

Experimental Validation of Analytical BRDF Models

Addy Ngan, Frédo Durand, Wojciech Matusik Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Goal



 Evaluate and analyze the performance of analytical reflectance models

BRDF



 Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function



BRDF



- Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function
 - $\mathsf{R}(\theta_i,\phi_i;\theta_o,\phi_o)$



BRDF



- Bidirectional Reflectance
 Distribution Function
 - $-\mathsf{R}(\theta_{i},\phi_{i};\theta_{o},\phi_{o})$
- Our study: isotropic material
 - Invariant when material is rotated
 - BRDF is 3D



SIGGRAPH2004

BRDF Models

- Phenomenological
 - Phong [75]
 - Blinn-Phong [77]
 - Ward [92]
 - Lafortune et al. [97]
 - Ashikhmin et al. [00]
- Physical
 - Cook-Torrance [81]
 - He et al. [91]







BRDF Models

- Phenomenological
 - Phong [75]
 - Blinn-Phong [77]
 - Ward [92]
 - Lafortune et al. [97]
 - Ashikhmin et al. [00]
- Physical
 - Cook-Torrance [81]
 - He et al. [91]

Roughly increasing computation time



Differences in BRDF Modelssiggraph2004

- Specular lobe definition •
 - Mirror direction
 - Half vector
- Specular lobe falloff
 - $-\cos^{n}$ (cosine lobe)
 - Gaussian ____





Differences in BRDF Modelssiggraph2004

- Specular lobe definition ۲
 - Mirror direction
 - Half vector
- Specular lobe falloff
 - $-\cos^{n}$ (cosine lobe)
 - Gaussian
- Fresnel effect
 - surface becomes more reflective near grazing angle





Available Measurements



- Columbia-Utrecht Reflectance and Texture Database –
 - ~60 materials, 205 measurements per BRDF
- Cornell's measurements
 - ~10 materials, 1439 measurements per BRDF
- Matusik's image-based measurements
 - ~100 materials, ~4 million measurements per BRDF
 - Include metals, plastic, paints, fabric, etc.



Matusik's measurements



- Basis of our validation and analysis
- Part of the dataset is available on the web !



Validation Method



- BRDF Models
 - Phong, Blinn-Phong, Ward, Lafortune, Ashikhmin, Cook-Torrance
- Data fitting
 - Each material is fitted to each of the models
 - Minimize
 - L² error of the BRDF multiplied by the cosine of incident angle





Environment map





Acquired data







Acquired data



Ashikhmin



Acquired data



Material – Dark blue paint

Lafortune



Acquired data







Acquired data









Cook-Torrance

Lafortune

Ward

Chrome



Acquired data









Cook-Torrance

Lafortune

Ward

Material – Chrome

Observations



 Some materials impossible to represent with a single lobe



Acquired data



Material – Red Christmas Ball

Adding a second lobe



 Some materials impossible to represent with a single lobe



Acquired data



Cook-Torrance 2 lobes

Material – Red Christmas Ball

















Cook-Torrance fit, incidence plane, 4 different incident angles

















- Hemispherical plot for a fixed incoming angle
 - False color correspond to value of the BRDF























Observations



- Cook-Torrance, Ashikhmin
 - Consistently outperform the other models
- Lafortune
 - High discrepancy near grazing angle
 - Shape of lobe very different
- Ward, Blinn-Phong
 - unable to reproduce Fresnel effect



Analysis



- Lafortune model
 - Very popular
 - Simple, inexpensive to evaluate
 - Handle phenomena like off-specular reflection, retroreflection
 - importance sampling friendly

Analysis



- Lafortune model
 - High discrepancy near grazing angle



Acquired data – gold paint





Analysis



- Lafortune model
 - High discrepancy near grazing angle



Lobe definition



Peak at mirror direction



Lobe definition



Peak at mirror direction



- Mirror-vector, View-vector
 - Phong, Lafortune*



Lobe definition



Peak at mirror direction

- Mirror-vector, View-vector
 - Phong, Lafortune*



All other models











 In the incidence plane, the angle is different by a factor of 2.



 Outside the incidence plane, the relation is not as simple

Mirror lobe (V,R)



 Red circle: set of directions V_i with constant angle from R (mirror vector)



Half vector lobe (H,N)



 Blue circle: set of half vectors H_i with constant angle from N (normal)



Half vector lobe (H,N)



- Remapping half-vector H_1 to the corresponding outgoing direction V_{H_1}



Lobe Comparison



 Green contour: set of half-vectors remapped to outgoing directions



Lobe Comparison



Shape of green contour is dependent on L



Lobe Comparison



Full lobe











Half vector lobe



Consistent with what we observe in the dataset.



Example: Plot of "PVC" BRDF at 55° incidence

Microfacet theory



- [Torrance & Sparrow 1967]
 - Surface modeled by tiny perfect mirrors
 - Value of BRDF at (L,V)
 - # of mirrors oriented halfway between L and V
 - BRDF naturally represented by function of H



Microfacet theory



- [Torrance & Sparrow 1967]
 - Surface modeled by tiny perfect mirrors
 - Value of BRDF at (L,V)
 - # of mirrors oriented halfway between L and V
 - BRDF naturally represented by function of H
- Shape of the mirror lobe cannot be explained with any microfacet distribution

Phong vs Blinn-Phong



 Blinn uses half vector lobe instead of mirror lobe in the original model [1977]

Phong vs Blinn-Phong



- Blinn uses half vector lobe instead of mirror lobe in the original model [1977]
- Lower numerical error in nearly all cases!



Conclusion



- Half vector lobe better than mirror lobe
- Fresnel effect is important
- Cook-Torrance and Ashikhmin models match real data quite well

Future Work

- Anisotropic materials
- Gain insight by grouping materials into classes

Questions?

