Exploiting cross-modal rhyth m for robot perception of objects

Artur M. Arsenio Paul Fitzpatrick

MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory

Cog – the humanoid platform





Motivation

- Tools are often used in a manner that is composed of some repeated motion consider hammers, saws, brushes, files, ...
- Rhythmic information across the visual and acoustic sensory modalities have complementary properties
- Features extracted from visual and acoustic processing are what is needed to build an object recognition system







Talk outline

- Matching sound and vision
- Matching with visual distraction
- Matching with acoustic distraction
- Matching multiple sources
- Priming sound detection using vision
- Towards object recognition



•Tools are often used in a manner that is composed of some repeated motion - consider hammers, saws, brushes, files.

- •Points tracked using Lukas-Kanade algorithm
- •Periodicity Analysis
 - •FFTs of tracked trajectories
 - •Periodicity Histograms
 - •Phase verification



Matching sound and vision



•The sound intensity peaks once per visual period of the hammer





Matching with visual distraction





- One object (the car) making noise
- Another object (the ball) in view
 - Problem: which object goes with the sound?
 - Solution: Match periods of motion and sound



Comparing periods



•The sound intensity peaks twice per visual period of the car



Matching with acoustic distraction





Matching multiple sources





- Problem: which object goes with which sound?
- Solution: Match periods of motion and sound



8





•The sound intensity peaks twice per visual period of the car. For the cube rattle, the sound/visual signals have different ratios according to the frequency bands



Statistics

A | L An evaluation of cross-modal binding for various objects and

Experiment	situations Visual period found	Sound period found	Bind made	Correct binds (%)	Incorrect Binds (%)	Missed Binds (%)
hammer	6	8	6	100	0	0
Car & ball	7	11	1	14	0	86
Car	6	6	5	83	0	17
Car (Snake rattle in background)	5	16	5	100	0	0
Snake rattle (Car in Background)	4	9	4	100	0	0
Plane and Mouse	23	27	16	46	41	13

the sound generated by a periodically moving object can be much more complex and ambiguous





Priming sound detection using vision









Signals out of phase!









Object recognition

• Visual object segmentation



- Cross-modal object recognition
 - Ratio between acoustic/visual fundamental frequencies
 - Phase between acoustic and visual signals
 - Range of acoustic frequency bands

Cross-modal object recognition







Causes sound when changing direction after striking object; quiet when changing direction to strike again Causes sound while moving rapidly with wheels spinning; quiet when changing direction Causes sound when changing direction, often quiet during remainder of trajectory (although bells vary)







Conclusions

- Different objects = distinct acoustic-visual patterns which are a rich source of information for object recognition. Object differentiation from both its visual and acoustic backgrounds by binding pixels and frequency bands that are oscillating together
- Cognitive evidence that, for humans, simple visual periodicity can aid the detection of acoustic periodicity
- More feature can be used for better discrimination, like the ratio of the sound/visual peak amplitudes
- Each type of features are important for recognition when the other is absent. But when both are present, then we can do better by looking at the relationship between visual motion and the sound generated.



Questions?