Cardelli's

6898: Advanced Topics in Software Design MIT Lab for Computer Science March 11, 2002 Daniel Jackson

cardelli's motivation

separate compilation

- vital for writing, delivering, maintaining libraries
- > but often things go wrong
- module designers lost sight of original aims

linking has become complicated

- so develop a formal model to help reason
- treat linking outside the programming language

Luca Cardelli. Program Fragments, Linking & Modularization. POPL 1997

separate compilation goots

missing language features

no interface/impl distinction, esp. in untyped languages

global analysis required

multimethods, overloading, ML modules

can't compile library without client

- templates in C++, Ada, Modula-3
- overloading in Java (David Griswold)

runtime type errors despite static types

- covariance in Eiffel
- may link with old library by mistake
- class loading problems

our motivation

Units: a new modularity mechanism

- » will be presented on wednesday by Findler
- have much in common with Cardelli's model

nice example of simple theory

- shows how to capture essence of a problem
- use as reference model for more complex systems

challenge: a nice final project

- recast Cardelli's theory in Alloy
- » might be simpler: no decl ordering
- check theorems automatically
- explore variants

key ideas

focus on type checking: the hardest part

- work at source code level
- > compilation is fragmenting bindings
- > linking is substitution (ie, inlining)

linksets: a simple configuration language

- a linkset is a collection of fragments to be linked
- each fragment has
- a name a list of imports an export
- a linkset has an external interface empty for a program, non-empty for a library its imports and exports

judgments

the judgment $E \vdash a$: A means

- in the environment E
- expression a has type A

examples

- f: int \rightarrow int \vdash f(3): int
- f: int \rightarrow int, i: int \vdash f(i): int
- > g: int \rightarrow int \vdash f(x){return g(x)}: int \rightarrow int

environment

- $\langle \emptyset, x_1 : A_1, ..., x_n : A_n \rangle$ $\langle \emptyset, x_1 : A_1, ..., x_n : A_n \rangle$ $\langle \emptyset, x_1 : A_1, ..., x_n : A_n \rangle$ $\langle \emptyset, x_1 : A_1, ..., x_n : A_n \rangle$ $\langle \emptyset, x_1 : A_1, ..., x_n : A_n \rangle$ $\langle \emptyset, x_1 : A_1, ..., x_n : A_n \rangle$

lambda calculus

lambda calculus

- a toy language for theoretical investigation
- if you understand Scheme, you'll understand it
- Cardelli uses the variant 'F1': explicit, first-order types

syntax

```
types
                                       > terms
                                                                 A, B ::= K
                           a, b ::= x
                                                   A \rightarrow B
b (a)
             lambda (x: A) b
application
              abstraction
                          variable
                                                  function type
                                                                base type
```

type checking

expressed as inference rules

- \rightarrow if f has the type A \rightarrow B (in environment E)
- > ... and a has the type A
- > ... then f(a) has the type B

$$E \vdash f: A \rightarrow B, E \vdash a: A$$

 $E \vdash f(a): B$

$$E, x : A \vdash b : B, \qquad E \vdash a : A$$

 $E \vdash lambda (x : A) b : A \rightarrow B$

 $E \vdash x : E(x)$

E T

linksets

```
|\varnothing|
f # (\varnothing \vdash lambda (x: int) x: int\rightarrow int),
main#(\varnothing, f: int \rightarrow int \vdash f(3): int)
```

represents these two fragments:

```
fragment f: int -> int import nothing begin lambda (x: int) x end
```

fragment main: int import f: int -> int begin f(3) end

definitions

general form of a linkset

$$L \equiv E_0 \mid x_1 \# E_1 \vdash a_1 : A_1, ..., x_n \# E_n \vdash a_n : A_n$$

defined notions

- imported names $imp(L) = dom(E_0)$
- exported names $exp(L) = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ imported environments imports(L) = E_0
- exported environments exports(L) = $\{x_1 : A_1, ..., x_n : A_n\}$

well formed iff

- imports(L) and exports(L) are environments
- (E_0, E_i) is an environment
- $dom E_i \subseteq exp(L)$
- \rightarrow imp(L) \cap exp(L) = \emptyset

type checking (1)

general form of a linkset

$$L = E_0 \mid x_1 # E_1 \vdash a_1 : A_1, ..., x_n # E_n \vdash a_n : A_n$$

linkset is intra-checked iff

- E₀ is well-formed
- each fragment is well typed in isolation $E_0\,,E_i\vdash\ a_i:A_i$

type checking (2)

general form of a linkset

$$L = E_0 \mid x_1 # E_1 \vdash a_1 : A_1, ..., x_n # E_n \vdash a_n : A_n$$

linkset is inter-checked iff

- it's intra-checked
- if E_i has the form E', x: A, E and x is x_j then A is A_j

type matching

- here requires exact match
- could use subtyping instead

linking

linking steps

- let $L \equiv E_0 \mid x \# (\emptyset \vdash a : A), ..., y \# (x:A', E \vdash J)$
- then $L \hookrightarrow E_0 \mid x \# (\emptyset \vdash a : A), ..., y \# (E \vdash \mathcal{J}[x \leftrightarrow a])$ is a linking step
- \rightarrow write L \rightarrow * L' for reflexive transitive closure

note

linking requires an empty environment so build bottom-up, and no cycles

algorithm

› keep doing linking steps until or stuck (no further steps possible and some E_i not empty) either linked (all environments except E₀ empty)

properties of linking

```
reduction soundness & completeness
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        compatibility
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               termination
                                        linking soundness
                                                                                                                                                                                      and L \hookrightarrow *L"
if inter-checked(L) and L \hookrightarrow L'
                                                                      essentially that algorithm implements \hookrightarrow^* maximally
                                                                                                                                              then L' and L" are compatible
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       if L and L' are compatible linksets
```

then inter-checked(L')

modules

key idea

- > a simple fragment exports a value
- a module exports a binding client doesn't name the module itself!

bindings & signatures

> a binding is a list of definitions

x : int = 3, ...

a signature is a list of declarations

x:int

compilation

- a binding is like a linkset
- compilation transforms a binding into a linkset

separate compilation

key theorem

- » given two modules whose interfaces are compatible
- their compiled linksets are inter-checked

key ideas

two phases

- intra-checking: module is well typed
- inter-checking: module interfaces match

linking criteria

- easy to understand and predict behaviour
- allow partial linking, order independent
- linking preserves well-formedness properties

theory assumes

- modules are outermost (no hiding)
- no mutual references
- import/export types match exactly