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Mobile Device Energy Consumption

- Energy is important resource in mobile systems
- Wireless network access can quickly drain a mobile device’s batteries
- Energy-saving methods trade-off performance for energy
  - For example, the IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN Power-Saving Mode (PSM)
- Understanding the trade-offs can give a principled way for designing energy-saving protocols
Motivation:
Web browsing is slow with 802.11 PSM

Son! Haven’t I told you to turn on power-saving mode. Batteries don’t grow on trees you know!

But dad! *Performance SUCKS* when I turn on power-saving mode!

So what! When I was your age, I walked 2 miles through the snow to fetch my Web pages!

• Users complain about performance degradation
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Wireless Interface
Power-Saving

- **AWAKE**: high power consumption, even if idle
- **SLEEP**: low power consumption, but can’t communicate
- Basic PSM strategy: Sleep to save energy, periodically wake to check for pending data
  - PSM protocol: when to sleep and when to wake?
- A *PSM-static* protocol has a regular, unchanging, sleep/wake cycle while the network is inactive (e.g. 802.11)
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The transmission of each TCP window takes 100ms until the window size grows to the product of the wireless link bandwidth and the server RTT.
• PSM-static and TCP can have strange emergent interactions
• TCP may achieve higher throughput over a lower bandwidth PSM-static link!
• How? A wireless link with a smaller bandwidth delay product will become saturated sooner and prevent the network interface from going to sleep
• See paper for details
Web Browsing is Slow with PSM-static

- Web browsing typically consists of small TCP data transfers
  - RTTs are a critical determinant of performance
- PSM-static slows the initial RTTs to 100ms
  - Slowdown is worse for fast server connections
  - Many popular Internet sites have RTTs less than 30ms (due to increasing deployment of Web CDNs, proxies, caches, etc.)
- For a server RTT of 20ms, the average Web page retrieval slowdown is 2.4x
PSM-static Does Not Save Enough Energy

- Client workloads are bursty
- 99% of the total inactive time is spent in intervals lasting longer than 1 second (see paper)
- During long idle periods, waking up to receive a beacon every 100ms is inefficient
  - Percentage of idle energy spent listening to beacons:
    - Enterasys RoamAbout: 23%
    - ORiNOCO PC Gold: 35%
    - Cisco AIR-PCM350: 84%

  - Based on data in: [Shih, MOBICOM 2002]

- Longer sleep times enable deeper sleep modes
  - Basic tradeoff between reducing power and wakeup cost
  - Current cards are optimized for 100ms sleep intervals
The PSM-static Dilemma

Compromise between performance and energy

If PSM-static is *too coarse-grained*, it harms performance by delaying network data

If PSM-static is *too fine-grained*, it wastes energy by waking unnecessarily

Solution: *dynamically adapt* to network activity to maintain performance while minimizing energy

- *Stay awake* to avoid delaying very fast RTTs
- *Back off* (listen to fewer beacons) while idle
PSM Problem Statement

Find a protocol that minimizes energy consumption while guaranteeing that RTTs do not increase by more than a given percentage $p$

• Minimize energy assuming simple power model (sleep/wake/listen)

• Must operate solely at the link layer with no higher-layer knowledge
  • Assume any data sent by mobile device is a request, and no correspondence between send and receive data
  • Benefit: works even when network interface is shared

• Only applies to request/response traffic
Bounding Slowdown with Minimum Energy (Idealized)

Bounded Slowdown Property:

If $T_{wait}$ has elapsed since a request was sent, the network interface can sleep for a duration up to $T_{wait} \cdot p$ while bounding the RTT slowdown to $(1+p)$

Idealized protocol:

- To minimize energy: sleep as much as possible
- To bound slowdown: wakeup to check for response data as governed by above property
Synchronization

- Mobile device and AP should be synchronized with a fixed beacon period ($T_{bp}$)
- May delay response by one beacon period during first sleep interval
- To bound slowdown, initially stay awake for $1/p$ beacon periods
- Round sleep intervals down to a multiple of $T_{bp}$
- Requires minimal changes to 802.11
Bounded-Slowdown (BSD) Protocol

- Parameterized BSD protocol exposes trade-off between performance and energy
- Compared to PSM-static: awake energy increases, listen energy decreases
Simulation Methodology

- ns-2 used to model mobile client communicating with AP over wireless link
- Web traffic generator with randomized parameters based on empirical data
  - Includes: request length, response length, number of embedded images, server response time, user think time
- Limitation: single server with fixed bandwidth and RTT
  - Server RTT is fixed, but server response time varies
  - Evaluated various server RTTs
- Simple energy model: awake power, sleep power, listen energy
# Web Browsing Performance

## Average PSM Slowdown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTT</th>
<th>PSM-static</th>
<th>BSD-100%</th>
<th>BSD-10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10ms</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20ms</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40ms</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80ms</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **PSM-static**
- **BSD-100%**
- **BSD-10%**
- BSD would have large energy savings for other cards: 25% for ORiNOCO PC Gold, and 70% for Cisco AIR-PCM350
- Sleep energy could be reduced by going into deeper sleep during long sleep intervals
- Shorter beacon-period can reduce awake energy (see paper)
Conclusion

- PSM-static (the 802.11 PSM) drastically reduces Web browsing energy, but it also slows down Web page retrieval times substantially.
- BSD dynamically adapts to network activity and uses the minimum energy necessary to guarantee that RTTs do not increase by more than a given percentage.
- BSD exposes the energy/performance trade-off.
- BSD can essentially eliminate the Web browsing slowdown while often using even less energy than PSM-Static.