation, and Control

IV. Results

V. Limitations & Current Work

LIMITATIONS AND CURRENT WORK

- Closed-loop perception and planning: Macro-action forward-search using RRT
- Gesture-less detection of multiple pallets
- Pallet stacking and unstacking
- Extend outlier-robust LP to general shape estimation using kernel methods

[Video: 2010_05_04_multiple_pallet.mp4]

LIMITATIONS AND CURRENT WORK

- Closed-loop perception and planning: Macro-action forward-search using RRT
- Gesture-less detection of multiple pallets
- Pallet stacking and unstacking
- Extend outlier-robust LP to general shape estimation using kernel methods



[Video: 2010_05_04_multiple_pallet.mp4]

QUESTIONS?

mwalter@csail.mit.edu

http://people.csail.mit.edu/mwalter



[Video: 2010_02_21_unmanned.mp4]