







At first, cell phones is all about making sure that the phone can send and receive phone calls. A phone number is all that is needed to identify the phone. There is a huge infrastructure required to support all of this, from towers, to protocols, databases, and intelligent phones. This is mostly independent of the land-lines for telephone communication. There are gateways, but the two are mostly separate. For example, one cannot get an SMS message on a landline phone.

The is also a huge infrastructure. The mobility aspect, however, fits in smoothly. A base station looks mostly like an ethernet.

The middle ground would be only having one friend connect to base station and others connect via local communication (e.g. bluetooth). The middleground can be adapted to mobile phones and it is instructive to think about how this can be done. For example a group of friends can travel together. one phone is the master, but the master can rotate like the lead rider in a bicycle race. As the master takes over, it registers with an stationary



There is a connection between the network knowing where is the phone and the phone knowing where it is. The connection might be tenuous but in thinking this way, the tradeoffs become clearer.



What are the ways of a device knowing where it is. GPS is a crazy invention. It works but I am fascinated by the type of person who thought of it. There is a similarity in placing satellites in the sky and cell towers on the ground. Remember paging? That is done via satellites and it is a broadcast mechanism. I believe it is an interesting way to get phones to wakeup and connect to the network.

It is instructive to appreciate the power of thinking big. The internet actually started out small -- just a couple of places interconnected and it then grew. But at the start it was still useful. Cell towers are not useful unless one starts with reasonable coverage of a reasonable number of cities. One tower is not very useful.



Now we can get back to the traditional lecture on GPS. The idea of putting up satellites so that every location on earth is covered is another example of thinking big. In my mind, it was even more fantastic than cell towers. One cannot do GPS with just two satellites and then add more. They all have to work (or at least most) and it is very expensive when one does not work.

So we can represent any location on earth by longitude, latitude and altitude. But for cars, bicycles, walkers, and other non-flying things, altitude is not that important (maybe for hikers). So really, only two pieces of info needed (and can lookup the altitude given position). In a city, where visibility of satellites is difficult, when one is traveling along the streets, ones position is even more constrained.

GPS info, in and of itself is not so useful. Need a map to do something useful with it. But that is an interesting question -- without a map, can gps info give you something interesting? Can give relative positions of a group.



The distinction between military and civilian needs is often obliterated by price. GPS units dropped dramatically when they became useful for consumers. When devices are built for the military, they are expensive. When they hit the consumer market, the price drops dramatically. That in turn, opens up whole new opportunities, as we shall see. Geo-tagging is just one example of low-cost GPS. We should all be happy that the 'security' features were turned off in 2000, by Clinton, and so now we can enjoy better precision. Even without that, it would only have taken a few years to get the precision, anyway, by augmenting the signal with ground stations.



The slides provided by the government are not complicated but they have nicer graphics.