TO:

Distribution List

FROM:

M. M. Daggett and J. W. Spall

DATE:

March 1, 1967

SUBJECT:

Possible Improvements to 6.36 Operation

Attached is a list of possible improvements which might be made to the 6.36 operation as well as some sample jobs.

Distribution List

Corbató, F. J.

Crowley, T. H.

Cushing, C.

Daley, R.

Dean, A. L.

Glaser, E. L.

Graham, R. M.

Liebling, N.

Martin, K.

Neumann, P.

Saltzer, J.

Shy, I.

Siem, E.

Stoller, G.

Widrig, D.

TO: Distribution List

SUBJECT: Possible Improvements to 6.36 Operations

Having observed several 6.36 jobs and noting the time taken by each GECØS activity as well as noting the time on the printed output it would seem that the following improvements could be made to the 6.36 operation. Thus the GE 645 might be used more effectively.

- 1) As may be noted from the attached sample jobs anywhere from 20 to 50 percent of the total time to run an IMCV tape is spent in reading or writing the disk. It should be noted here that the setup time of each job is not included in these samples. Anywhere from one to three minutes is spent reading the IMCV tape onto the disk preceeding the first activity. On the basis of this information, it would seem that an I/O device which is faster than the current disk is desparately needed. Possibly, an MD-20 drum would speed up the current jobs considerably.
- 2) The execution activity of an IMCV includes loading as well as execution, all in the same GECØS activity. For this reason, it is difficult to estimate just what percentage of this activity is spent loading and what percentage is spent in actual execution. Mary Thompson has a job which spends 9 minutes in the execution activity of which she notes that 8 minutes are devoted to loading. Noel Morris feels that loading time could be cut by up to 95 percent if the logic of the loader were revised to make just one pass over text and link files. (He estimates this to be a one man-week job.)
- 3) The 6.36 dumper (created for return to the 7094) dumps all procedures. Both 645 and 7094 machine time, as well as 7094 disk space, would be saved, if pure procedures were not dumped. Since the CTSS command GEBUG is used to analyze the 6.36 dump, it also would require a change. The dump is returned to the 7094 in the form of a CTSS saved file which makes no allowances for gaps. Therefore, Jerry Stoller, who has worked on the dumper, and Don Wagner, who has worked on GEBUG, feel any such change would require major rewrites.

- 4) The 64.5 dumper (created on the 645 printer) currently dumps all procedures in core. As may be noted from the attached sample problems the 64.5 dumper takes much more machine time than the 6.36 dumper. If the 64.5 dumper were modified such that it would not dump pure procedures, a considerable amount of 645 machine might be saved. Jerry Stoller feels that this change would not be anywhere near as extensive as the preceding change to the 6.36 dumper.
- 5) Currently, the default option of a MRGEDT run causes a 6.36 dump to be generated and no 64.5 dump. Since 7094 disk space and time are at a premium and also since Cambridge users may easily obtain their 64.5 dumps it would seem that the default should be completely reversed, assuming that the above mentioned change to the 64.5 dumper is made.
- 6) Users should be made aware of the following two ways that 645 time might be saved.
 - a) Segments should not be reassembled if TEXT and LINK files already exist.
 - b) To avoid dynamic loading a LIBE card should be used for all segments whose numbers are greater than the stack and which are not specifically created by the user by calls to the pseudo-supervisor. (Needless to say, at least, one run is necessary to discover which these segments are.)
- 7) Once "Change Letter 9" version of GECØS is installed along with the correction allowing more than 32767 lines of output, users should be allowed to get only one type of dump, a 6.36 or 64.5, but not both; as they should not both be necessary. Until the GECØS correction is made, however, users may not always get their complete 64.5 dump and as a result may need to request both.

Sample Jobs (Time in hours)

1. Initializer .0010 .0023 .0033 .009 2. EPLBSA .0136 .0070 .0206 .023 3. EPLBSA .0276 .0095 .0371 .040 4. EPLBSA .0021 .0032 .0053 .009	Job 1 - Molly Wagner Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
5. EPLBSA(.INIT) .0016 .0030 .0046 .009 6. Packer .0016 .0117 .0133 .017 7. Loader/driver .0012 .0033 .0045 .008 8. Execution .0134 .0903 .1037 .119 9. Return tape .0111 .0188 .0299 .043 .0733 .1491 .2224	2. EPLBSA 3. EPLBSA 4. EPLBSA 5. EPLBSA(.INIT) 6. Packer 7. Loader/driver 8. Execution	.0136 .0276 .0021 .0016 .0016 .0012 .0134	.0070 .0095 .0032 .0030 .0117 .0033 .0903	.0206 .0371 .0053 .0046 .0133 .0045 .1037	.023 .040 .009 .009 .017 .008

Total elapsed time = .29 hrs. or 17.4 min. Percent of total time using disk only = \sim 51 percent.

Percent of total time using processor only = 25 percent

Job 2 - Sandra Wolf

Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
Initializer EPLBSA EPLBSA EPLBSA(.INIT) Packer Loader/driver	.0011 .0114 .0107 .0016 .0014	.0022 .0068 .0061 .0030 .0079	.0033 .0182 .0168 .0046 .0093	.010 .022 .019 .008 .013
Execution	.3087 .3358	.0673 .0958	.3760 .4316	.383
	Initializer EPLBSA EPLBSA EPLBSA(.INIT) Packer	Initializer .0011 EPLBSA .0114 EPLBSA .0107 EPLBSA(.INIT) .0016 Packer .0014 Loader/driver .0009 Execution .3087	Time Time Initializer .0011 .0022 EPLBSA .0114 .0068 EPLBSA .0107 .0061 EPLBSA(.INIT) .0016 .0030 Packer .0014 .0079 Loader/driver .0009 .0025 Execution .3087 .0673	Time Time and Disk Initializer .0011 .0022 .0033 EPLBSA .0114 .0068 .0182 EPLBSA .0107 .0061 .0168 EPLBSA(.INIT) .0016 .0030 .0046 Packer .0014 .0079 .0093 Loader/driver .0009 .0025 .0034 Execution .3087 .0673 .3760

Total elapsed time = .468 hrs. or 28.08 minutes

Percent of total time using disk only = ~ 20 percent

Percent of total time using processor only = \sim 71 percent

Job 3 - Don Widrig

	Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
1	Initializer	.0010	.0022	.0032	.010
2.	EPLBSA	.0201	.0086	.0287	.031
3.	EPLBSA	.0105	.0059	.0164	. 02 0
۷.	EPLBSA(.INIT)	.0016	. 0029	.0045	.009
5	Packer	.0012	.0052	.0064	.011
6.	Loader/driver	.0008	.0024	.0032	.007
7.	Execution	.0086	. 0556	.0642	. 154
8.	Return tape	.0015	.0030	.0045	.012
9.	64.5 dump	.0113	.0067	.0180	.023
		.0566	.0925	.1491	

Total elapsed time = .283 hrs. or 16.98 minutes

Percent of total time using disk only = \sim 32 percent

Percent of total time using processor only = 20 percent

Job 4 - Mary Thompson

	Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Initializer EPLBSA EPLBSA(.INIT) Packer Loader/driver Execution Return tape Errorfile	.0010 .0022 .0016 .0010 .0008 .0081 .0094	.0022 .0031 .0029 .0022 .0020 .0104 .0101	.0032 .0053 .0045 .0032 .0028 .0185 .0195	.009 .010 .008 .007 .006 .046 .035
9.	64.5 Dump	<u>.0119</u> .0374	<u>.0096</u> .0450	.0215 .0824	.026

Total elapsed time = .166 hrs. or 10 minutes

Percent of total time using disk only = ~ 27 percent

Percent of total time using processor only = ~ 23 percent

<u>Job 5</u> - Charles Garman

	Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
1.	Initializer	.0010	.0022	.0032	.001
2	EPLB SA	.0045	.0041	.0086	.012
3.	EPLBSA	.0035	. 0035	.0070	.011
4.	EPLBSA	.0032	.0035	.0067	.010
5.	EPLBSA	.0037	.0037	.0074	.011
6.	Packer	.0011	.0022	.0033	.009
7.	Return tape	.0011	.0024	.0035	.012
		.0181	.0216	.0397	•

Notal elapsed time = .082 hrs. or 4.92 minutes

Percent of total time using disk only = \sim 26 percent

Percent of total time using processor = \sim 22 percent

<u>Job 6</u> - Carole Cushing

	Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
1	Initializer EPLBSA	.0010 .0036	.0023	.0033 .0075	.009 .012
3.	EPLBSA	.0402	.0133	.0535	.053
4. 5.	EPLBSA EPLBSA(.INIT)	.0059 .0016	.0046	.0105 .0046	.014 .008
6. 7.	Packer Loader/driver	.0011 .0008	.0047 .0021	.0058 .0029	.009
8.	Execution	.0072 .0014	.0179	.0251	.043 .014
9. A.	Return tape Errorfile	.0014	. 0026	.0040	.010
В.	64.5 dump	<u>.0133</u> .0775	.0115 .0686	.0248 .1461	.030

Total elapsed time = .26 hrs. or 15.6 minutes Percent of total elapsed time using disk only = \sim 26 percent

Percent of total time using processor only = \sim 29 percent

Job 7 - Carole Cushing

					
	Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
)L.	Initializer	.0010	.0023	.0033	.009
2.	EPLBSA(.INIT)	.0017	.0031	.0048	.009
3.	Packer	.0015	.0106	.0121	.016
4.	Loader/driver	.0015	.0041	.0056	.009
5.	Execution	.0113	.0467	.0580	.066
6.	Return tape	.0014	.0030	.0044	.013
7.	Errorfile	.0015	.0027	.0042	.009
8.	64.5 dump	.0210	.0134	.0344	.041
		.0409	.0859	.1368	·

Total elapsed time = .181 hrs. or 10.86 minutes

Percent of total time using disk only = \sim 47 percent

Percent of total time using processor only = \sim 22 percent

Job 8 - Noel Morris

	Activity	Processor Time	Disk Time	Processor and Disk	Total Elapsed Time per Activity
1.	Initializer	.0010	.0023	.0033	.012
2.	EPLBSA(.INIT)	.0016	.0031	.0047	.008
3.	Packer	.0012	.0052	.0064	.010
4.	Loader/driver	.0022	.0057	.0079	.010
5.	Execution (inter-	.0144	.0227	.0371	.103
	active)			
6.	Return tape(in-	.0144	. 02 08	.0352	.056
	cludes 6.36 dump)			
7.	Errorfile	.0024	.0096	.0120	. 022
8.	64.5 dump	.0185	.0135	.0320	.039
		.0557	.0829	.1386	

Total elapsed time = .282 hours or 16.92 minutes

Percent of total elapsed time using disk only = \sim 30 percent

Percent of total elapsed time using processor only = \sim 20 percent