## Experimental Analysis of BRDF Models

Addy Ngan<sup>1</sup> Frédo Durand<sup>1</sup> Wojciech Matusik<sup>2</sup>

MIT CSAIL<sup>1</sup> MERL<sup>2</sup>



Eurographics Symposium on Rendering 2005

#### Goal

## Evaluate the performance of analytical reflectance models Based on measured data

#### Background

# Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function



#### BRDF

 Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function

 $\bullet \rho(\theta_i,\phi_i;\theta_o,\phi_o)$ 



#### BRDF

 Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function
 $\rho(\theta_i, \phi_i; \theta_o, \phi_o)$ 

- Isotropic material
  - Invariant when material is rotated
  - BRDF is 3D



#### **Previous Measurements**

- Columbia-Utrecht Reflectance and Texture Database
  - ~60 materials, 205 measurements per BRDF
- Cornell's measurements
  - ~10 materials, 1439 measurements per BRDF
- Bonn BTF Database
  - 6 materials, 6561 view/light combinations
- Matusik's image-based measurements
  - ~100 materials, ~10<sup>6</sup> measurements per BRDF
  - Include metals, plastic, paints, fabrics.

## BRDF Models

- Phenomenological
  - Phong [75]Blinn-Phong [77]
  - Ward [92]
  - Lafortune et al. [97]
  - Ashikhmin et al. [00]
- Physical
  - Cook-Torrance [81]
  - He et al. [91]



Lafortune [97]



Cook-Torrance [81]

## Outline

#### Background

- □ BRDF Measurements
- BRDF Fitting
- Isotropic materials results
- Anisotropic materials results
- Conclusion

#### **BRDF** Measurements

Isotropic : Data from Matusik [03]

- 100 materials chosen
- Reprocessed to remove unreliable data
  - Flare
  - Near grazing angle



□ Anisotropic : New acquisition

#### Anisotropic Measurements

#### □ Similar to Lu et al. [00]



#### Anisotropic Measurements

- 4 materials measured (brushed aluminum, satins, velvet)
  - Each: 18 hours acquisition time, 30GB raw data
  - Tabulated into bins in 2° intervals (~10<sup>8</sup> bins)
  - 10-20% bins populated



## Outline

- Background
- BRDF Measurements
- □ BRDF Fitting
- □ Isotropic materials results
- Anisotropic materials results
- Conclusion

Target models: Blinn-Phong, Cook-Torrance, He et al., Lafortune et al., Ward, Ashikhmin-Shirley

- Metric:
  - RMS of  $(\rho_{\text{measured}} M(\mathbf{p}))$  (cos  $\theta_i$ )
  - Linear w.r.t. diffuse/specular intensity

## BRDF Fitting

#### Other potential metrics

- Logarithmic remapping
  - Arbitrary scale
  - Highlights overly blurry
- Perceptual metrics
  - Context dependent
  - □ Costly to compute/fit
  - Intensity parameters become nonlinear optimization less stable

## Outline

- Background
- BRDF Measurements
- □ BRDF Fitting
- □ Isotropic materials results
- Anisotropic materials results
- Conclusion

## Fitting Errors



Acquired data



Acquired data



Cook-Torrance

Acquired data



Acquired data



Ward

Acquired data



Lafortune

#### Dark blue paint – error plots



#### Cook-Torrance fit, incidence plane, 4 different incident angles











### Lafortune Lobe

#### Distorted highlights near grazing angle



Acquired data – gold paint

Lafortune fit

### Lafortune Lobe

#### Distorted highlights near grazing angle



Acquired data – nickel

Lafortune fit

## Lobe Comparison

- Half vector lobe
  - Gradually narrower when approaching grazing

#### Mirror lobe

Always circular



## Half vector lobe

#### Consistent with what we observe in the dataset.

#### More details in the paper



Example: Plot of "PVC" BRDF at 55° incidence

## Observations - numerical

#### Rough order of quality

- He, Cook-Torrance, Ashikhmin
- Lafortune
- Ward
- Blinn-Phong



Poor fit

### **Observations - visual**

#### Mirror-like

- metals, some plastics
- All models match well visually
- Glossy
  - paints, some metals, some wood
  - Fresnel effect
  - Distorted shape for Lafortune highlight
- Near diffuse
  - fabrics, paints
  - Fresnel effect

#### Observations

## Some materials impossible to represent with a single lobe

Acquired data



Cook-Torrance



Material – Red Christmas Ball

#### Adding a second lobe

## Some materials impossible to represent with a single lobe

Acquired data



Cook-Torrance 2 lobes



Material – Red Christmas Ball

## Outline

Background

- □ BRDF Measurements
- □ BRDF Fitting
- □ Isotropic materials results
- □ Anisotropic materials results
- Conclusion

#### Anisotropic Materials



## **Brushed Aluminum**

#### Reasonable qualitative fit



Acquired data



### Yellow Satin

#### Reasonable qualitative fit



Acquired data

#### Purple Satin

#### Split highlights



## Outline

- Background
- BRDF Measurements
- □ BRDF Fitting
- □ Isotropic materials results
- Anisotropic materials results
  - Estimation of microfacet distribution
- Conclusion

## Microfacet Theory

#### □ [Torrance & Sparrow 1967]

- Surface modeled by tiny mirrors
- Value of BRDF at  $(\boldsymbol{\omega_i}, \boldsymbol{\omega_o})$ 
  - $\square$  # of mirrors oriented halfway between  $\omega_i$  and  $\omega_o$
  - Modulated by Fresnel, shadowing/masking



## Estimating the MF distribution

#### Ashikhmin's microfacet-based BRDF generator [00]



~ Shadowing/Masking (Depend on the full distribution)

#### Estimating the MF distribution

□ Rearranging terms:

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i},\boldsymbol{\omega}_{o}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{h})F(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{h})\langle\boldsymbol{h}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\rangle}{4g(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i})g(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{o})}$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{h}) \propto \frac{\rho(\boldsymbol{\omega_i}, \boldsymbol{\omega_o}) F(\boldsymbol{\omega_i} \cdot \boldsymbol{h})}{g(\boldsymbol{\omega_i}) g(\boldsymbol{\omega_o})}$$

### Estimating the MF distribution

Measurements

$$p(\boldsymbol{h}) \propto \frac{\rho(\boldsymbol{\omega_i}, \boldsymbol{\omega_o}) F(\boldsymbol{\omega_i} \cdot \boldsymbol{h})}{g(\boldsymbol{\omega_i}) g(\boldsymbol{\omega_o})}$$

 $\square g()$  depends on the distribution  $\square$  Iterate to solve for p(h)

- Compute g() using current estimate p(h)
- Estimate p(h) given g()
- Converges quickly in practice

#### Purple Satin

#### Split specular reflection





microfacet distribution

#### Purple Satin



Acquired data

microfacet distribution fit

### **Brushed Aluminum**



Acquired data

microfacet distribution fit

#### **Brushed Aluminum**

measured data



microfacet distribution fit

Ward fit

#### MF-based BRDF generator

#### □ Expressive

#### Easy to estimate

- No optimization necessary
- □ Inexpensive to compute

## Outline

Background
BRDF Measurements
BRDF Fitting
Isotropic materials re

- Isotropic materials results
- Anisotropic materials results
- □ Conclusion

## Conclusion

#### Isotropic materials

- He, Cook-Torrance, Ashikhmin perform well
  - □ Explicit Fresnel
  - \*multiple lobes help
- Half-vector based lobe performs better
- Most materials can be well-represented

#### Anisotropic materials

- Cases where analytical models cannot match qualitatively
- Estimation of the microfacet distribution is straightforward
- Ashikhmin's MF-based BRDF generator does well

#### Future Work

#### Metric

Generalized lobe based on half vector

Efficient acquisition based on the microfacet distribution

## Acknowledgement

Eric Chan, Jan Kautz, Jaakko Lehtinen, Daniel Vlasic

- □ NSF CAREER award 0447561
- NSF CISE Research Infrastructure Award (EIA9802220)
- □ Singapore-MIT Alliance

#### Questions?