Mathematical Modeling of Social Connections

Daryl DeFord

MIT/Tufts Metric Geometry and Gerrymandering Group

> STS Lunch Seminar Tufts University September 14, 2018



Outline

1 Introduction

Modeling Philosophy

2 Social Networks

What is a social network? Ego Networks People are complicated

3 Random Networks

Configuration Model Erdos–Renyi Barabasi–Albert Watts–Strogatz

4 Conclusion



Code for this talk:

Example (Sage code:)

An interactive tool for experimenting with the objects from this talk can be found here:

- https:/people.csail.mit.edu/ddeford/STS_Tufts
- https:/people.csail.mit.edu/ddeford/sage_cell_dolphins

You can right-click to open the page source and copy the Sage code to https://people.csail.mit.edu.ddeford/sage_cell to make modifications and run variations.























What is a social network?

Definition (Social Network)

Mathematically, a social network is represented by a collection of "nodes" representing individual actors and a set of "edges" representing a binary relationship between the actors.



What is a social network?

Definition (Social Network)

Mathematically, a social network is represented by a collection of "nodes" representing individual actors and a set of "edges" representing a binary relationship between the actors.

Example

What kinds of systems can social networks describe?

• What could be represented by nodes?



What is a social network?

Definition (Social Network)

Mathematically, a social network is represented by a collection of "nodes" representing individual actors and a set of "edges" representing a binary relationship between the actors.

Example

- What could be represented by nodes?
 - Academic Departments



What is a social network?

Definition (Social Network)

Mathematically, a social network is represented by a collection of "nodes" representing individual actors and a set of "edges" representing a binary relationship between the actors.

Example

- What could be represented by nodes?
 - Academic Departments
- What type of edges could connect them?



What is a social network?

Definition (Social Network)

Mathematically, a social network is represented by a collection of "nodes" representing individual actors and a set of "edges" representing a binary relationship between the actors.

Example

- What could be represented by nodes?
 - Academic Departments
- What type of edges could connect them?
 - Located in same building
 - Students who major in both
 - Crosslisted courses



What is a social network?

Definition (Social Network)

Mathematically, a social network is represented by a collection of "nodes" representing individual actors and a set of "edges" representing a binary relationship between the actors.

Example

- What could be represented by nodes?
 - Tufts Students
- What type of edges could connect them?
 - In a class together
 - Facebook friends
 - Speak at least twice a week



Social Networks









(a) Graph



(b) Network









(b) Network

























MY HOBBY:

SITTING DOWN WITH GRAD STUDENTS AND TIMING HOW LONG IT TAKES THEM TO FIGURE OUT THAT I'M NOT ACTUALLY AN EXPERT IN THEIR FIELD.



Figure: Relevant comic by Randall Munroe¹ (emphasis mine).

¹ https://xkcd.com/451/





















Common Properties of Social Networks

Example (What features distinguish social networks?)

• ?



Common Properties of Social Networks

Example (What features distinguish social networks?)

- ?
- Transitivity
- Community structure
- Small average path length
- Long-tailed degree distribution
- Hubs
- . . .





Definition (Ego Network)

An ego network is a social network centered at a particular individual containing their connections and the connections between their "friends."





Definition (Ego Network)

An ego network is a social network centered at a particular individual containing their connections and the connections between their "friends."

Example (Draw your ego network)





Definition (Ego Network)

An ego network is a social network centered at a particular individual containing their connections and the connections between their "friends."



Null Models for Social Networks Social Networks

Ego Networks

How to construct networks?

Example (Which edges to add?)

• ?



Null Models for Social Networks Social Networks Ego Networks

How to construct networks?

Example (Which edges to add?)

- ?
- Proximity



s ¹ D. Lusseau, K. Schneider, O. Boisseau, Patti Haase, E. Slooten, and S. Dawson, The bottlenose dolphin community of Doubtful Sound features a large proportion of long-lasting associations, Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 54 (2003), no. 4, 396–405.



Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.










Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.









Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.















Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.









Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.





Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.













Krackhardt D. (1987). Cognitive social structures. Social Networks, 9, 104-134.



Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Social Networks

People are complicated

"Friendship" over Time





Karnataka Village Data







Null Models for Social Networks Social Networks

People are complicated

Village Layers

Layer	Village 4			Village 61		
Description	Density	Comp.	Giant %	Density	Comp.	Giant %
Borrow Money	.0082	26	.8354	.0108	15	.9188
Give Advice	.0077	49	.5892	.0098	34	.7377
Help Make Decisions	.0076	61	.1277	.0100	24	.8562
Borrow Kerosene or Rice	.0085	21	.8338	.0113	14	.9171
Lend Kerosene or Rice	.0086	22	.8308	.0113	14	.9255
Lend Money	.0081	14	.7908	.0107	17	.9036
Medical Advice	.0075	84	.2938	.0106	14	.9306
Friends	.0089	15	.9277	.0105	22	.8714
Relatives	.0085	29	.7231	.0105	26	.5448
Attend Temple With	.0073	117	.0462	.0089	108	.0372
Visit Their Home	.0087	15	.9185	.0116	11	.9475
Visit Your Home	.0088	16	.9108	.0117	11	.9492
Aggregate	.0121	3	.9862	.0155	8	.9679

Table: Layer information for two of the Karnataka Villages ¹.

1 D. DeFord and S. Pauls, A new framework for dynamical models on multiplex networks, Journal of Complex Networks, 6(3), 353-381,



Medical Advice



(a) Village 5



(b) Village 61



Medical Advice



(a) Village 5



(b) Village 61



Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks

Random Models




Null Models

Definition (Null Model)

A random network, parameterized to match some features of a given network, used to compare "expected" network measures.



Null Models

Definition (Null Model)

A random network, parameterized to match some features of a given network, used to compare "expected" network measures.

Example (How to grow a network?)

- Ego networks
 - Start with a single node
 - Attach that node to k other nodes
 - Add edges between the each pair of friends with probability p
- ?



Configuration Model (Degree Sequence)

Parameters

Initial graph

Process

- Start with the initial graph
- Cut each edge in half so that each end remains attached to one of the adjacent nodes
- Randomly pair up the half-edges



Configuration Model (Degree Sequence)





Dolphins Degree Distribution





Configuration Transitivity Comparison





Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks Erdos-Renyi

Erdos-Renyi (Independence)

Parameters

- Number of nodes n
- Connection Probability: p

Process

- Start with n nodes
- Connect each pair of nodes independently with probability p

P. Erdos and A. Renyi: On Random Graphs. I, Publicationes Mathematicae, 6, 290--297, (1959).



Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks

Erdos-Renyi

Erdos–Renyi (Independence)





Null Models for Social Networks

Random Networks

Erdos-Renyi

ER Transitivity Comparison





Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks Barabasi–Albert

Barabasi–Albert (Centrality)

Parameters

- Initial graph
- Number of nodes: n
- Number of neighbors for new nodes: m

Process

- Start with the initial graph
- Add nodes one at a time until there are n total
- Each added node gets connected to m nodes already in the graph
- These connections are chosen so that the probability that the new node is connected to an existing node is proportional to the degree of the existing node

A. Barabasi and R. Albert: Emergence of scaling in random networks, Science, 286 (5439), 509--512, (1999).



Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks Barabasi–Albert

Barabasi–Albert (Centrality)





Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks Barabasi–Albert

BA Transitivity Comparison





Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks Watts–Strogatz

Watts-Strogatz (Local Clustering)

Parameters

- Number of nodes n
- Number of initial neighbors: k
- Rewiring Probability: p

Process

- Start with n nodes connected in a ring so that each node is connected to $\frac{k}{2}$ nodes on each side
- For each edge in the initial graph, rewire it with probability p to a uniformly chosen other node in the graph

D. Watts and S. Strogatz, Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks, Nature, 393 (6684), 440--442, (1998).



Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks Watts–Strogatz

Watts-Strogatz (Local Clustering)





Null Models for Social Networks Random Networks Watts–Strogatz

WS Transitivity Comparison







Example (Things to think about)

- https://people.csail.mit.edu/ddeford/STS_Tufts
- Can you generate your ego network with one of these null models?
- Which model is most likely to generate your ego network?
- Which features of your network aren't described well by any of the models?
- Can you create your own model that generates networks similar to your ego network?



The end!

Thanks!



Dot Product Graphs

Definition (Dot Product Graph)

G is a dot product graph of dimension d if there exists a map $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $(i, j) \in E(G)$ if and only if $\langle f(i), f(j) \rangle > 1$.

³Sphere and Dot Product Representations of Graphs: Discrete Computational Geometry, 47, (2012), 548–568.

⁴B. Li and G. Chang: Dot Product Dimension of Graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 166, (2014), 159–163



¹C. Fiduccia, E. Scheinerman, A. Trenk, and J. Zito: Dot Product Representations of Graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 181, 1998, 113–138.

²R. Kang, L. Lovasz, T. Muller, and E. Scheinerman: Dot Product Representations of Planar Graphs, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 18, (2011), 1–14.

Dot Product Graphs

Definition (Dot Product Graph)

G is a dot product graph of dimension d if there exists a map $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $(i, j) \in E(G)$ if and only if $\langle f(i), f(j) \rangle > 1$.

- Initial work: Fiduccia et al. (1998)¹
- Planar graphs: Kang et al. (2011)²
- NP-Hard: Kang and Muller (2012)³
- $\frac{n}{2}$ critical graphs: Li and Chang (2014)⁴

³Sphere and Dot Product Representations of Graphs: Discrete Computational Geometry, 47, (2012), 548–568.

⁴B. Li and G. Chang: Dot Product Dimension of Graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 166, (2014), 159–163



¹C. Fiduccia, E. Scheinerman, A. Trenk, and J. Zito: Dot Product Representations of Graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 181, 1998, 113–138.

²R. Kang, L. Lovasz, T. Muller, and E. Scheinerman: Dot Product Representations of Planar Graphs, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 18, (2011), 1–14.

• Since each node is associated to a vector, it is natural to try and interpret the properties of the node from the vector



- Since each node is associated to a vector, it is natural to try and interpret the properties of the node from the vector
- $\langle x, y \rangle = ||x|| \cdot ||y|| \cos(x, y)$



- Since each node is associated to a vector, it is natural to try and interpret the properties of the node from the vector
- $\langle x, y \rangle = ||x|| \cdot ||y|| \cos(x, y)$
- Angle Community assignment



- Since each node is associated to a vector, it is natural to try and interpret the properties of the node from the vector
- $\langle x, y \rangle = ||x|| \cdot ||y|| \cos(x, y)$
- Angle Community assignment
- Magnitude Centrality



Angle – Community Assignment





Magnitude – Centrality





(d) Graph



Network Properties

- Initial work: Kraetzel et al. (2005)⁵
- General distributions: Young and Scheinerman (2007)⁶

⁵M. KRAETZEL, C. NICKEL, AND E. SCHEINERMAN: *Random Dot Product Networks: A model for social networks*, Preliminary Manuscript, (2005).

⁶S. YOUNG AND E. SCHEINERMAN: *Random Dot Product Models for Social Networks*, Algorithms and Models for the Web-Graph, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 4863, (2007), 138–149.

Network Properties

- Initial work: Kraetzel et al. (2005)⁵
- General distributions: Young and Scheinerman (2007)⁶
- Small world networks
 - Clustering
 - Small diameter
 - Degree distribution

⁵M. KRAETZEL, C. NICKEL, AND E. SCHEINERMAN: *Random Dot Product Networks: A model for social networks*, Preliminary Manuscript, (2005).

⁶S. YOUNG AND E. SCHEINERMAN: *Random Dot Product Models for Social Networks*, Algorithms and Models for the Web-Graph, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 4863, (2007), 138–149.

Statistical Applications

• Inverse problem: Scheinerman and Tucker (2010)⁷

- Iterative SVD for approximating $A_{i,j} = \langle X_i, X_j \rangle$
- Angular k-means

⁹M. TANG, A. ATHREYA, D. SUSSMAN, V. LYZINSKI, AND C. PRIEBE: A nonparametric two-sample hypothesis testing problem for random graphs, Arxiv: 1409.2344v2, (2014), 1–24.

¹⁰M. TANG AND C. PRIEBE: Limit theorems for eigenvectors of the normalized Laplacian for random graphs, ArXiv:1607.08601, (2016), 1–52.



⁷E. SCHEINERMAN AND K. TUCKER: *Modeling graphs using dot product representations*, Computational Statistics, 25, (2010), 1–16.

⁸D. SUSSMAN, M. TANG, D. FISHKIND, AND C. PRIEBE: *A consistent adjacency spectral embedding for stochastic blockmodel graphs*, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 107, (2012), 1119–1128.

Statistical Applications

• Inverse problem: Scheinerman and Tucker (2010)⁷

- Iterative SVD for approximating $A_{i,j} = \langle X_i, X_j \rangle$
- Angular k-means
- Spectral Embedding and Statistics: Priebe Lab (2012-present)
 - Adjacency embedding⁸
 - Hypothesis testing⁹
 - Limit theorems¹⁰

⁸D. SUSSMAN, M. TANG, D. FISHKIND, AND C. PRIEBE: *A consistent adjacency spectral embedding for stochastic blockmodel graphs*, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 107, (2012), 1119–1128.

⁹M. TANG, A. ATHREYA, D. SUSSMAN, V. LYZINSKI, AND C. PRIEBE: A nonparametric two-sample hypothesis testing problem for random graphs, Arxiv: 1409.2344v2, (2014), 1–24.

¹⁰M. TANG AND C. PRIEBE: Limit theorems for eigenvectors of the normalized Laplacian for random graphs, ArXiv:1607.08601, (2016), 1–52.



⁷E. SCHEINERMAN AND K. TUCKER: *Modeling graphs using dot product representations*, Computational Statistics, 25, (2010), 1–16.



(WRDPM 0): Select a probability distribution $P(p_1, p_2, ..., p_k)$ for the edge weights. Let $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the domain for p_i .



WRDPM

(WRDPM 0): Select a probability distribution $P(p_1, p_2, ..., p_k)$ for the edge weights. Let $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the domain for p_i . **(WRDPM 1):** Select the number of desired nodes n.



WRDPM

(WRDPM 0): Select a probability distribution $P(p_1, p_2, ..., p_k)$ for the edge weights. Let $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the domain for p_i . **(WRDPM 1):** Select the number of desired nodes n.

(WRDPM 2): For each parameter p_i , select a dimension d_i .

(WRDPM 3): For each parameter p_i , select a distribution W_i defined over \mathbb{R}^{d_i} so that $\mathbb{P}(\langle X_i, Y_i \rangle \in S_i) = 1$ where X_i and Y_i are drawn independently from W_i .



WRDPM

(WRDPM 0): Select a probability distribution $P(p_1, p_2, ..., p_k)$ for the edge weights. Let $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the domain for p_i .

(WRDPM 1): Select the number of desired nodes n.

(WRDPM 2): For each parameter p_i , select a dimension d_i .

(WRDPM 3): For each parameter p_i , select a distribution W_i defined over \mathbb{R}^{d_i} so that $\mathbb{P}(\langle X_i, Y_i \rangle \in S_i) = 1$ where X_i and Y_i are drawn independently from W_i .

(WRDPM 4): For each node, $1 \le j \le n$, select k vectors $1 \le i \le k$ (one from each parameter space), $X_i^j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$, according to distribution W_i .



WRDPM

(WRDPM 0): Select a probability distribution $P(p_1, p_2, ..., p_k)$ for the edge weights. Let $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the domain for p_i .

(WRDPM 1): Select the number of desired nodes n.

(WRDPM 2): For each parameter p_i , select a dimension d_i .

(WRDPM 3): For each parameter p_i , select a distribution W_i defined over \mathbb{R}^{d_i} so that $\mathbb{P}(\langle X_i, Y_i \rangle \in S_i) = 1$ where X_i and Y_i are drawn independently from W_i .

(WRDPM 4): For each node, $1 \le j \le n$, select k vectors $1 \le i \le k$ (one from each parameter space), $X_i^j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$, according to distribution W_i .

(WRDPM 5): Finally, construct a weighted adjacency matrix, A, for the network, with $A_{j,\ell}$ drawn according to $P(\langle X_1^\ell, X_1^j \rangle, \langle X_2^\ell, X_2^j \rangle, \ldots, \langle X_k^\ell, X_k^j \rangle)$ for $j > \ell$, $A_{j,\ell} = A_{\ell,j}$ for $j > \ell$ and $A_{j,j} = 0$ for all $1 \le j \le n$.

Example: Uniform Noise

0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .



Example: Uniform Noise

- 0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .
- 1) Select n = 150.


Example: Uniform Noise

- 0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .
- 1) Select n = 150.
- 2) Choose $d_{\lambda} = 3$



Example: Uniform Noise

- 0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .
- 1) Select n = 150.
- 2) Choose $d_{\lambda} = 3$
- 3) let Y be a normal random variable with mean 0 and variance .1 and take W_{λ} to be be defined by:

$$W_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} e_1 + Ye_1 + Ye_2 + Ye_3 & \frac{1}{3}\\ e_2 + Ye_1 + Ye_2 + Ye_3 & \frac{1}{3}\\ e_3 + Ye_1 + Ye_2 + Ye_3 & \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}$$



Example:Uniform Noise



(e) Community 1 Vectors (f) Community 2 Vectors (g) Community 3 Vectors





WRDPM

Example: Uniform Noise



(a) Dot Products



(b) WRDPM Network



Example: Multiresolution Communities

0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .



- 0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .
- 1) Select n = 150.



- 0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .
- 1) Select n = 150.
- 2) Choose $d_{\lambda} = 3$



- 0) Take P to be the Poisson distribution with parameters λ .
- 1) Select n = 150.
- 2) Choose $d_{\lambda} = 3$
- 3) Let X be an exponential random variable with exponent 2, and take W_{λ} to be be defined by:

$$V_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} Xe_1 + Ye_2 + Ye_3 & \frac{1}{3}\\ Xe_2 + Ye_1 + Ye_3 & \frac{1}{3}\\ Xe_3 + Ye_1 + Ye_2 & \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}$$



Example: Multiresolution Communities



(c) Community 1 Vectors (d) Community 2 Vectors (e) Community 3 Vectors



(f) All Vectors



WRDPM



(g) Dot Products



(h) WRDPM Network



Null Models for Social Networks Dot Product Models Special Cases

Edge Parameterized Models

Theorem

Let *n* be a fixed positive integer. For each pair (i, j) with $1 \le i < j \le n$ let $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist *n* real numbers $a_{\ell,\ell}$ for $1 \le \ell \le n$ such that the matrix $A_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$ is positive definite.

Proof.

Let the $a_{i,j}$ be selected arbitrarily. For $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ choose $a_{\ell,\ell} \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $a_{\ell,\ell} > \sum_{j \neq \ell} |a_{j,\ell}|$. Form a matrix A with $A_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$. This is a real symmetric matrix and so by the spectral theorem A has real eigenvalues. Applying Gershgorin's Circle Theorem to A gives that the eigenvalues of A lie in the closed disks centered at $a_{\ell,\ell}$ with radius $\sum_{j \neq \ell} |a_{j,\ell}|$. Intersecting these disks with the real line gives that the eigenvalues of A must lie in $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^n \left[a_{\ell,\ell} - \sum_{j \neq \ell} |a_{j,\ell}|, a_{\ell,\ell} + \sum_{j \neq \ell} |a_{j,\ell}| \right] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. Thus, all eigenvalues of A are positive and A is positive definite.

Null Models for Social Networks Dot Product Models Special Cases

Edge Parameterized Models

Corollary

Any generative network model, on a fixed number of nodes n, where the edge weight between each pair of nodes is drawn independently from a fixed probability distribution, possibly with different parameters for each pair, can be realized under the WRDPN.

Proof.

Let P be the k-parameter distribution from which the edge weights are drawn and for $1 \leq i \leq k$ let $a^i_{j,\ell} = a^i_{\ell,j}$ be the value of the ith parameter between nodes j and ℓ . Applying Theorem 1 to the collection $a^i_{j,\ell} = a^i_{\ell,j}$ gives a positive definite matrix A^i . Thus, there exists an $n \times n$ matrix X^i such that $(X^i)^T X^i = A$. To form the WRDPM that matches the given generative model we take $d_i = n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and to each node $1 \leq j \leq n$ assign the collection

of vectors given by the *j*th columns of the X^i for $1 \le i \le k$.

Null Models for Social Networks Dot Product Models Special Cases

Examples

- Erdos–Renyi
 - Single vector for W
 - Simplest null model

¹¹J. RANOLA, S. AHN, M. SEHL, D. SMITH, AND K. LANGE: A Poisson Model for random multigraphs, Bioinformatics, 26, (2010), 2004–2011.

Examples

- Erdos–Renyi
 - Single vector for W
 - Simplest null model
- Chung–Lu
 - One–dimensional model
 - Expected degree distribution
 - Poisson version: Ranola et al. (2010)¹¹

¹¹J. RANOLA, S. AHN, M. SEHL, D. SMITH, AND K. LANGE: A Poisson Model for random multigraphs, Bioinformatics, 26, (2010), 2004–2011.

Examples

- Erdos–Renyi
 - Single vector for W
 - Simplest null model
- Chung–Lu
 - One–dimensional model
 - Expected degree distribution
 - Poisson version: Ranola et al. (2010)¹¹
- WSBM
 - Finite W
 - Community structure
 - Inference

¹¹J. RANOLA, S. AHN, M. SEHL, D. SMITH, AND K. LANGE:

A Poisson Model for random multigraphs, Bioinformatics, 26, (2010), 2004–2011.

Null Models for Social Networks Dot Product Models Inference

Methodology

Want to find a collection of $d \times n$ vectors $\{X_i\}$ in order to approximate the entries of $A_{i,j}$ by $\langle X_i, X_j \rangle$. Equivalently, $X^T X \approx A$.





Methodology

Want to find a collection of $d \times n$ vectors $\{X_i\}$ in order to approximate the entries of $A_{i,j}$ by $\langle X_i, X_j \rangle$. Equivalently, $X^T X \approx A$.

- Positive semi-definite approximation
- Extra degrees of freedom along diagonal
- Introduce a diagonal term
- Alternating, iterative optimization¹²





Inference

Unweighted Collaboration Network



13

¹³V. BATAGELJ AND A. MRVAR: *Pajek datasets*, (2006), URL: http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/data/.



Inference

Weighted Collaboration Network



14

¹⁴V. BATAGELJ AND A. MRVAR: *Pajek datasets*, (2006), URL: http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/data/.



Null Models for Social Networks Dot Product Models Inference

Voting Data



J. LEWIS AND K. POOLE: *Roll Call Data*, voteview.com/dwnl.html.



Dimension Selection

Since the dimension of the embedding is intrinsically related to the realized community structure it is natural to try and make use of this relationship to determine the right choice of d. Motivated by the case of disjoint communities, where if we have an effective, normalized embedding we should have

$$\langle X_i, X_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{i and j belong to the same community} \\ 0 & \text{i and j belong to different communities} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the sum of intra-community dot products should be $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} {\binom{z_{\ell}}{2}}$. Similarly, the sum of the inter-community dot products should be 0. we define a stress function s depending on the community assignments after embedding.

$$s(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} {\binom{z_i}{2}} - \operatorname{s}_{\operatorname{intra}}(d) + \operatorname{s}_{\operatorname{inter}}(d)$$

Null Models for Social Networks Dot Product Models Dimension Selection

Dimension Example



Null Models for Social Networks Dot Product Models Dimension Selection

Coauthorship Revisited



Figure: Comparison of stress values for the computational geometry coauthorship network between the weighted and unweighted realizations. The weighted embedding significantly outperforms the binarized model.

