

Reducing Randomness Via Random Walks
on special graphs

Reducing Randomness

For decision problem L ,

Let A be algorithm st.

1) $\forall x \in L$	$\Pr[A(x)=1] \geq 99/100$	almost always correct
2) $\forall x \notin L$	$\Pr[A(x)=0] = 1$	always correct

To get error $< 2^{-k}$:

Method:

1) run k times & output "x $\notin L$ " if ever see "x $\notin L$ "
else output "x $\in L$ "

2) use p.i. random bits

3) today: use random walk on graph to choose random bits

random bits used

$O(kr)$

$O(k+r)$

$r + O(k)$

Plan:

- associate all (random) strings in $\{0,1\}^n$ with nodes of a graph G

- problem of picking a random string is now equivalent to problem of picking a random node ← easier

picking several random strings \Rightarrow picking several nodes ← easier

picking several strings, one of which is "good" \Rightarrow picking several nodes, one of which is "good" ← "easier"!

The graph G :

- constant degree d -regular, connected, nonbipartite
- transition matrix P for r.w. on G has $|\lambda_2| \leq \frac{1}{10}$
 π uniform since d -reg
- # nodes = $2^r \sim r$ random bits

The Algorithm:

- pick random start node $w \in \{0, 1\}^r$ r bits
- Repeat K times:
 - $w \leftarrow$ random neighbor of w $O(r)$ bits $\times K$
 - run $d(x)$ with w as random bits
 - if d outputs " $x \in L$ " then output " $x \in L$ " + halt
 - else continue
- Output " $x \notin L$ "

total: $r + O(K)$
random bits

Claim: error of new algorithm $\leq \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^K$ for $x \in L$
 (still 0-error for $x \notin L$)

q any probability distribution

$$\|qN\|_1 = \Pr_{w \in q} [w \text{ is bad}]$$

i.e. PN deletes weight that finds a witness to $x \in L$

can compose:

$$\|q : PN\|_1 = \Pr_{w \in q} [\text{start at } q, \text{ take a step \& land on "bad"}]$$

\vdots

$$\|q \cdot (PN)^k\|_1 = \Pr_{w \in q} [\text{start at } q, \text{ take } k \text{ steps \& each is "bad"}]$$

ignores whether start node is bad, this just hurts us so it is ok to ignore

Lemma $\forall \pi \quad \|\pi PN\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{5} \|\pi\|_2$

First: How do we use the lemma?

If always see bad w's, then answer incorrect

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[\text{incorrect}] \leq \|p_0 \cdot (PN)^k\|_1$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2^r} \|p_0 \cdot (PN)^k\|_2$$

since $\|p\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\text{domain size}} \cdot \|p\|_2$

$$\leq \sqrt{2^r} \cdot \|p_0\|_2 \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^k$$

apply lemma k times

since start at uniform $\&$ L_2 norm of uniform = $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^r}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^r}}$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^k$$

Proof of lemma

let V_1, \dots, V_{2^n} be e-vects of P , + V_i is st. $\|V_i\|_2 = 1$
 note, $V_i = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}})$

then $\Pi = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i V_i$

Note: 1) $\|\Pi\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum \alpha_i^2}$ (from before)

2) $\forall w \quad \|wN\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} w_i^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_i w_i^2} = \|w\|_2$

So:

$$\|\Pi P N\|_2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i V_i P N \right\|_2$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i \lambda_i V_i N \right\|_2$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\|\alpha_1 \lambda_1 V_1 N\|_2}_A + \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{i=2}^{2^n} \alpha_i \lambda_i V_i N \right\|_2}_B$$

Cauchy-Schwarz

bounding: $\|\alpha_1 \lambda_1 V_1 N\|_2 = \|\alpha_1 V_1 N\|_2$ since $\lambda_1 = 1$

$$= |\alpha_1| \sqrt{\sum_{i \in B} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\right)^2}$$

since $V_i = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}})$

$$= |\alpha_1| \sqrt{\frac{|B|}{2^n}}$$

use that uniform
is unlikely to
be on bad string

$$\leq \frac{|\alpha_1|}{10}$$

since $\frac{|B|}{2^n} \leq \frac{1}{100}$

$$\leq \frac{\|\Pi\|_2}{10}$$

since $\|\Pi\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum \alpha_i^2}$

Bouding

$$\textcircled{B} : \left\| \sum_{i=2}^r \alpha_i \lambda_i v_i N \right\|_2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i=2}^{2^r} \alpha_i \lambda_i v_i \right\|_2$$

from note

use "mixing"

$$= \sqrt{\sum (\alpha_i \lambda_i)^2}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum \alpha_i^2 \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^2}$$

$$\lambda_i \leq 1/10$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{10} \|\Pi\|_2$$

$$\text{So: } \|\Pi P N\|_2 \leq \frac{\|\Pi\|_2}{5}$$

