

Public key cryptography

Diffie-Hellman key exchange

- You have Alice and Bob, as usual. Eve's there too, listening as always.
- Alice and Bob agree on a large number p and a base g between 2 and p . Eve can see both p and g .
- Alice picks a random number a and computes $x = g^a \bmod p$.
 - o Alice sends x to Bob. (Note that a remains secret to Alice)
- Bob picks b random and computes $y = g^b \bmod p$.
 - o Bob sends y to Alice. (Note that b remains secret to Bob)
- Alice computes $k = y^a \bmod p = g^{ab} \bmod p$
- Bob computes $k' = x^b \bmod p = g^{ab} \bmod p$
- Alice and Bob now both have a secret key $k = k'$, which is around 2048 bits large
- Given $g^a \bmod p$ and $g^b \bmod p$, Eve will not be able to easily compute $g^{ab} \bmod p$.

Alice and Bob can start sending message back and forth by encrypting messages using their secret key k .

The discrete logarithm problem

Motivation: Eve has $x = g^a \bmod p$ and $y = g^b \bmod p$ but she can't compute $k = g^{ab} \bmod p$. Why?

Eve has to solve the **discrete log problem** in order to figure out the value of a or b . Eve can't just take $\log x$ and/or $\log y$ because x and y were obtained by raising g to a certain power modulo p . If modular arithmetic were not used, then a simple log would have obviously worked.

Discrete log problem: Given g, p and $g^a \bmod p$ compute a .

To the best of our knowledge, this is a hard problem. The best algorithms run roughly better than \sqrt{p} , since p is like 2^{2000} large, then you can see how the square root of that is still a huge number.

Eve can't do a discrete logarithm, but maybe she can do something else. For instance, Diffie-Hellman is vulnerable to the Omen problem: it is not clear that to compute $g^{ab} \bmod p$ you actually need to know a or b .

Man in the middle attack (MITM)

What if Eve tampers with messages?

- She can send $g^e \bmod p$ to Bob (by intercepting Alice's $g^a \bmod p$)
- She can send $g^e \bmod p$ to Alice (by intercepting Bob's $g^b \bmod p$)
- She can compute both $k_A = g^{ea} \bmod p$ and $k_B = g^{eb} \bmod p$, which will be the keys Alice and Bobs will end up computing with the bad information they got from Eve.
 - o Note that k_A and k_B will be different, therefore Eve has to extra work.
- Anytime Alice or Bob send a message, Eve has to intercept it, decrypt it using the right key, re-encrypt it under the other key, and finally let the altered ciphertext pass through to its destination.

Number theory

Terms to know: prime number, composite number, 1 is neither prime nor composite, greatest common divisor (always greater than 1), a and b are relatively prime or coprime $\Leftrightarrow \gcd(a, b) = 1$.

Notation: $a|b$ means a divides b , the same thing as saying that $b \bmod a = 0$

The Extended Euclidian Algorithm

Algorithm: Given a and b , compute $m = \gcd(a, b)$ and x, y such that $ax + by = m$

Essentially, the algorithm starts with two simple equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \times a + 0 \times b &= a \\ 0 \times a + 1 \times b &= b \end{aligned}$$

By manipulating these equalities, the algorithm will obtain the coefficients x and y , such that:

$$x \times a + y \times b = \gcd(a, b)$$

How do we get to this final, quite useful, equality? By noting that if we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \times a + y_1 \times b &= c_1 \\ x_2 \times a + y_2 \times b &= c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is also true that:

$$(x_1 \times a + y_1 \times b) - q(x_2 \times a + y_2 \times b) = c_1 - q \times c_2$$

Therefore, starting with a and b , we compute q and r such that $a = q \times b + r$ (so we divide a by b , getting $q = \lfloor a/b \rfloor$ and $r = a \bmod b$).

- Then, we get the next equation as $(x_1 \times a + y_1 \times b) - q(x_2 \times a + y_2 \times b) = r$
- We always repeat the process with the last two equations until we finally get a remainder $r = 0$
 - o The remainder before it will be the gcd, and on the same row we'll have the x_i and y_i values such that $x \times a + y \times b = \gcd(a, b)$

Example: $a = 96$ and $b = 38$

x	y	$c = ax + by$	c_{old}/c_{new} (written as $c_{new} \times \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{r} = c_{old}$)
1	0	$1 \times 96 + 0 \times 38 = 96$	
0	1	$0 \times 96 + 1 \times 38 = 38$	$\mathbf{2} \times 38 + \mathbf{20} = 96$
The goal now is to get r to equal 0 and get the corresponding x and y values. We do this by subtracting/adding multiples of the rows in this table. Since $\mathbf{2} \times 38 + \mathbf{20} = 96$, we subtract $2r_2$ from r_1 . We repeat.			
1	-2	$1 \times 96 - 2 \times 38 = \mathbf{20}$	$\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{20} + \mathbf{18} = 38$
-1	3	$-1 \times 96 + 3 \times 38 = \mathbf{18}$	$\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{18} + \mathbf{2} = 20$
2	-5	$2 \times 96 - 5 \times 38 = \mathbf{2}$	$\mathbf{9} \times \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{0} = 18$
x	y	$r = 0$	Done.

Now, the algorithm has finished with the following output:

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(96, 38) = 2$$

Also, the algorithm gave you $x = 2$ and $b = -5$ such that $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$, specifically now you have:

$$2 \times 96 - 5 \times 38 = 2$$

Description (pseudo-code):

An easy to follow implementation:

```

eea(a, b) : gcd(a, b), x, y, such that a*x + b*y = gcd(a, b)

let x[], y[], and d[] be the columns of our Extended Euclidian Algorithm table

eea(a, b, 0) {
  if (a < b) swap(a, b);

  // The invariant is that x[i]*a + y[i]*b = d[i]
  x[0] = 1; y[0] = 0; d[0] = a;
  x[1] = 0; y[1] = 1; d[1] = b;
}

eea(a, b, i) {
  d[i] = d[i-2] mod d[i-1];

  q = d[i-2] / d[i-1];
  x[i] = x[i-2] - q * x[i-1];
  y[i] = y[i-2] - q * y[i-1];
}

eea(a, b) {
  for(int i = 0; d[i] != 0; i++)
  {
    eea(a, b, i);
  }

  return d[i-1], x[i-1], y[i-1];
}

```

Proof of correctness:

Suppose $c_0 = a, c_1 = b$ and $a > b$ so let c_1, c_2, \dots be as computed in the algorithm.

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 &= c_0 \bmod c_1 = c_0 - q_1 c_1 \\
 c_3 &= c_1 \bmod c_2 = c_1 - q_2 c_2 \\
 c_{n-1} &= c_{n-3} \bmod c_{n-2} = c_{n-3} - q_{n-2} c_{n-2} \\
 0 &= c_n = c_{n-2} \bmod c_{n-1} = c_{n-2} - q_{n-1} c_{n-1} \\
 &\Rightarrow c_{n-1} | c_{n-2} \Rightarrow c_{n-1} | c_{n-3} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow c_{n-1} | a \text{ and } c_{n-1} | b
 \end{aligned}$$

Observation, $\gcd(a, b)$ divides all $c_i \Rightarrow \gcd(a, b) \leq c_{n-1}$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall i, \gcd(a, b) &| c_i \\
 c_{n-1} &| a \text{ and } c_{n-1} | b
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\gcd(a, b) \leq c_{n-1}$

$$\gcd(a, b) = c_{n-1}$$

Modular arithmetic

There are two notions of *mod*:

- *mod* as in the remainder (division)
 - o For instance, $n \bmod q$ is the remainder r , such that $n = pq + r$, for some p .
 - Example: $10 \bmod 3 = 1, 7 \bmod 4 = 3, 100 \bmod 12 = 4$
- *mod* as an equivalence relation

Modulus equivalence relation

Congruency mod n

Definition: We say that two numbers a and b are **congruent mod n** , and we write $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if, and only if, n divides $a - b$.

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow n \mid a - b$$

Intuition: Two numbers a and b are congruent mod n if they both have the same remainder after dividing them by n .

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow a \bmod n = b \bmod n$$

Example: $71 \equiv 63 \equiv 7 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$

Theorem: If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$ and $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

Proof (part I):

We have to prove that: $n \mid (a + c) - (b + d) \Leftrightarrow a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ and } c \equiv d \pmod{n} \Rightarrow n \mid a - b \text{ and } n \mid c - d$$

Adding the last two division properties, we get $n \mid a - b + c - d \Leftrightarrow n \mid (a + c) - (b + d)$

Proof (part II):

Let's prove that $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$. Easy. $n \mid a - b \Rightarrow n \mid c(a - b) \Leftrightarrow n \mid ac - bc$

Let's prove that $bc \equiv bd \pmod{n}$. Easy. $n \mid c - d \Rightarrow n \mid b(c - d) \Leftrightarrow n \mid bc - bd$

Therefore, $ac \equiv bc \equiv bd \pmod{n}$

Theorems:

$$a^b \equiv (a \bmod n)^b \pmod{n}$$

$$a^{b+c} \equiv a^c a^b \pmod{n}$$

$$(a^b)^c \equiv (a^c)^b \pmod{n}$$

Theorem: $(a \bmod n + b \bmod n) \equiv a + b \pmod{n}$.

Note: First two mods are "remainder mods", third mod are "equivalence mods".

First, let's prove that:

$$a \equiv (a \bmod n) \pmod{n}$$

We have to show that:

$$n \mid (a - a \bmod n)$$

Let $r = a \bmod n$, where $a = qn + r \Rightarrow r = a - qn$

$n \mid (a - a \bmod n) \Leftrightarrow n \mid (a - (a - qn)) \Leftrightarrow n \mid qn$, which is true

Alin Tomescu, CSE408
Tuesday, March 8th, Lecture #11
So now, let's prove that:

$$\begin{aligned}(a \bmod n + b \bmod n) &\equiv a + b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow \\ a - q_1n + b - q_2n &\equiv a + b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow \\ n | a - q_1n + b - q_2n - (a + b) &\Leftrightarrow \\ n | (n(q_1 - q_2)), &\text{ which is true.}\end{aligned}$$

Example: $116 \times 47 \bmod 11 = -5 \times 3 \bmod 11 = -15 \bmod 11 = 7 \bmod 11$

Tip: To go from positive to negative numbers in an equivalence class, the negative equivalent q of a positive number p modulo n is $q = -(n - (p \bmod n))$.

Example: Take $p = 116, n = 11$, then $116 \bmod 11 = 6$. So $q = -(11 - 6) = -5$.

Modular exponentiation

How do we go about computing these big powers in the Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol?

$$116^{97} \bmod 11 = 6^{47} \bmod 11 = \text{reduce the 47 somehow?}$$

Theorem: If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then there exists y such that $ay \equiv 1 \pmod{b} \Leftrightarrow y = a^{-1} \pmod{b}$.

Proof: By the extended Euclidian algorithm, since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, there exists x, y such that $ay + bx = 1 \Rightarrow b | ay - 1 \Rightarrow ay \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$.