

## Lecture 3

Lecturer: Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribe: Guanghao Ye

# 1 Approximate Average Degree

## 1.1 Problem Setup

Let's first formally state the problem:

**Problem 1.** Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , an approximation parameter  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , and a confidence parameter  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . The goal is to output a  $\tilde{d}$  such that

$$\Pr \left[ |\tilde{d} - \bar{d}| \leq \epsilon \bar{d} \right] \geq 1 - \delta.$$

where  $\bar{d} = \frac{2m}{n}$  is the average degree of the graph.

Throughout the lecture, we will have the following assumptions:

- The average degree  $\bar{d} \geq 1$ .
- We are given access to the following two queries:
  1. "degree queries": Given  $v \in V$ , output  $\deg(v)$
  2. "neighbor queries": Given  $(v, j) \in V \times \mathbb{N}$ , output  $j$ -th neighbor of  $v$ .

## 1.2 Lower bound

Recall in the last lecture, we have shown that when the average degree is very small, it requires  $\Omega(n)$  many queries. For example, considering to distinguish the graph with a single edge and the graph with no edge.

Here, we (informally) show a lower bound of  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  queries. Let's consider the following two graphs: The cycle graph with  $n$  nodes  $C_n$  has average degree  $\bar{d} = 2$ . We construct another graph  $G$  consists of two connected components where one is a cycle graph with  $n - c\sqrt{n}$  many nodes and the other component is a clique with  $c\sqrt{n}$  many nodes. Then, the average degree for this graph is

$$\bar{d} = \frac{2m}{n} = \frac{2 \left( \binom{c\sqrt{n}}{2} + n - c\sqrt{n} \right)}{n} = \frac{2n + c^2n - c\sqrt{n}}{n} = 2 + c^2 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \approx 2 + c^2.$$

However, to distinguish these two graphs, the algorithm at least needs to sample one node from the clique. This shows  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  many queries are necessary.

In today's lecture, we will show  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  many queries suffice.

## 1.3 Algorithm

### 1.3.1 Warm-up: Almost regular graphs

Let's consider a slightly easier problem: Assume each node has degree in  $[\Delta, 10\Delta]$ .

It's easy to see that the algorithm above has runtime  $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log(1/\delta))$ .

Now, we show  $\tilde{d}$  is a good approximation for the average degree.

**Claim 2.** The output  $\tilde{d}$  is an unbiased estimator:  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{d}] = \bar{d}$ .

---

**Algorithm 1** Approximating Degree for almost regular graphs

---

```
1:  $k \leftarrow \frac{50}{\epsilon^2} \log(2/\delta)$ 
2: for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  do
3:   Pick  $v_i \in_u V$  ▷ We use  $x \in_u D$  to denote  $x$  is chosen uniformly at random from set  $D$ 
4:    $X_i \leftarrow \deg(v_i)$ 
5: end for
6: return  $\tilde{d} \leftarrow \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$ 
```

---

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{d}] &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[X_i] && \text{(linearity of expectation)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_i] && \text{(i.i.d)} \\ &= \sum_v \Pr[v_i \text{ is picked}] \cdot \deg(v_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_v \deg(v_i) \\ &= \frac{2m}{n} = \bar{d} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Claim 3.** *The output  $\tilde{d}$  satisfies the requirement of Problem 1:  $\Pr \left[ |\tilde{d} - \bar{d}| \leq \epsilon \bar{d} \right] \geq 1 - \delta$ .*

Before we proceed, let's introduce today's Chernoff bound:

**Theorem 4** (Hoeffding's inequality).  *$Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  are independent random variable's such that  $Y_i \in [0, 1]$  and  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$ . For  $b \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\Pr [|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| > b] \leq 2 \cdot \exp(-2b^2/k).$$

Now, we are ready to prove the claim above.

*Proof of Claim 3.* By the assumption of almost regular graph,  $X_i$ 's are in  $[\Delta, 10\Delta]$ . Let  $Z_i \leftarrow \frac{X_i}{10\Delta}$  and  $Z = \sum_i Z_i$ , then we have  $Z_i \in [0, 1]$  and  $\tilde{d} = \frac{10\Delta}{k} Z$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = \frac{k}{10\Delta} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{d}] = \frac{k\bar{d}}{10\Delta}$ . This implies

$$|\tilde{d} - \bar{d}| \leq \epsilon \bar{d} \iff \left| \frac{10\Delta}{k} Z - \frac{10\Delta}{k} \mathbb{E}[Z] \right| \leq \epsilon \bar{d} \iff |Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \leq \epsilon \bar{d} \cdot \frac{k}{10\Delta}.$$

Using Theorem 4 above on  $Z$ , with  $b = \frac{k}{10\Delta} \epsilon \bar{d}$ , we get

$$\Pr \left[ |Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \geq \frac{k}{10\Delta} \epsilon \bar{d} \right] \leq 2 \exp\left(-2 \frac{\epsilon^2 \bar{d}^2 k^2}{100\Delta^2 k}\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{50} k \epsilon^2\right) \leq \delta$$

where second last step follows by  $\bar{d}^2/\Delta^2 \geq 1$  by assumption, and the last step follows by choice of  $k$ . □

### 1.3.2 General Case

From Markov's inequality, we know that at most a  $1/C$  fraction of nodes have degree larger than  $C\bar{d}$ . This implies most nodes satisfy the warm up case! However, the rest of nodes can have large degrees. To cope with this, we define a new notion of degree, denoted by  $\deg^+(\cdot)$ .

We first assign a total order on the nodes of graph by assuming each node has a unique ID, then the order is given by the ID.

**Definition 5.** Given two nodes  $u, v \in V$ , we say  $u \prec v$  if

- $\deg(u) < \deg(v)$
- or  $\deg(u) = \deg(v)$  and  $u$  has smaller ID than  $v$ .

Then, we define  $\deg^+(u)$  as the number of nodes  $v$  in  $u$ 's neighborhood such that  $u \prec v$ .

Intuitively, if we orienting edges from small to large, the  $\deg^+(\cdot)$  count the "out-edges". Then, this directly implies

$$\sum_{u \in V} \deg^+(u) = m = \frac{n\bar{d}}{2}. \quad (1)$$

The benefits of having this notion is that the newly defined degree cannot be too large for any node in the graph:

**Claim 6.** For any node  $v \in V$ ,  $\deg^+(v) \leq \sqrt{2m}$ .

*Proof.* We define the vertex set  $H \subseteq V$  to be  $\sqrt{2m}$  nodes with highest rank (degree) w.r.t.  $\prec$ . For any  $v \in H$ ,  $\deg^+(v) \leq \sqrt{2m}$ . since edge leaving  $v$  go to bigger nodes, must be also in  $H$ .

For any  $v \in V \setminus H$ , we will show  $\deg^+(v) \leq \deg(v) \leq \sqrt{2m}$ . For the sake of contradiction, we assume  $\deg(v) > \sqrt{2m}$ , then all  $w \in H$  have  $\deg(w) \geq \deg(v)$ , Then, we have total degree  $\geq |H| \cdot \deg(v) \geq \sqrt{2m} \cdot \sqrt{2m} = 2m$  but total degree is  $2m$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

Now, we present our algorithm for the general case.

---

#### Algorithm 2 Approximating Degree

---

```

1:  $k \leftarrow \frac{16}{\varepsilon^2} \sqrt{n}$ 
2: for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  do
3:   Pick  $v_i \in_u V$  ▷ Step ①
4:   Pick  $u_i \in_u N(v_i)$  ▷ Step ②
5:   Let  $X_i = \begin{cases} 2 \deg(v_i) & \text{if } v_i \prec u_i \\ 0 & \end{cases}$ 
6: end for
7: return  $\tilde{d} \leftarrow \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$ 

```

---

**Claim 7.**  $X_i$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\bar{d}$ :  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \bar{d}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_i] &= \sum_{v \in V} \Pr[v \text{ picked in } \textcircled{1}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_i \mid v \text{ picked in } \textcircled{1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \Pr[u \text{ picked in } \textcircled{2}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_i \mid v \text{ picked in } \textcircled{1} \text{ and } u \text{ picked in } \textcircled{2}] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{u \in N(v), v \prec u} \frac{1}{\deg(v)} \cdot 2 \deg(v) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{v \in V} \deg^+(v) \\ &= \bar{d}.\end{aligned}$$

where the third step follows by definition of  $X_i$  given in Algorithm 2, the fourth step follows by definition of  $\deg^+(\cdot)$ , and the last step follows by Equation (1). □

In the next lecture, we will show  $\text{Var}[X_i]$  is small by using the upper bound on  $\deg^+(\cdot)$ .