

## Lecture 22

Lecturer: Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribe: Alan Guo

Today we will briefly talk about non-uniform complexity classes and Yao's XOR lemma.

## 1 Non-uniform complexity classes

**Definition 1** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a class of languages (e.g.  $\mathbf{P}$ ,  $\mathbf{NP}$ ) and let  $a(n)$  be a length function (e.g.  $\log n$ ). Define  $\mathbf{C}/a$  to be the class

$$\mathbf{C}/a = \{L \mid \exists L' \in \mathbf{C} \text{ and "advice" } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \{0, 1\}^*, |\alpha_n| \leq a(n) \forall n \text{ s.t. } x \in L \iff (x, \alpha_{|x|}) \in L'\}.$$

Note that the advice string is the same for all inputs of a given length.

For example,  $\mathbf{P}/\text{poly} = \bigcup_c \mathbf{P}/n^c$  is the set of languages computable via Boolean circuits of polynomial size (the polynomial advice corresponds to the polynomial description of the circuit).

**Uniform vs. non-uniform computational model.** We use non-uniform complexity classes when talking about non-uniform models of computation. In the uniform model, we have a uniform Turing Machine which does the same algorithm regardless of the size of the input, whereas in the non-uniform model we have a different algorithm for each input size.

We also showed in homework that randomness does not help in the non-uniform model, since we can hardcode random strings as advice. In fact, we showed that  $\mathbf{P}/\text{poly} = \mathbf{RP}/\text{poly}$ .

Can we hope to make statements like  $\mathbf{P}/1 = \mathbf{P}$ ? Not really, since even  $\mathbf{P}/1$  contains undecidable languages. For example, consider the language  $L = \{x \mid M_{|x|} \text{ halts on the empty string } \epsilon\}$ . Then  $L \in \mathbf{P}/1$  trivially since the advice bit  $\alpha_n$  could tell you the answer. (Never mind that we don't know how to find  $\alpha_n$ , the fact that it exists is enough.) Nevertheless, these complexity classes are still interesting.

## 2 Yao's XOR Lemma

Throughout this section, we consider functions  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  and when we say  $\oplus$  (the XOR operation), we really mean multiplication in  $\{\pm 1\}$ .

Recall the following definitions of hard and hardcore from last time.

**Definition 2**  $f$  is  $\delta$ -hard on a distribution  $D$  for size  $g$  if for any Boolean circuit  $C$  with at most  $g$  gates,

$$\Pr_{x \leftarrow D} [C(x) = f(x)] \leq 1 - \delta.$$

**Definition 3** Let  $S \subseteq \{\pm 1\}^n$ . Then  $f$  is  $\epsilon$ -hardcore on  $S$  for size  $g$  if for every Boolean circuit  $C$  with size at most  $g$ ,

$$\Pr_{x \leftarrow U_S} [C(x) = f(x)] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Recall the following theorem (actually combination of two theorems from last time).

**Theorem 4** If  $f$  is  $\delta$ -hard for size  $g$  on the uniform distribution and  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , then there exists a  $2\epsilon$ -hardcore set  $S$  for  $f$  for size  $g' = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2\delta^2g$  with  $|S| \geq \delta 2^n$ .

So, if we start with a function  $f$  which is a little hard to predict, we can obtain a small set  $S$  on which  $f$  is very hard to predict. From this, we can get a function  $f'$  which is hard to predict on the whole domain (actually, the Cartesian product of  $k$  copies of the domain). In summary,

$\delta$ -hard  $\rightarrow \delta'(\epsilon, \delta)$ -hardcore measure  $\rightarrow 2\delta'$ -hardcore set  $\rightarrow 2\delta'+2(1-\delta)^k$ -hard on domain to the  $k$

The function  $f'$  will simply be the XOR of  $k$  copies of  $f$ . We will obtain this result immediately with Yao's XOR lemma, whose precise statement is as follows. For notational convenience, define  $f^{\oplus k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \equiv f(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f(x_k)$ .

**Theorem 5** *If  $f$  is  $\epsilon$ -hardcore for a set  $H$  of size at least  $\delta 2^n$  for size  $g$ , then  $f^{\oplus k}$  is  $\epsilon+2(1-\delta)^k$ -hardcore on  $\{\pm 1\}^{nk}$  for size  $g-1$ .*

**Proof** Assume not. Then there exists a circuit  $C$  of size at most  $g-1$  such that

$$\Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_n} [C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f^{\oplus k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + (1-\delta)^k.$$

Our plan will be to show that for any  $H$  such that  $|H| \geq \delta 2^n$ , we will get a circuit  $C'$  with at most  $g$  gates which guesses  $f$  with probability greater than  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

**Constructing  $C'$ :** Let  $A_m$  denote the event that exactly  $m$  of  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are in  $H$ . Then  $\Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_k} [A_0] \leq (1-\delta)^k$ , so  $\Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_k} [\overline{A_0}] \geq 1 - (1-\delta)^k$ . Therefore,

$$\Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_k} [C(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f^{\oplus k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid \overline{A_0}] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By averaging, there exists  $m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that

$$\Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_k} [C(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f^{\oplus k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid A_m] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now we give the circuit for  $f$  on input  $x \in H$ . To randomly generate a random element of  $A_m$ , one can do the following:

1. Pick  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in_R H$
2. Pick  $y_{m+1}, \dots, y_k \in_R \overline{H}$
3. Permute  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x, y_{m+1}, \dots, y_k$  via random permutation  $\pi$ .

Denoting  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_{m+1}, \dots, y_k)$ , we have

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \pi} [C(\pi(\mathbf{x}, x, \mathbf{y})) = f^{\oplus k}(\pi(\mathbf{x}, x, \mathbf{y}))] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By averaging again, there exists  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \pi$  such that

$$\Pr_x [C(\pi(\mathbf{x}, x, \mathbf{y})) = f^{\oplus k}(\pi(\mathbf{x}, x, \mathbf{y}))] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now, let  $b = C(\pi(\mathbf{x}, x, \mathbf{y})) \oplus f(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f(x_{m-1}) \oplus f(y_{m+1}) \oplus \dots \oplus f(y_k)$ . Define the circuit  $C'$  which computes  $C(\pi(\mathbf{x}, x, \mathbf{y})) \oplus b$ . Then

$$\Pr_x [C'(x) = f(x)] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and moreover  $C'$  has size at most  $g$ , since  $C(\pi(\mathbf{x}, x, \mathbf{y}))$  can be computed without adding any more gates to  $C$  and XORing with  $b$  takes at most one more gate. ■