

Édouard Lucas:

The theory of recurrent sequences is an inexhaustible mine which contains all the properties of numbers; by calculating the successive terms of such sequences, decomposing them into their prime factors and seeking out by experimentation the laws of appearance and reproduction of the prime numbers, one can advance in a systematic manner the study of the properties of numbers and their application to all branches of mathematics.



Preview



Graphs, Geometry, and Gerrymandering

Daryl DeFord

MIT – CSAIL
Geometric Data Processing Group

September 22, 2019



Outline

- ① Introduction
- ② Political Redistricting
- ③ Markov Chain Monte Carlo
 - Discrete MCMC
 - Flip Proposals
 - Tree Based Methods
- ④ Applied Ensemble Analysis



Collaborators

- Prof. Moon Duchin
- Prof. Justin Solomon
- Lorenzo Najt
- VRDI Students

Tufts Math
MIT CSAIL
Wisconsin Math



Collaborators

- Prof. Moon Duchin
 - Prof. Justin Solomon
 - Lorenzo Najt
 - VRDI Students
- Tufts Math**
MIT CSAIL
Wisconsin Math
- *Complexity and Geometry of Sampling Connected Graph Partitions* (with L. Najt and J. Solomon), arXiv: 1908.08881.
 - *ReCombination: A family of Markov chains for redistricting* (with M. Duchin and J. Solomon), preprint.
 - *Competitiveness Measures for Evaluating Districting Plans* (with M. Duchin and J. Solomon), preprint.
 - Redistricting Reform in Virginia: Districting Criteria in Context (with M. Duchin), Virginia Policy Review, 12(2), 120-146, (2019).



Additional Materials

- Computational Approaches for Political Redistricting
- Interactive Notes on Discrete MCMC (with Scrabble)
- MGGG widgets
- VRDI materials



MORAL #1:



MORAL #1:

Computational Redistricting is
NOT a solved problem!



MORAL #2:



MORAL #2:

Computational Redistricting is
NOT a solved problem!




MORAL #2:

Computational Redistricting is
NOT a solved problem!



Arkansas Congressional Districts





Permissible Districting Plans

- Contiguity
- Population Balance
- Compactness
- Communities of Interest
- Municipal Boundaries
- Competitiveness/Responsiveness
- Incumbency Protection
- ...





Example: Iowa



- 4 Congressional Districts, 100 House Districts, 50 Senate Districts
- House districts nest into Senate districts
- Congressional districts made out of counties
- Independent committee with legislative approval
- No partisan data allowed





Example: Pennsylvania



- 18 Congressional Districts, 203 House Districts, 50 Senate Districts
- Zero-balanced population
- Legislature draws congressional districts - subcommittee draws legislative districts
- Partisan considerations allowed



Data Availability



Why analyze?


- Court cases
 - Detecting gerrymandering
 - Evaluating proposed remedies
- Reform Efforts
 - Establishing baselines
 - Potential impacts of new rules
- Commissions and plan evaluation
 - Unintentional gerrymandering
 - Full space of plans



Gerrymandering



Ugly Shapes



Ugly Shapes



NC12 #1




NC12 #2



NC12 #12

Ugly Shapes



Measurement Problems

Theorem (Bar-Natan, Najt, and Schutzman 2019¹)

There is no local homeomorphism from the globe to the plane that preserves your favorite compactness measure.

Problem (Barnes and Solomon 2018²)

Geographic Compactness scores can be distorted by:

- *Data resolution*
- *Map projection*
- *State borders and coastline*
- *Topography*
- *...*

¹ The Gerrymandering Jumble: Map Projections Permute Districts' Compactness Scores, arXiv:1905.03173

² Gerrymandering and Compactness: Implementation Flexibility and Abuse, arXiv:1803.02857




Isoperimetric Profiles


Total Variation Isoperimetric Profiles (with H. Lavenant, Z. Schutzman, and J. Solomon),
SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry, to appear (2019).



Partisan Imbalance



NC16



PA TS-Proposed





MORAL:



Computational Redistricting is
NOT a solved problem!




Discrete Partitioning




Discrete Partitioning




Arkansas Congressional Districts




Geography




Dual Graph




District # 1



District # 2



District # 3



District # 4

Permissible Districting Plans


We want to partition a given geography (graph), at a given scale, into k pieces, satisfying some constraints:

- **Contiguity**
- **Population Balance**
- Compactness
- Communities of Interest
- Municipal Boundaries
- Competitiveness/Responsiveness
- Incumbency Protection
- ...



Ensemble Analysis

- The wide variety in rules applied to districting problems (even in the same state) means that any single measure of gerrymandering will be insufficient/exploitable
- Instead we want to compare to large ensembles of other feasible plans.
- This allows us to understand the impacts of the underlying political and demographic geography on a wide collection of metrics.



Which ensembles?



Ensembles in Practice

- The appeal of an ensemble method is that you get to control the input data very carefully
- However, just because a particular type of data was not considered doesn't mean that the outcome is necessarily "fair"
- There are lots of "random" methods for constructing districting plans
- Most don't offer any control over the distribution that you are drawing from



Markov Chain Monte Carlo

- A methodology for sampling (and evaluating) complex state spaces
- Developed during the Manhattan project for statistical physics computations
- One of the “top 10” algorithms of the 20th century


Introduction to Discrete MCMC for Redistricting

Daryll DeFord

June 16, 2019

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Sign Examples	2
2	Probability Background	2
2.1	Distributions and Random Variables	2
2.2	Expected Value	3
3	Monte Carlo Sampling	6
4	Markov Chains	8
4.1	Markov Distributions	11
4.1.1	Periodicity	12
4.1.2	Reducibility	13
4.2	Ergodicity	13
4.2.1	Reversibility	15
5	Markov Chain Monte Carlo	15
6	Mixing Times	29
7	Lifted Walks	22
8	MCMC for Redistricting	24
8.1	Real Graph Partitioning	24
8.2	Data Gathering	25
8.3	Defining Feasible Partitions	25
8.4	Proposed Distributions	26
8.5	Acceptance Functions	26
8.6	Mixing Times and Temperature	29



Geometric Probability

Question

What is the expected distance between two random points on $[0, 1]$?



Geometric Probability

Question

What is the expected distance between two random points on $[0, 1]$?

Answer

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |x - y| dx dy = \frac{1}{3}$$



Geometric Probability

Question

What is the expected distance between two random points on $[0, 1]$?

Answer

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |x - y| dx dy = \frac{1}{3}$$

Question



What is the expected distance between two random points on $[0, 1]^n$?

Answer

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j)^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_n dy_1 \cdots dy_n = \quad \text{☹}$$



Random Points in the Cube



Properties of Monte Carlo Methods

- Draw (independent) samples from a random distribution
- Compute some measure for each draw
- Repeat lots and lots of times
- Average/aggregate the derived data



Properties of Monte Carlo Methods

- Draw (independent) samples from a random distribution
- Compute some measure for each draw
- Repeat lots and lots of times
- Average/aggregate the derived data

Today, we tend to take access to random numbers for granted but some of the first applications of Monte Carlo were physical systems for generating random numbers.



What is a Markov chain?

Definition (Markov Chain)

A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots , is called a Markov Chain if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n : X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n : X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}).$$



What is a Markov chain?

Definition (Markov Chain)

A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots , is called a Markov Chain if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n : X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n : X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}).$$

Definition (Transition Probability)

Given a finite state space $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ we can specify a Markov chain over X with transition probabilities $p_{i,j} = \mathbb{P}(X_m = i : X_{m-1} = j)$ and associated transition matrix $P = [p_{i,j}]$.



Ant on a Keyboard



Desirable Adjectives

- Irreducible: A chain is irreducible if each state is (eventually) reachable from every other state.
- Aperiodic: A chain is aperiodic if for each state, the GCD of the lengths of the loops, starting and ending at that state is equal to 1.
- Steady State Distribution: A distribution π is said to be a steady state of the chain if $\pi = \pi P$. For simple random walks on graphs this is proportional to the degree of each node.



Key Theorem

If the chain is irreducible and aperiodic then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P^m = 1\pi$ for a unique π . Even better, if f is any function defined on the state space y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m are samples from π then,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f(y_i) = \mathbb{E}[f]$$

The key idea of MCMC is to create an irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain whose steady state distribution π is the distribution we are trying to sample from.



Proportional to a distribution!?!

A common way this arises is when we have a score function or a ranking on our state space and want to draw proportionally to these scores. Given a score $s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we want to sample from the distribution where the states appear proportional to s . That is, element $y \in X$ should appear with probability

$$\mathbb{P}(y) = \frac{s(y)}{\sum_{x \in X} s(x)}.$$

When $|X|$ is enormous, we don't want to/can't compute the denominator.



How does it work?

Notice that we can compute ratios of probabilities, since the denominators cancel:

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(z)}{\mathbb{P}(y)} = \frac{\frac{s(z)}{\sum_{x \in X} s(x)}}{\frac{s(y)}{\sum_{x \in X} s(x)}} = \frac{s(z)}{s(y)}.$$

This is the trick that turns out to allow us to draw samples according to s without having to compute the denominator directly.



Metropolis Procedure

Given that we have a given score function, proposal distribution, metric, and initial state X_0 , at each step of the Metropolis–Hastings chain X_1, X_2, \dots we follow this sequence of steps, assuming that we are currently at state $X_k = y$:

- 1 Generating a proposed state \hat{y} according to $Q_{y,\hat{y}}$.
- 2 Compute the acceptance probability:

$$\alpha = \min \left(1, \frac{s(\hat{y})}{s(y)} \frac{Q_{y,\hat{y}}}{Q_{\hat{y},y}} \right)$$

- 3 Pick a number β uniformly on $[0, 1]$
- 4 Set

$$X_{k+1} = \begin{cases} \hat{y} & \text{if } \beta < \alpha \\ y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



MCMC on partitions

- 1 Set constraints to define the state space
- 2 Start with an initial plan
- 3 Propose a modification
- 4 Verify that the modification satisfies the constraints
- 5 Accept using MH criterion
- 6 Repeat



MCMC on partitions

- 1 Set constraints to define the state space
- 2 Start with an initial plan
- 3 Propose a modification
- 4 Verify that the modification satisfies the constraints
- 5 Accept using MH criterion
- 6 Repeat

Why?



MCMC on partitions

- 1 Set constraints to define the state space
- 2 Start with an initial plan
- 3 Propose a modification
- 4 Verify that the modification satisfies the constraints
- 5 Accept using MH criterion
- 6 Repeat

Why?

- Control over sampling distribution and input data
- Possibility of local sampling
- Ergodic Theorem



MCMC on partitions

- 1 Set constraints to define the state space
- 2 Start with an initial plan
- 3 **Propose a modification**
- 4 Verify that the modification satisfies the constraints
- 5 Accept using MH criterion
- 6 Repeat



Why?

- Control over sampling distribution and input data
- Possibility of local sampling
- Ergodic Theorem



Single Edge Flip Proposals

- 1 Uniformly choose a cut edge
- 2 Change one of the incident node assignments to the other



- Mattingly et al. (2017, 2018) Court cases in NC and WI.
- Pegden et al. Assessing significance in a Markov chain without mixing, PNAS, (2017). Court case in PA.



Single Edge Ensembles





PA Single Edge Flip




Unconstrained Flip




Constrained Flip




Annealing




Annealing




Annealing




Annealing




Annealing



Annealing



Annealing



Uniform Sampling of Contiguous Partitions

Theorem (Najt, D., and Solomon 2019)

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is the class of connected planar graphs and $k \geq 2$. If there is a polynomial time algorithm to sample uniformly from:

- the connected k -partitions of graphs in \mathcal{C} ,*
- or the connected, 0-balanced k -partitions of graphs in \mathcal{C} .*

then $RP = NP$.



Uniform Sampling of Contiguous Partitions

Theorem (Najt, D., and Solomon 2019)

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is the class of connected planar graphs and $k \geq 2$. If there is a polynomial time algorithm to sample uniformly from:

- the connected k -partitions of graphs in \mathcal{C} ,*
- or the connected, 0-balanced k -partitions of graphs in \mathcal{C} .*

then $RP = NP$.

Theorem (Najt, D., and Solomon 2019)

Let G be any connected graph. Then let $G^{(d)}$ be the graph obtained by replacing each edge by a doubled d -star. Then the flip walk on partitions of family of graphs $G_{d \geq 1}^{(d)}$ is slowly mixing, in the sense the Cheeger constant is decaying exponentially fast. More specifically:

$$H(\text{Partition Graph}(G^{(d)})) = O(2^{-d})$$



Proof Outline Sketch

Following technique of Jerrum, Valiant, and Vazirani¹.

- ① Show that uniformly sampling simple cycles is hard on some class \mathcal{C}
 - ① Choose a gadget that respects \mathcal{C} and allows us to concentrate probability on long cycles
 - ② Count the proportion of cycles as a function of length
 - ③ Reduce to Hamiltonian path on the graph class
- ② Show closure of class under planar dual
- ③ Identify partitions with cut edges \mapsto simple cycles (via planar duality)
- ④ Conclude that sampling partitions would allow you to sample from cycles which would allow you to find Hamiltonian cycles



¹ M. Jerrum, L. Valiant, and V. Vazirani, Random generation of combinatorial structures from a uniform distribution, Theoretical Computer Science, 43 (1986), 169–188.



Proof Sketch – Planar 2-Partitions

Still following technique of Jerrum, Valiant, and Vazirani.

- ① Let \mathcal{C} be the planar connected graphs
 - ① Replace the edges with chains of dipoles
 - ② Hamiltonian hardness for \mathcal{C} given by ¹
- ② \mathcal{C} closed under planar duals
- ③ Identify partitions with cut edges (via planar duality)




¹ M. Garey, D. Johnson, and R. Tarjan, The Planar Hamiltonian Circuit Problem is NP-Complete, SIAM Journal on Computing, 5, (1976), 704–714.




Slow Mixing Example



Tree based methods



District



Spanning Tree



Tree Seeds Ensemble




Recombination Steps


- ① At each step, select two adjacent **districts**
- ② Merge the subunits of those two districts
- ③ Draw a spanning tree for the new super-district
- ④ Delete an edge leaving two population balanced districts
- ⑤ Repeat




Recombination Step Example




Recombination Step Example




Recombination Step Example




Recombination Step Example




Recombination Step Example



Recombination Step Example




AR Ensembles




PA Recombination Steps



Initial Seeds




Boundary Flip Mixing – Seeds



10,000,000 Flip Steps




Recombination Mixing – Seeds




20,000 Recombination Steps



Boundary Flip Mixing – Length



Recombination Mixing – Length



20,000 Recombination Steps



Applications

Nos. 18-422, 18-726

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ROBERT A. RUCHO, ET AL.,
Appellants,

v.

COMMON CAUSE, ET AL.,
Appellees.

*On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of North Carolina*

LINDA H. LAMONE, ET AL.,
Appellants,

v.



O. JOHN BENISEK, ET AL.,
Appellees.

*On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Maryland*


AMICUS BRIEF OF MATHEMATICIANS,
LAW PROFESSORS, AND STUDENTS IN SUPPORT
OF APPELLEES AND AFFIRMANCE




Outlier Example: NC




Outlier Example: NC




Outlier Example: VA




Outlier Example: VA



Baseline Example: VA




Mean-Median




Efficiency Gap



Baseline Example: PA




Mean-Median




Efficiency Gap




Reform Example: Competitiveness




UT




GA



WI



VA



MA



MORAL:

Computational Redistricting is
NOT a solved problem!



Try it at home!

- Draw your own districts with **Districtr**
 - <https://districtr.org>
 - Easy to generate complete districting plans in browser or on a tablet
 - Measures district demographics and expected partisan performance
 - Identifies communities of interest
- Generate your own ensembles with **GerryChain**¹
 - <https://github.com/mggg/gerrychain>
 - Flexible, modular software for sampling graph partitions
 - Handles the geodata processing as well as the MCMC sampling
 - Current support for a
 - Successfully applied in VA, NC, PA, etc.
- Data is available for your favorite state!
 - Census dual graphs with demographic information:
 - https://people.csail.mit.edu/ddeford/dual_graphs
 - Precincts with electoral results
 - <https://github.com/mggg-states>

¹Originally RunDMCMC



The End

Thanks!



General Tree Proposals

- ① Form the induced subgraph on the complement of the cut edges
- ② Add some subset of the cut edges
- ③ Uniformly select a maximal spanning forest
- ④ Apply a Markov chain on trees
- ⑤ Partition the spanning forest into k population balanced pieces



Special Cases

- Uniform Trees: Add all cut edges
- k -edges: Uniformly add k cut edges
- Recombination: Add all cut edges between one pair of districts.
- Super-Recombination: Take a maximal matching on the dual graph to the districts and add all cut edges between matched districts.
- Bounce Walk: Add a single cut edge between enough pairs of districts to make a tree in the dual graph of districts.



Special Cases

- Uniform Trees: Add all cut edges
- k -edges: Uniformly add k cut edges
- Recombination: Add all cut edges between one pair of districts.
- Super-Recombination: Take a maximal matching on the dual graph to the districts and add all cut edges between matched districts.
- Bounce Walk: Add a single cut edge between enough pairs of districts to make a tree in the dual graph of districts.

Question

What are the steady state distributions (and mixing times) of these walks?



Tree Partitioning Questions

- Characterizing the distribution on partitions defined by cutting trees!
- How bad is the best cut?
- Criteria for determining when a tree is ε cuttable?
- Criteria for determining when all spanning trees of a graph are ε cuttable?
- How hard is it to find the minimum ε for which a cut exists?
- As a function of ε what proportion of spanning trees are cuttable?
- As a function of ε what proportion of edges in a given tree are cuttable?
- What is the fastest way to sample uniformly from $k - 1$ balanced cut edges?




General Merge Proposals

- 1 At each step, select two adjacent **districts**
- 2 Merge the subunits of those two districts
- 3 Bipartition the new super-district
- 4 Repeat
- 5 (Optional) Mix with single edge flips



General Merge Proposals


- 1 At each step, select two adjacent **districts**
- 2 Merge the subunits of those two districts
- 3 Bipartition the new super-district
- 4 Repeat
- 5 (Optional) Mix with single edge flips



Before



During



After

Bipartitioning Methods



- Trees!
- Flood Fills
- Path Fills
- Agglomerative/Hierarchical
- Spectral
- Min Cut

More details (and colorful figures) at:





<https://www.overleaf.com/read/zpmyzqmpvmnx>




Ensemble Example: NC



Ensemble Example: NC



Ensemble Example: PA



Ensemble Example: PA

