Plan for Today

Overview of the course:
- Discourse processing: motivation and background
- Discourse theories
- Applications

Administration:
- Requirements

Why NLP?

Lots of information is in natural language format
- Documents
- News broadcasts
- User Utterances

Lots of users want to communicate in natural language.
- “DO what I mean!”

“Now we are betting the company on these natural interface technologies” Bill Gates, 1997

Natural Language Processing

Goal: Computers using natural language as input or output

NLU example: convert an utterance into a sequence of computer instructions
NLG example: produce a summary of a patient’s record
**Example: Question-Answering (1)**

Q: Who is the president of First Union Corp?

First Union Corp is continuing to wrestle with sever problems. According to industry insiders at Pine Webber, their president, John R. Georgius, is planning to retire soon.

---

**Example: Text Generation**

(Duboue & McKeown, 2003): verbalization of semantic data from movie databases


---

**Example: User Interfaces**

SHRDLU (Winograd, 1972): language interface for block manipulation

Person: PICK UP A BIG RED BLOCK.
Computer: OK. (does it)
Person: PUT IT NEAR THE PYRAMID.

---

**Example: Information Retrieval**

Show me the proof of Cantor theorem

---

1. Example: Question-Answering (1)
2. Example: Text Generation
3. Example: User Interfaces
4. Example: Information Retrieval
### What is Discourse

Example by Charles Fillmore:

- Please use the toilets, not the pool.
- The pool for members only.

### Challenges

- Sentences cannot be processed in isolation
  - Coreference
  - Ordering
  - Segmentation

- We need to model text and dialog structure

### Inference in Discourse Processing

- There are several possible ways to interpret an utterance in context
- We need to find the most likely interpretation
- Discourse model provides a computational framework for this search

### Discourse Phenomena

- A word, phrase, and utterance whose interpretation is shaped by the discourse or dialogue context

- a sequence of utterances whose interpretation is more than sum of its component parts
Models of Discourse Structure

- Investigation of lexical connectivity patterns as the reflection of discourse structure
- Specification of a small set of rhetorical relation among discourse segments
- Adaption of the notion of grammar
- Examination of intentions and relations among them as the foundation of discourse structure

Cohesion

Assumption: Well-formed text exhibits strong lexical connectivity via use of:
- Repetitions
- Synonyms
- Coreference

Discourse Exhibits Structure!

- Discourse can be partition into segments, which can be connected in a limited number of ways
- Speakers use linguistic devices to make this structure explicit
  - cue phrases, intonation, gesture
- Listeners comprehend discourse by recognizing this structure
  - Kintsch, 1974: experiments with recall
  - Haviland&Clark, 1974: reading time for given/new information

Example

1. A: I'm going camping next week. Do you have a two person tent I could borrow?
2. B: Sure. I have a two-person backpacking tent.
3. A: The last trip I was on there was a huge storm.
4. A: It poured for two hours.
5. A: I had a tent, but I got soaked anyway.
6. B: What kind of tent was it?
8. B: Tube tents don't stand up well in a real storm.
10. B: Where are you going on this trip?
12. B: Do you need any other equipment?
14. B: Okay. I'll bring the tent tomorrow.
Rhetorical Structure Theory

Assumption: Clauses in well-formed text are related via predefined rhetorical relations

- Evidence: a claim → information intended to increase the readers’ belief in the claim
- ...
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Text Grammars

Assumption: existence of text grammar in limited domains (analogous to sentence grammar)

- Tale grammar (31 terminals) VPropp(1920s)
- Scientific articles: introduction, conclusions, ...
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Cohesion

1. A: I’m going camping next week. Do you have a two person tent I could borrow?
2. B: Sure. I have a two-person backpacking tent.
3. A: The last trip I was on there was a huge storm.
4. A: It poured for two hours.
5. A: I had a tent, but I got soaked anyway.
6. B: What kind of tent was it?
8. B: Tube tents don’t stand up well in a real storm.
10. B: Where are you going on this trip?
12. B: Do you need any other equipment?
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Rhetorical Structure Theory

3. The last trip I was on there was a huge storm.
4. It poured for two hours.
5. I had a tent, but I got soaked anyway.
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**Intention-based Approaches**

Dialogue as collaborative activity:

- Intention of A: to get a tent
- To achieve this goal, A:
  - Requests a tent from B
  - Convinces B in the importance of this request

**Types of Discourse**

- Monologue (narrative, lecture)
- Human-human dialog
- Human-machine dialogue

**Computational Approaches**

- Rule-based approaches: manually encode all the required domain and common knowledge
- Machine-learning approaches: learn all the required knowledge from a corpus
  - Supervised classification
  - Hidden-Markov Models
  - Clustering
  - Reinforcement learning

**Applications**

- Summarization
- Anaphora resolution
- Essay grading
- Segmentation
- Information ordering
- Dialog processing
- ...
Does it work?

A day after a suicide bomber killed 10 people in a terror attack on a Jerusalem bus, Israeli forces conducted operations Friday in the West Bank and Gaza, killing three Palestinians the Israeli army had identified as terrorists. The leader of Hamas said Friday that his group is making every effort to seize Israeli soldiers as bargaining chips for the release of Palestinians in Israeli jails. At least 45 people were wounded in the terror attack, which Israeli officials said proved the need for what Israel calls a security fence intended to block terrorists from entering the country.
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- Summarization: F-scores 70% (DUC 2003)
- Anaphora resolution: F-scores 60-70% (Ng&Cardie:2002)
- RST parsing: 47% (compare with the accuracy of syntactic parsers — high 80th!)

Discourse processing is hard!