Homework guidelines: You may work with other students, as long as (1) they have not yet solved the problem, (2) you write down the names of all other students with which you discussed the problem, and (3) you write up the solution on your own. No points will be deducted, no matter how many people you talk to, as long as you are honest. If you already knew the answer to one of the problems (call these "famous" problems), then let me know that in your solution writeup – it will not affect your score, but will help me in the future. It’s ok to look up famous sums and inequalities that help you to solve the problem, but don’t look up an entire solution.

The following problems are to be turned in. TURN YOUR SOLUTION IN TO EACH PROBLEM ON A SEPARATE PIECE OF PAPER WITH YOUR NAME ON EACH ONE.

1. Given a probability distribution \( p \) over domain \( A \) such that \( |A| = n \). Define the collision probability of \( p \),

\[
c(p) \equiv \Pr_{i,j \in p A}[i = j] = \sum_{i \in A} p_i^2
\]

(where the notation \( i \in_p A \) denotes that \( i \) is chosen according to distribution \( p \) from the domain \( A \)). Define the \( L_1 \) distance between \( p, q \) as

\[
|p - q|_1 = \sum_{i \in A} |p_i - q_i|
\]

Define the \( L_2 \) distance between \( p, q \) as

\[
||p - q||_2 = (\sum_{i \in A} (p_i - q_i)^2)^{1/2}
\]

(Note that \( L_1, L_2 \) distances are defined for any pairs of real-valued vectors, not just probability distribution vectors). Recall the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that \( |v|_1 \leq \sqrt{d}||v||_2 \) (where \( d \) is the dimension of the vector). Let \( U_X \) denote the uniform distribution over set \( X \).

Let \( H \) be a family of pairwise independent hash functions mapping \( A \) to \( T \) such that \( |A| = n \) and \( |T| = t \). Assume that the functions in \( H \) are indexed by elements in the set \( B \) (i.e., each element in \( B \) corresponds to exactly one hash function).

Let \( W \) be any subset of \( A \). Let distribution \( q \) over \( B \times T \) be defined as follows: Choose \( h \) uniformly from \( H \) and \( x \) chosen uniformly from \( W \), then output the pair \( <h, h(x)> \).

(a) (warmup!) For \( h \) chosen uniformly from \( H \), say that \( x, y \) are a colliding pair if \( h(x) = h(y) \). Show that the expected number of colliding pairs is \( \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \)

(b) For any distribution \( p \) over the set \( A \), show that if \( c(p) \leq (1+\epsilon^2)/|A| \) then \( |p - U_A| \leq \epsilon \).

(c) For \( q \) defined as above, show that \( c(q) \leq \frac{1+|T|/|W|}{|B \times T|} \)

(d) Using the previous two items, show that \( |q - U_{B \times T}| \leq \sqrt{|T|/|W|} \).
2. The NP-complete problem CIRCUIT-SAT takes as input a description of a boolean circuit $C$ (assume that the gates are two-input “and”, “or” gates or “not” gates) and asks if there is any set of inputs $x = x_1, \ldots, x_r$ such that $C(x) = 1$. So, $L_{\text{CIRCUIT-SAT}} = \{C \mid C$ describes a circuit with a satisfying assignment $x$ such that $C(x) = 1\}$. Suppose $C$ is a description of a circuit which is guaranteed to either have only one solution or to have no solutions at all. Our goal in this problem is to show that determining whether $C \in L_{\text{CIRCUIT-SAT}}$ cannot be much easier than the general CIRCUIT-SAT problem.

Let us first define the problem $\Pi$ as follows: Given circuit $C$ which takes an $r$-bit input, a polynomial time computable function $h$ mapping $\{0, 1\}^r$ to a set of values $T$, and a value $\alpha \in T$, is there an input $y$ to $C$ such that $C(y) = 1$ and $h(y) = \alpha$?

We say that algorithm $A$ unique solves $\Pi$, if for all inputs $(C, h, \alpha)$ with no satisfying assignments $y$, $A$ outputs “no”, and for all inputs $(C, h, \alpha)$ with exactly one satisfying assignment $y$, $A$ outputs “yes”. Note that for any $(C, h, \alpha)$ which has more than two satisfying assignments, “no” or “yes” is a perfectly legal answer.

Prove that if there is a randomized one-sided error polynomial time algorithm $A$ which unique solves $\Pi$, then $RP = NP$. To do this, design an algorithm $B \in RP$ that decides membership in CIRCUIT-SAT, using oracle calls to $A$.

Hint: Let $N$ be the number of satisfying assignments to the CIRCUIT-SAT instance. First show how to design algorithm $B_k$ that decides membership in CIRCUIT-SAT using oracle access to $A$ when it is guaranteed that either $N = 0$ or $2^{k-1} \leq N \leq 2^k$. (You might want to recall the result you proved in the first part of the previous homework problem).

3. (a) Give an “approximate lower bound interactive proof protocol” by fixing the one given in lecture 6.

(b) (optional) Let $R(x, y) : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^m \to \{0, 1\}$ be a relation that is computable in time polynomial in $n, m$. Let $L$ be a language $L = \{x \mid \exists y$ such that $R(x, y) = 1\}$. Let $\ell_x \equiv |\{y \mid R(x, y) = 1\}|$. Let $A$ be a polynomial time algorithm (in $n, m$) that given $x$ uniformly generates $y$ for which $R(x, y) = 1$. Show that there is an “approximate upper bound” interactive proof protocol which allows a prover to convince a polynomial time (in $n, m, 1/\epsilon$) verifier that $\ell_x$ is not too much more than $k$: That is, given $\epsilon$ and $x$, if $\ell_x$ is at most $k$, the verifier should accept with probability at least $2/3$, and if $\ell_x$ is greater than $(1 + \epsilon)k$, the verifier should accept with probability at most $1/3$.

4. You are given a 2-SAT formula $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Consider the following algorithm for finding a satisfying assignment:

- Start with an arbitrary assignment. If it’s satisfying, output it and halt.
- Do $s$ times:
  - Pick an arbitrary unsatisfied clause
  - Pick one of the two literals in it uniformly at random
  - Complement the setting of the chosen literal
  - If the new assignment satisfies $\phi$, output the assignment and halt.
Show that if you pick $s$ to be $O(n^2)$, and $\phi$ is satisfiable, you will output a satisfying assignment with probability at least $3/4$. 