

# Ensemble learning

## Lecture 13

David Sontag  
New York University

Slides adapted from Navneet Goyal, Tan, Steinbach,  
Kumar, Vibhav Gogate


# Ensemble methods

Machine learning competition with a \$1 million prize


## Leaderboard

Display top  leaders.

Rank	Team Name	Best Score	% Improvement	Last Submit Time
1	<a href="#">The Ensemble</a>	0.8553	10.10	2009-07-26 18:38:22
2	<a href="#">BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos</a>	0.8554	10.09	2009-07-26 18:18:28
<b>Grand Prize - RMSE &lt;= 0.8563</b>				
3	<a href="#">Grand Prize Team</a>	0.8571	9.91	2009-07-24 13:07:49
4	<a href="#">Opera Solutions and Vandelay United</a>	0.8573	9.89	2009-07-25 20:05:52
5	<a href="#">Vandelay Industries !</a>	0.8579	9.83	2009-07-26 02:49:53
6	<a href="#">PragmaticTheory</a>	0.8582	9.80	2009-07-12 15:09:53
7	<a href="#">BellKor in BigChaos</a>	0.8590	9.71	2009-07-26 12:57:25
8	<a href="#">Dace</a>	0.8603	9.58	2009-07-24 17:18:43
9	<a href="#">Opera Solutions</a>	0.8611	9.49	2009-07-26 18:02:08
10	<a href="#">BellKor</a>	0.8612	9.48	2009-07-26 17:19:11
11	<a href="#">BigChaos</a>	0.8613	9.47	2009-06-23 23:06:52
12	<a href="#">Feeds2</a>	0.8613	9.47	2009-07-24 20:06:46
<b>Progress Prize 2008 - RMSE = 0.8616 - Winning Team: BellKor in BigChaos</b>				
13	<a href="#">xianqiang</a>	0.8633	9.26	2009-07-21 02:04:40
14	<a href="#">Gravity</a>	0.8634	9.25	2009-07-26 15:58:34
15	<a href="#">Ces</a>	0.8642	9.17	2009-07-25 17:42:38
16	<a href="#">Invisible Ideas</a>	0.8644	9.14	2009-07-20 03:26:12
17	<a href="#">Just a guy in a garage</a>	0.8650	9.08	2009-07-22 14:10:42
18	<a href="#">Craig Carmichael</a>	0.8656	9.02	2009-07-25 16:00:54
19	<a href="#">J Dennis Su</a>	0.8658	9.00	2009-03-11 09:41:54
20	<a href="#">acmehill</a>	0.8659	8.99	2009-04-16 06:29:35
<b>Progress Prize 2007 - RMSE = 0.8712 - Winning Team: KorBell</b>				
<b>Cinematch score on quiz subset - RMSE = 0.9514</b>				



# Bias/Variance Tradeoff



Hastie, Tibshirani, Friedman "Elements of Statistical Learning" 2001

# Reduce Variance Without Increasing Bias

- **Averaging** reduces variance:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{N} \quad (\text{when predictions are independent})$$

Average models to reduce model variance

One problem:


only one training set

where do multiple models come from?

# Bagging: Bootstrap Aggregation

- Leo Breiman (1994)
- Take repeated **bootstrap samples** from training set  $D$
- *Bootstrap sampling*: Given set  $D$  containing  $N$  training examples, create  $D'$  by drawing  $N$  examples at random **with replacement** from  $D$ .
- Bagging:
  - Create  $k$  bootstrap samples  $D_1 \dots D_k$ .
  - Train distinct classifier on each  $D_i$ .
  - Classify new instance by majority vote / average.

# General Idea



# Bagging

- Sampling with replacement

Training Data  
↙

Data ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Original Data	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Bagging (Round 1)	7	8	10	8	2	5	10	10	5	9
Bagging (Round 2)	1	4	9	1	2	3	2	7	3	2
Bagging (Round 3)	1	8	5	10	5	5	9	6	3	7

- Build classifier on each bootstrap sample
- Each data point has probability  $(1 - 1/n)^n$  of being selected as test data
- Training data =  $1 - (1 - 1/n)^n$  of the original data

# The 0.632 bootstrap


- This method is also called the *0.632 bootstrap*
  - A particular training data has a probability of  $1-1/n$  of *not* being picked
  - Thus its probability of ending up in the test data (not selected) is:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \approx e^{-1} = 0.368$$

- This means the training data will contain approximately 63.2% of the instances




# Bagging Example




decision tree learning algorithm; very similar to ID3

# CART decision boundary




# 100 bagged trees



shades of blue/red indicate strength of vote for particular classification

# Example of Bagging

Assume that the training data is:



Goal: find a collection of 10 simple thresholding classifiers that collectively can classify correctly.

-Each simple (or weak) classifier is:

( $x \leq K \rightarrow$  class = +1 or -1 depending on which value yields the lowest error; where  $K$  is determined by entropy minimization)

# Random Forests

- Ensemble method specifically designed for decision tree classifiers
- Introduce two sources of randomness: “Bagging” and “Random input vectors”
  - **Bagging method**: each tree is grown using a bootstrap sample of training data
  - **Random vector method**: **At each node**, best split is chosen from a random sample of  $m$  attributes instead of all attributes

# Random Forests




Figure 5.40. Random forests.

# Methods for Growing the Trees

- Fix a  $m \leq M$ . At each node
  - Method 1:
    - Choose  $m$  attributes randomly, compute their information gains, and choose the attribute with the largest gain to split
  - Method 2:
    - (When  $M$  is not very large): select  $L$  of the attributes randomly. Compute a linear combination of the  $L$  attributes using weights generated from  $[-1,+1]$  randomly. That is, new  $A = \text{Sum}(W_i * A_i), i=1..L$ .
  - Method 3:
    - Compute the information gain of all  $M$  attributes. Select the top  $m$  attributes by information gain. Randomly select one of the  $m$  attributes as the splitting node.

# Random Forest Algorithm: method 1 in previous slide

1. For  $b = 1$  to  $B$ :
  - (a) Draw a **bootstrap sample**  $\mathbf{Z}^*$  of size  $N$  from the training data.
  - (b) Grow a random-forest tree  $T_b$  to the bootstrapped data, by recursively repeating the following steps for each terminal node of the tree, until the minimum node size  $n_{min}$  is reached.
    - i. Select  **$m$  variables at random** from the  $p$  variables.
    - ii. Pick the best variable/split-point among the  $m$ .
    - iii. Split the node into two daughter nodes.
2. Output the ensemble of trees  $\{T_b\}_1^B$ .

To make a prediction at a new point  $x$ :

*Regression:*  $\hat{f}_{\text{rf}}^B(x) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B T_b(x)$ .

*Classification:* Let  $\hat{C}_b(x)$  be the class prediction of the  $b$ th random-forest tree. Then  $\hat{C}_{\text{rf}}^B(x) = \text{majority vote } \{\hat{C}_b(x)\}_1^B$ .



# Reduce Bias<sup>2</sup> and Decrease Variance?

- Bagging reduces variance by averaging
- Bagging has little effect on bias
- Can we average *and* reduce bias?
- Yes:
  - Boosting