6.895 Randomness and Computation

February 13, 2008

Lecture 3

Lecturer: Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribe: Megumi Ando

### Last Time

In the previous lecture, we made a notational switch from using Boolean functions of the form  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  to functions of the form  $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ . We defined linearity over this form, and what it meant to be  $\epsilon$ -close to linear.

**Definition 1**  $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$  is linear if  $\forall x, y \in \{-1,1\}^n$ ,  $f(x)f(y)f(x \cdot y) = 1$ , where  $x \cdot y = (x_1...x_n) \cdot (y_1...y_n) = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, ..., x_ny_n)$ .

There are  $2^n$  linear functions over  $\{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ . Each of them can be written as  $\chi_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ , where  $S \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ .

**Definition 2** A function f is  $\epsilon$ -close to linear if  $\exists$  linear g such that  $Pr_x[f(x) \neq g(x)] \leq \epsilon$ . Otherwise, f is  $\epsilon$ -far.

Finally, we proposed the following linearity tester:

• Repeat  $O\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\log\frac{1}{\beta}\right)$  times:

- Pick 
$$x, y \in \{-1, 1\}^n$$

- If  $f(x)f(y)f(x \cdot y) \neq 1$ , output "FAIL" and halt.

• Output "PASS."

The rejection probability of one pass through the loop is  $\delta \equiv E_{x,y} \left[ \frac{1 - f(x) f(y) f(x \cdot y)}{2} \right]$ .

### **1** Fourier Analysis (Basics)

We will use a few times the following simple fact about linear functions.

Fact 3

$$\chi_S(x) \cdot \chi_T(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot \prod_{j \in R} x_j = \prod_{i \in S \triangle T} x_i$$

where  $S \triangle T$  is the symmetric difference of S and T, i.e., the set of elements that appear in exactly one of the sets S and T.

# 1.1 Vector Space of Functions $g: \{-1, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$

The set  $G = \{g | g : \{-1, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}\}$  is a vector space of dim  $2^n$ .

**Definition 4** Indicator functions are functions of the form: If x = a, then  $e_a(x) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $e_a(x) = 0$ .

Note that the indicator functions are basis functions of G. However, we will not be using them. Instead we will be using the parity functions,  $\{\chi_S\}_{S\subseteq[n]}$ , described in the previous lecture. **Definition 5** For  $f, g: \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , the "inner product"

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{-1,1\}^n} f(x)g(x),$$

where the sum  $\sum f(x)g(x)$  is the "correlation," a measure of how often f and g agree.

Note that:

1.  $\langle \chi_S, \chi_S \rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{-1,1\}^n} \chi_S^2(x) = 1.$  (Absolute correlation.) 2. If  $S \neq T$ ,

$$\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{-1,1\}^n} \chi_S(x) \chi_T(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{-1,1\}^n} \prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{j \in T} x_j \qquad \text{(by definition)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i \in S \triangle T} x_i \qquad \text{(by Fact 3)}$$

where  $S \triangle T$  is non-empty. Therefore, there exists a  $j \in S \triangle T$ . Let  $x^{\oplus j}$  equals x with the j-th bit flipped.

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\text{pairs } (x, x^{\oplus j})} \left( x_j \prod_{i \in S \triangle T \setminus \{j\}} x_i + \overline{x_j} \prod_{i \in S \triangle T \setminus \{j\}} x_i \right)$$
$$= 0$$

From Notes 1 and 2 above, we see that every parity function  $X_S$  is normal to the others, and thus, the parity functions form an orthonormal basis.

#### **1.2** Fourier Coefficients

The following corollary follows.

**Corollary 6** 

$$\forall f, f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x),$$

where  $\hat{f}(z)$  is the Fourier coefficient, which can be calculated as follows:

$$\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} f(x) \chi_S(x)$ 

In particular, a parity function has all but one coefficients equal zero.

#### Fact 7 (Fourier Coefficients of Parity Functions $\chi_T$ )

$$f = \chi_T \iff \hat{f}(T) = 1.$$
  
Furthermore,  $\forall S \neq T, \hat{f}(S) = 0.$ 

A f	ew	more	example	s of	Fourier	coefficients:

Function	Fourier Representation		
f(x) = 1	$1\cdot\chi_{\emptyset}$		
$f(x) = x_i$	$1 \cdot \chi_{\{i\}}$		
and $(x_1, x_2)$	$\frac{1}{2}\chi_{\emptyset} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{1\}} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{2\}} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{1,2\}}$		
$\operatorname{maj}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$	$\frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{1\}} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{2\}} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{3\}} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{1,2,3\}}$		

#### **1.3** Fourier Coefficients and Distance to Linearity

Let dist(f,g) denote the fraction of inputs on which two Boolean functions  $f, g : \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$  disagree. That is, dist $(f,g) = \Pr_{x \in \{-1,1\}^n} [f(x) \neq g(x)]$ . For instance, the distance between two different parity functions is 1/2.

Fact 8 For  $S \neq T$ , dist $(\chi_S, \chi_T) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

It turns out that Fourier coefficients can be used to express the distance of a function to a given linear function.

Fact 9 (Agreement of f with Linear Functions) For  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \to \{-1, 1\}$ ,

$$\hat{f}(S) = 1 - 2\operatorname{dist}(f_1, \chi_S).$$

Proof

$$2^{n} \hat{f}(s) = \sum_{x} f(x)\chi_{S}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \text{ s.t. }} f(x) = \chi_{S}(x) f(x)\chi_{S}(x) + \sum_{x \text{ s.t. }} f(x)\chi_{S}(x)$$

$$= 2^{n} - 2|\{x|f(x) \neq \chi_{S}(x)\}|$$

$$= 2^{n} \left(1 - 2\frac{|\{x|f(x) \neq \chi_{S}(x)\}|}{2^{n}}\right)$$

$$\hat{f}(s) = 1 - 2\operatorname{dist}(f_{1} \cdot \chi_{S})$$

### 1.4 Plancherel's Theorem

The following simple theorem holds.

**Theorem 10 (Plancherel's Theorem)** For  $f, g : \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\langle f,g \rangle = E_x[f(x) \cdot g(x)] = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)\hat{g}(S).$$

Proof

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle f,g\rangle &=& \left\langle \sum_{S} \hat{f}(S)\chi_{S}(x), \sum_{T} \hat{g}(T)\chi_{T}(x) \right\rangle \\ &=& \sum_{S} \sum_{T} \hat{f}(S)\hat{g}(T) \left\langle \chi_{S}(x), \chi_{T}(x) \right\rangle \\ &=& \sum_{S=T} \hat{f}(S)\hat{g}(T) \cdot 1 = \sum_{S} \hat{f}(S)\hat{g}(S) \end{array}$$

The theorem yields multiple useful properties.

Corollary 11 (Parseval's identity) For  $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to R$ ,  $\langle f, f \rangle = \sum \hat{f}^2(S)$ . Corollary 12 For  $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}, \sum \hat{f}^2(S) = \langle f, f \rangle = 1$ . Corollary 13

$$E_x[\chi_S(x)] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S = \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# 2 Analysis of the Proposed Linearity Tester

Recall that  $\delta$  is the probability that a single pass through the loop detects that the input function f is not linear, and it can be expressed as

$$\delta = E_{x,y} \left[ \frac{1 - f(x)f(y)f(x \cdot y)}{2} \right].$$

Lemma 14 (Main Lemma)  $1 - \delta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}^3(S)$ 

Proof

$$\begin{split} 1-\delta &= E_{x,y} \left[ \frac{1+f(x)f(y)f(xy)}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} E_{x,y} [f(x)f(y)f(xy)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} E_{x,y} [f(x)f(y)f(xy)] \\ &= E_{x,y} [(\sum_{S} \hat{f}(S)\chi_{S}(x))(\sum_{T} \hat{f}(T)\chi_{T}(y))(\sum_{U} \hat{f}(U)\chi_{U}(x \cdot y)] \\ &= \sum_{S,T,U} \hat{f}(S)\hat{f}(T)\hat{f}(U)E_{x,y} [\chi_{S}(x)\chi_{T}(y)\chi_{U}(x \cdot y)] \\ &= E_{x,y} [\hat{\chi}_{S}(x)\chi_{T}(y)\chi_{U}(x \cdot y)] \\ &= E_{x,y} [\prod_{i \in S} x_{i} \prod_{j \in T} y_{j} \prod_{k \in U} x_{k}y_{k}] \\ &= E_{x,y} [\prod_{i \in S \Delta U} x_{i} \prod_{j \in T \Delta U} y_{j}] \\ &= E_{x} [\chi_{S\Delta U}(x)]E_{y} [\chi_{T\Delta U}(y)] \\ &E_{x} [\chi_{S\Delta U}(x)] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S = U, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ E_{y} [\chi_{T\Delta U}(y)] \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T = U, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

So,  $E_{x,y}[\chi_S(x)\chi_T(y)\chi_U(x \cdot y)]$  is non-zero if and only if S = T = U. If S = T = U, then the expectation is 1. Hence,

$$E_{x,y}[f(x)f(y)f(xy)] = \sum_{S,T,U} \hat{f}(S)\hat{f}(T)\hat{f}(U)E_{x,y}[\chi_S(x)\chi_T(y)\chi_U(x\cdot y)] = \sum_S \hat{f}^3(S)$$

and

$$1 - \delta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} E_{x,y}[f(x)f(y)f(xy)] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S} \hat{f}^{3}(S).$$

**Theorem 15** If f is  $\epsilon$ -far from linear, then  $\delta = \Pr_{x,y}[f(x)f(y)f(x \cdot y) \neq 1] \geq \epsilon$ .

#### Proof

We will prove Theorem 10 by proving its contrapositive; we will assume that  $\delta < \epsilon$ , and demonstrate that this assumption implies that f is  $\epsilon$ -close.

The Main Lemma implies that

$$1-\delta \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S} \hat{f}^{3}(S)$$
  

$$1-2\delta \leq \sum_{S} \hat{f}^{3}(S)$$
  

$$\leq \left(\max_{S} \hat{f}(S)\right) \sum_{S} \hat{f}^{2}(S) = \max_{S} \hat{f}(S),$$

Let  $T = \underset{S}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \widehat{f}(S)$ . We have

$$1 - 2\delta \le \hat{f}(T),$$

and by Fact 9,

dist
$$(f, \chi_T) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{f}(T) < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2\delta) = \delta < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, f is  $\epsilon$ -close to a linear function; an impossibility.