Emergent Semantics: Rethinking Interoperability for Large Scale Decentralized Information Systems

Philippe Cudré-Mauroux

Computer Science & Artificial Intelligence Lab Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Self-Organizing Knowledge Systems Symposium, VU Amsterdam, April 29, 2010

Outline

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Semantic Interoperability in the Internet Era
- 1.2. Peer Data Management Systems (PDMSs)
- 1.3. Syntactic Semantics
- 2. Methods
 - 2.1. Semantic Gossiping
 - 2.2. Graph-Theoretic Semantic Interoperability
- 3. Systems
 - 3.1. GridVine: A P2P Semantic Overlay Network
 - 3.2. idMesh: Disambiguation of Linked Data
- 4. Conclusions
- breadth rather than depth



Introduction



Interoperability in the Internet Era



Lack of semantic interoperability



On Information Heterogeneity

Syntactic discrepancies



Semantic heterogeneity

All the aforementioned standards are extensible

<rdf:Property rdf:ID="width"> <rdfs:label>**Width**</rdfs:label> <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="#length"/> </rdf:Property>



<rdf:Property rdf:ID="Length-Y"> <rdfs:label>Length-Y</rdfs:label> <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="#length"/> </rdf:Property>

Shared representation is *not* enough



Integrating Data in Distributed Databases

The Wrapper-Mediator architecture





Integrating Data in the new Web Ecology

	Distributed Databases	Large Scale Information Systems (e.g., WWW))
Scale	Number of sources < 100	Number of sources > 1000
Uncertainty	Consistent Data - Coordination - Manually curated data Schemas created by administrators	Uncertain Data - Autonomy - Semi-automatic creation of data Schemas created by end users
Dynamicity	Relatively stable set of sources - stable mediator Sources known a priori	Network churn - node failures Unknown sources
Expressivity	Relational Data Structured Schemas - Integrity constraints Structured Queries	Semi-structured data Schematas - No integrity constraints Simple S-P Queries

Opportunity: P2P Architectures



i) Client-Server

ii) Peer-to-Peer

- Scalability (decentralized architectures)
- Autonomy (self-organization)
- Robustness (adaptivity, no single point of failure)



Decentralized Interoperability

Q1= <GUID>\$p/GUID</GUID> FOR \$p IN /Photoshop_Image WHERE \$p/Creator LIKE "%Robi%"

Photoshop (own schema)

<Photoshop_Image> <GUID>178A8CD8865</GUID> <Creator>Robinson</Creator> <Subject> <Bag> <Item> Tunbridge Wells </Item> <Item>Royal Council</I \$fs </Bag> </Subject> ...

</Photoshop_Image>

T12 =
 <Photoshop_Image>
 <GUID>\$fs/GUID</GUID>
 <Creator>
 \$fs/Author/DisplayName
 </Creator>
 </Photoshop_Image>
FOR \$fs IN /WinFSImage

Q2= <GUID>\$p/GUID</GUID> FOR \$p IN(**T12**) WHERE \$p/Creator LIKE "%Robi%"

WinFS (known schema)

<WinFSImage> <GUID>178A8CD8866</GUID> <Author> <DisplayName> Henry Peach Robinson <DisplayName> <Role>Photographer</Role> <Author> <Keyword> Tunbridge </Keyword> <Keyword> <Keyword> <Keyword>Council</Keyword> ... </WinFSImage>

Extending integration techniques to decentralized settings



Peer Data Management Systems



- Pairwise mappings
 - Peer Data Management Systems (PDMS)
 - Local mappings overcome global heterogeneity
 - Iterative query reformulation



Emergent Semantics (1)

- Contrary to the wrapper-mediator architecture, no definite, global semantics defined *a priori* What is the resulting semantics of the overall system?
- Long-standing debate:"What is semantics?"
 - Standard response: "Mapping of a syntactic structure into a semantic domain"



Semantic Grounding

- The meaning of symbols can be explained by its semantic correspondences to other symbols alone ["Understanding understanding" Rapaport 93]
 - Type 1 semantics: understanding in terms of something else
 - Problem: how to ground semantics?
 - Type 2 semantics: understanding something in terms of itself
 - "syntactic semantics": grounding through recursive understanding



Emergent Semantics (2)

Emergent Semantics:

- Semantics as a posteriori agreements on conceptualizations
- Semantics of symbols as recursive correspondences to other symbols
 - Analyzing transitive closures of mappings
- Self-organizing, bottom-up approach
 - Global semantics (stable states) emerging from multiple local interactions
- Syntactic semantics
 - Studying semantics from a syntactic perspective



Problems (1/2): Precision / Recall

- Semantic Query routing
 - To whom shall I forward a query posed against my local schema?
- Some (most) mappings will be (partially) faulty
 - Low expressive power of mappings
 - samePropertyAs / sameClassAs / subclassOf
 - ... or event worse (Microformats)
 - Automatic schema alignment techniques
 - Different views on conceptualizations
- Local query resolution
 - Low recall
- Flooding
 - Low precision
- Standard deductive integration is not sufficient

Uncertainty on mappings and conceptualizations



Problems (2/2): Global Interoperability

What is the global impact of local actions?

- Issuing a query locally
 - Diffusion on the global scale
 - cf. precision/recall
- Creating local mappings
 - Mapping scarcity
 - Semantic partitions
 - Mapping abundance
 - Mapping Quality
 - Computational overhead
 - Network overhead





Methods



Semantic Gossiping

- Local, selective and query-specific forwarding paradigm
 - Mapping completeness
 - Capability of reformulating arbitrary queries
 - Lost predicates
 - Syntactic analysis
 - Mapping soundness
 - Capability of reformulating queries in semantically correct ways
 - Agreements on conceptualizations
 - Semantic analyses

Self-organization of query diffusion

Precision/Recall tradeoff



Syntactic Analysis

- Measure the syntactic losses in successive query reformulations (mapping completeness)
 - attributes lost in the projections

• π *Title, Format, Length* $\rightarrow \pi$ *Format, Length* $\rightarrow \pi$ *Length* $\rightarrow \dots$

predicates lost in the selections

• $\sigma_{Title="The Vitruvian Man", Year < 1600 \rightarrow \sigma_{Year < 1600 \rightarrow ...}$

- Losses can have various impacts
 - Selectivity of the selection predicates
 - Query-dependent weights of the attributes
- Losses aggregated in two similarity values

 $= 0 \le SIM_{\pi|\sigma}(q, (\mu_n \circ \ldots \circ \mu_1)(q)) \le 1$



Semantic Analyses (1/2)

- Measure the semantic losses in successive query reformulations (mapping soundness)
- Cycle analysis: agreement on conceptualizations derived through transitive closure of mapping operations



Semantic Analyses (2/2)

Derive likelihood on mapping soundness from multiple feedback cycles

$$P(f_{\circlearrowright}^{+}|m=1) = (1 - \epsilon_{cyc})^{\|f_{\circlearrowright}\|-1} + (1 - (1 - \epsilon_{cyc})^{\|f_{\circlearrowright}\|-1})\delta_{cyc})$$

 $P(m = 1 | \mathbf{f}_{\circlearrowright}) = K P(m = 1)$ $\prod P(f_{\circlearrowright}^+)^{-1} P(f_{\circlearrowright}^+ | m = 1) \prod P(f_{\circlearrowright}^-)^{-1} P(f_{\circlearrowright}^- | m = 1)$

Similar analysis for returned results

Agreements on document classification

Iteratively update a semantic similarity value along with the reformulations

$$0 \leq SIM_{\circlearrowright|\rightleftharpoons}(q, (\mu_n \circ \ldots \circ \mu_1)(q)) \leq 1$$



Semantic Gossiping: Per-Hop Forwarding

- Query specific thresholds on similarities SIM_{τ}
 - User / System generated
 - Reformulate query through mapping if $SIM_{q'} \ge SIM_{\tau}$
 - If $SIM_{\tau\pi} = SIM_{\tau\sigma} = 1$: use complete reformulations only
 - If $SIM_{\tau \odot} = SIM_{\tau \Rightarrow} = 1$: use sound reformulation only



Self-Healing Semantic Networks



Combined Analysis (random graph, 4 att., 25 schemas, TTL=6 (cycle)/3(results), 10 consecutive runs)



Graph-Theoretic Semantic Interoperability

- What about interoperability at a global scale?
- Modeling semantic interoperability:



Schema-to-Schema Graph

- Logical model
- Directed
- Weighted
- Redundant

- The semantic connectivity graph
 - Idea: as for physical network analyses, define a connectivity layer
 - Unweighted, non-redundant version of the Schema-to-Schema graph



Semantic Interoperability in the Large

Definition

Peers in a set P_s are semantically interoperable iff S_s is strongly connected, with $S_s = \{s \mid \exists p \in P_s, p \leftrightarrow s\}$

Observation 1 A set of peers P_s cannot be semantically interoperable if |E_s| < |V_s|

- Observation 2 A set of peers P_s is semantically interoperable if |E_s| > |V_s| (|V_s|-1) - (|V_s|-1)
- What happens between those two bounds?
 What is the proportion of interoperable systems?



A Necessary Condition for Semantic Interoperability in the Large

- Analyzing semantic interoperability in large-scale, decentralized networks
 - Percolation theory for directed graphs
 - Based on a recent graph-theoretic framework
 - Graphs with specific degree distributions p_{jk}, clustering coefficients cc and bidirectionality coefficient bc
- Based on generatingfunctionality $\mathcal{G}(x,y) = \sum_{j,k} p_{jk} x^j y^k$
- Connectivity indicator: $ci = \sum_{j,k} (jk-j(bc+cc)-k) p_{jk}$
 - Necessary condition for semantic interoperability in the large: ci ≥ 0
- Also: approximations of the size of semantically interoperable clusters



Example: Directed Graph



Connectivity Indicator (a) and maximal connected cluster size (b) Random network of 10000 vertices and a varying number of edges.



Analysis of a bioinformatic system

- Analysis of the Sequence Retrieval System (SRS)
 - Commercial information indexing and retrieval system for bioinformatic libraries
 - Schemas described in a custom language (Icarus)
 - Mappings (foreign keys) from one database to others
- Crawling the EBI repository
 - 388 databanks
 - 518 (undirected) links
 - Power-law distribution of node degrees

 $y(x) = \alpha x^{-\gamma}$ with $\alpha = 0.21$ and $\gamma = 1.51$

- Clustering coefficient = 0.32
- Diameter = 9
- Connectivity indicator ci = 25.4
 - Super-critical state
- Size of the giant component
 - 0.47 (derived) VS 0.48 (observed)



Node Degree



Query Dissemination in Weighted Networks

- Per-hop forwarding behaviors
- Only forward if $w_i \ge \tau$
 - $\tau = 0$: flooding
 - τ = 1 : exact answers
- Degree distribution taken from the SRS system
- Uniformly distributed weights between 0 and 1



Local View on Global Properties



(Random graph, 1000 vertices, 4000 edges)

Local View on Global Semantic Properties



Systems



GridVine: a P2P Semantic Overlay Network





GridVine: Data Independence

- Building large-scale semantic systems
 - Self-organizing semantic overlay network
- Principle of data independence
 - Scalable physical layer
 - Semantic logical layer





Indexing semi-structure data in GridVine



Insertion of schemas and mappings

Decentralized conjunctive query resolution based on iterative look-ups



Query Resolution

- Triple pattern queries {(?s, ?p, ?o)}
 - path queries, conjunctive queries
 - Iterative, distributed table lookup
- (?x, <rdf:type>, <foaf:Person>)
 (?x, <foaf:name>, "John")



Semantic Integration in GridVine

Vertical integration: hierarchy of classes

- Fostering semantic interoperability through reuse of conceptualizations
- Popular base classes bootstrapping interoperability through monotonic inheritance of properties
- RDFS entailment can be materialized





Semantic integration in GridVine

Horizontal integration: mappings

- Message passing + feedback analyses to get probabilistic guarantees on mapping soundness
- Generation of new mappings if necessary (graph analysis)



Semantic Gossiping in GridVine

- Decoupling of the indexing and mediation layers
 - No more constraints on gossiping
- Different query forwarding paradigms
 - Iterative forwarding
 - Recursive forwarding



idMesh: Disambiguation of Linked Data

Increasingly, the world is modeled as a collection of (interlinked) identifiers

- Linked Data
- Semantic WebRESTful services

http://data.semanticweb.org/person/philippe-cudre-mauroux

foaf:made

http://data.semanticweb.org/conference/www/2009/paper/60



Naming & Decentralization

- The great thing about unique identifiers is that there are so many to choose from
 - Decentralized naming game
 - Soaring dimensions in Web 2.0 / 3.0 contexts
 - Social websites
 - Exported (linked) data
 - Automated mash-ups



Entity Consolidation (i)

- A few constructs are increasingly used to consolidate Wed identifiers
 - OWL:SameAs, XFN rel:me, pipes, etc.





Entity Consolidation (ii)

- Online entity consolidation is a *complex* game
 Simple binary constructs are often insufficient
 - Social contexts (e.g., professional vs personal entities)



New Twist on an Old Problem

- Well-known problem know as Entity Disambiguation or Resolution
 - Large body of related work

New context

- Unprecedented scale
- Networked game
- Social dimension
- central problem impeding all automated, large-scale online data processing endeavors
- new approach based on graph analysis only



idMesh Constructs

<	<pre> <rdfs:class rdf:id="Entity"></rdfs:class> <rdfs:class rdf:id="idMeshProperty"> <rdf:property rdf:id="idMeshProperty"> <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#Entity"></rdfs:domain> <rdfs:range rdf:resource="#Entity"></rdfs:range> </rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="LinkConfidence"> <rdf:property rdf:id="LinkConfidence"> <rdfs:domain rdf:statement=""></rdfs:domain> <rdfs:domain rdf:statement=""></rdfs:domain> <rdfs:range rdf:datatype="&xsd;decimal"></rdfs:range> </rdf:property> <rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="EquivalentTo"> <rdfs:subpropertyof rdf:resource="#idMeshProperty"></rdfs:subpropertyof> </rdf:property> </rdf:property></rdf:property></rdfs:class></pre>	 Two levels of granularity Entity disambiguation Temporal discrimination Confidence values Can encompass previous constructs
<pre><rdf:property rdf:id="Equidates"> </rdf:property> <td> <rdf:property rdf:id="Predates"> <rdfs:subpropertyof rdf:resource="#EquivalentTo"></rdfs:subpropertyof> </rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="Postdates"> <rdfs:subpropertyof rdf:resource="#EquivalentTo"></rdfs:subpropertyof> </rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="Equidates"> <rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="Equidates"> <rdf:property></rdf:property></rdf:property></rdf:property></rdf:property></td><td><rdf:description rdf:about="http://www.epfl.ch/"> <idmesh: <br="" notequivalentto="" rdf:id="link0001">rdf:resource="http://www.ethz.ch"/> </idmesh:></rdf:description> <rdf:description rdf:about="http://www.epfl.ch/"> <idmesh:equivalentto <br="" rdf:id="link0002">rdf:resource="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/EPFL"/> </idmesh:equivalentto></rdf:description> <rdf:description rdf:about="#link0002"> <idmesh:linkconfidence rdf:datatype="&xsddecimal"> 0.9 </idmesh:linkconfidence </rdf:description></td></pre>	 <rdf:property rdf:id="Predates"> <rdfs:subpropertyof rdf:resource="#EquivalentTo"></rdfs:subpropertyof> </rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="Postdates"> <rdfs:subpropertyof rdf:resource="#EquivalentTo"></rdfs:subpropertyof> </rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="Equidates"> <rdf:property> <rdf:property rdf:id="Equidates"> <rdf:property></rdf:property></rdf:property></rdf:property></rdf:property>	<rdf:description rdf:about="http://www.epfl.ch/"> <idmesh: <br="" notequivalentto="" rdf:id="link0001">rdf:resource="http://www.ethz.ch"/> </idmesh:></rdf:description> <rdf:description rdf:about="http://www.epfl.ch/"> <idmesh:equivalentto <br="" rdf:id="link0002">rdf:resource="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/EPFL"/> </idmesh:equivalentto></rdf:description> <rdf:description rdf:about="#link0002"> <idmesh:linkconfidence rdf:datatype="&xsddecimal"> 0.9 </idmesh:linkconfidence </rdf:description>



Problem Definition

- Input: series of statements defining a weighted graph of interrelated identifiers
 - no associated contents, attributes, or properties...



- Output: *clusters* of *equivalent* identifiers
 - probabilistic, a posteriori network equivalence
 - equivalence based on probabilistic threshold



Probabilistic Disambiguation



Definition of two graphs



Probabilistic Disambiguation (ii)

Definition of conditional probability functions relating links & sources

Transitive closures of link properties (*entity graph*)
 ID Equivalence is

- symmetric
- transitive





Probabilistic Disambiguation (iii)

Definition of conditional probability functions relating links & sources

■ Source discrimination (*source graph*)

- Through internet domains / authentication mechanisms
 openid, foaf-ssl, etc.
- High confidence values for well-known + well-behaved sources



Probabilistic Disambiguation



Probabilistic inference on *combined* graph



Scalability

- Problem: both source / entity graphs can become very large in practice
 - Unbounded number of sources
 - peer production
 - Cheap production of (uncertain) links
 - automated matching algorithms

inference in itself should be decentralized



Distributing the Probabilistic Graph





Distributed, P2P Architecture



idMesh: summary of Results

■ *Efficient*, *distributed* computations

- Parallelized sums & products only
- Quasi-instantaneous on a local machine
- Naturally scales out in networked environments
 - A couple of seconds to disambiguate 8'000 entities interlinked by 24'000 links on 400 machines
- High discriminative power in practice
 - 90%⁺ accuracy with well-behaved but uncertain sources
 - 75% accuracy with 90% malign sources



Conclusions

- More and more machine-processable (semi-structured) data available
 - Sensing Technologies
 - Peer Production
 - Human Computation
- Top-down efforts to align data have failed largely
- Emergent Semantics
 - Bottom-up
 - Dynamic, self-organizing
 - Best-Effort

Only resort to foster interoperability in the large scale decentralized data spaces currently emerging

COMPUTER AND COMMUNICATION SCIENCES



EMERGENT SEMANTICS

INTEROPERABILITY IN LARGE-SCALE DECENTRALIZED INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Philippe Cudré-Mauroux

EPFL Press Distributed by CRC Press





Self-Organizing Knowledge Systems Symposium, VU Amsterdam, April 29, 2010