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Problem:

Storing **digital** information in a device in a way that is resistant to **physical attack** is difficult and expensive.

IBM 4758

Tamper-proof package containing a secure processor which has a secret key and memory

- Tens of sensors, resistance, temperature, voltage, etc.

- Continually battery-powered

~ $3000 for a 99 MHz processor and 128MB of memory
Our Solution:

Extract key information from a complex physical system.
A Physical Random Function or Physical Unclonable Function (PUF) is a function that is:

- Based on a physical system
- Easy to evaluate (using the physical system)
- Its output looks like a random function
- Unpredictable even for an attacker with physical access
Silicon PUF – Proof of Concept

• Because of process variations, no two Integrated Circuits are identical

• Experiments in which identical circuits with identical layouts were placed on different FPGAs show that path delays vary enough across ICs to use them for identification.
Each challenge creates two paths through the circuit that are excited simultaneously. The digital response is based on a (timing) comparison of the path delays.

Path delays in an IC are statistically distributed due to random manufacturing variations.
Experiments

- Fabricated candidate PUF on multiple IC’s, 0.18µ TSMC
- Apply 100 random challenges and observe response

At 70C measurement noise for chip X = 2
Measurement noise for Chip X = 0.5
Can identify individual ICs
Measurement Attacks and Software Attacks

Can an adversary create a *software clone* of a given PUF chip?

Distance between Chip X and Y responses = 24

At 70°C measurement noise for chip X = 2

Measurement noise for Chip X = 0.5
Can an adversary create a *software clone* of a given PUF chip?

Distance between Chip X and Y responses = 24

“Best” model for Chip X has error = 10

At 70°C measurement noise for chip X = 2

Measurement noise for Chip X = 0.5

Model-building appears hard even for simple circuits
Physical Attacks

• Make PUF delays depend on overlaid metal layers and package

• Invasive attack (e.g., package removal) changes PUF delays and destroys PUF

• Non-invasive attacks are still possible
  – To find wire delays need to find precise relative timing of transient signals as opposed to looking for 0’s and 1’s
  – Wire delay is not a number but a function of challenge bits and adjacent wire voltages
A Silicon PUF can be used as an unclonable key.

- The lock has a database of challenge-response pairs.
- To open the lock, the key has to show that it knows the response to one or more challenges.
If a remote chip stores a private key, Alice can share a secret with the chip since she knows the public key corresponding to the stored private key.

Encrypt *Secret* using chip’s public key.

Only the chip can decrypt *Secret* using the stored private key.
Applications

• Anonymous Computation
  Alice wants to run computations on Bob’s computer, and wants to make sure that she is getting correct results. A certificate is returned with her results to show that they were correctly executed.

• Software Licensing
  Alice wants to sell Bob a program which will only run on Bob’s chip (identified by a PUF). The program is copy-protected so it will not run on any other chip.

How can we enable the above applications by trusting only a single-chip processor that contains a silicon PUF?
Sharing a Secret with a Silicon PUF

Suppose Alice wishes to share a secret with the silicon PUF.

She has a challenge response pair that no one else knows, which can authenticate the PUF.

She asks the PUF for the response to a challenge.

Anyone can see challenge and ask PUF for the response.

Anyone can see response if it is not encrypted.
Restricting Access to the PUF

- To prevent the attack, the man in the middle must be prevented from finding out the response.
- Alice’s program must be able to establish a shared secret with the PUF, the attacker’s program must not be able to get the secret.

⇒ Combine response with hash of program.

- The PUF can only be accessed via the GetSecret function:

```
Challenge → PUF → Response
```

```
Hash(Program) → Hash → Secret
```

Add this to PUF
Getting a Challenge-Response Pair

- Now Alice can use a Challenge-Response pair to generate a shared secret with the PUF equipped device.

- But Alice can’t get a Challenge-Response pair in the first place since the PUF never releases responses directly.

⇒ An extra function that can return responses is needed.
• Let Alice use a **Pre-Challenge**.
• Use **program hash** to prevent eavesdroppers from using the pre-challenge.

• The PUF has a **GetResponse** function

```
Hash(Program)  →  Hash  →  Challenge  →  PUF  →  Response
Pre-Challenge   →
```

Add this to PUF
Controlled PUF Implementation

Hash(Pre-Challenge) → Hash(Challenge) → PUF → Response → GetResponse

Hash(Hash(Program)) → GetSecret → Secret → Hash(Hash(Program))
Challenge-Response Pair Management: Bootstrapping

When a CPUF has just been produced, the manufacturer wants to generate a challenge-response pair.

1. Manufacturer provides Pre-challenge and Program.
2. CPUF produces Response.
3. Manufacturer gets Challenge by computing Hash(Hash(Program), PreChallenge).
4. Manufacturer has (Challenge, Response) pair where Challenge, Program, and Hash(Program) are public, but Response is not known to anyone since Pre-challenge is thrown away.
Software Licensing

Program (Ecode, Challenge)
   Secret = GetSecret( Challenge )
   Code = Decrypt( Ecode, Secret )
Run Code

Ecode has been encrypted with Secret by Manufacturer
Software Licensing

Program (Ecode, Challenge)

Secret = GetSecret( Challenge )

Code = Decrypt( Ecode, Secret )

Run Code

Ecode has been encrypted with Secret by Manufacturer

Secret is known to the manufacturer because he knows Response to Challenge and can compute

Secret = Hash(Hash(Program), Response)
Software Licensing

Program (Ecode, Challenge)
  Secret = GetSecret( Challenge )
  Code = Decrypt( Ecode, Secret )
Run Code

Ecode has been encrypted with Secret by Manufacturer
Secret is known to the manufacturer because he knows Response to Challenge and can compute
  Secret = Hash(Hash(Program), Response)

Adversary cannot determine Secret because he does not know Response or Pre-Challenge
If adversary tries a different program, a different secret will be generated because Hash(Program) is different
Summary

• PUFs provide secret “key” and CPUFs enable sharing a secret with a hardware device

• CPUFs are not susceptible to model-building attack if we assume physical attacks cannot discover the PUF response
  - Control protects PUF by obfuscating response, and PUF protects the control from attacks by “covering up” the control logic
  - Shared secrets are volatile

• Lots of open questions…