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Motivation

Research project began in 1999

Problem:

- Graphics hardware tough to program because of low-level, non-portable interfaces

Solution:

- Shading languages give users high-level access to programmable features
Project Goals

1. Implement real-time shading language (RTSL)
2. Support a variety of hardware
3. Generate efficient code
4. Investigate future hardware features
RTSL Language Features

Many features inspired by RenderMan:

- C-like syntax
- Data types and operators for graphics
- Surface and light shaders

Model:

- Single programmable pipeline with multiple computation frequencies
Multiple Computation Frequencies

- **Constant**
  - Evaluated less often
  - More complex math
  - Floating point

- **Per Primitive Group**
  - Evaluated more often
  - Simpler math

- **Per Vertex**
  - Evaluated more often
  - Simpler math
  - Fixed point

- **Per Fragment**
surface shader float4
anisotropic_ball (texref anisotex, texref star)
{
    // generate texture coordinates
    perlight float4 uv = {
        center(dot(B, E)),
        center(dot(B, L)),
        0, 1
    };

    // compute reflection coefficient
    perlight float4 fd = max(dot(N, L), 0);
    perlight float4 fr = fd * texture(anisotex, uv);

    // compute amount of reflected light
    float4 lightcolor = 0.2 * Ca + integrate(Cl * fr);

    // modulate reflected light color
    float4 uv_base = {
        center(Pobj[2]),
        center(Pobj[0]),
        0, 1
    };
    return lightcolor * texture(star, uv_base);
}
surface shader float4
anisotropic_ball (texref anisotex, texref star)
{
    // generate texture coordinates
    perlight float4 uv = { center(dot(B, E)),
                         center(dot(B, L)),
                         0, 1 };

    // compute reflection coefficient
    perlight float4 fd = max(dot(N, L), 0);
    perlight float4 fr = fd * texture(anisotex, uv);

    // compute amount of reflected light
    float4 lightcolor = 0.2 * Ca + integrate(Cl * fr);

    // modulate reflected light color
    float4 uv_base = { center(Pobj[2]), center(Pobj[0]),
                      0, 1 }; return lightcolor * texture(star, uv_base);
}
surface shader float4
anisotropic_ball (texref anisotex, texref star)
{
    // generate texture coordinates
    perlight float4 uv = {
        center(dot(B, E)),
        center(dot(B, L)),
        0, 1
    };

    // compute reflection coefficient
    perlight float4 fd = max(dot(N, L), 0);
    perlight float4 fr = fd * texture(anisotex, uv);

    // compute amount of reflected light
    float4 lightcolor = 0.2 * Ca + integrate(Cl * fr);

    // modulate reflected light color
    float4 uv_base = {
        center(Pobj[2]),
        center(Pobj[0]),
        0, 1
    };
    return lightcolor * texture(star, uv_base);
}
System Overview

Compiler Front End

Compiler Back End
Shading language abstraction:

*Surface and light shaders*
System Overview

Compiler Front End

- Combines surface and light shaders
- Maps shaders to intermediate abstraction

Compiler Back End
System Overview

Programmable pipeline abstraction:

Compiler Front End

Compiler Back End

Programmable pipeline abstraction:

*Pipeline programs*
System Overview

Compiler back end:
1. Modular design
2. Maps pipeline programs to hardware
System Overview

Compiler Front End

Compiler Back End

Hardware-specific shader object code
Single Compiler Front End

Simplified analysis:

- No data-dependent loops or conditionals
- All functions inlined
- All shading computations reduced to one directed acyclic graph (DAG)
Retargetable Compiler Back End

Two goals:
1. Virtualize hardware resources
2. Provide support for many hardware platforms
Virtualization

Primitive group back ends:
- Always executed on host — no virtualization needed

Vertex back ends:
- Can fall back to host (e.g. x86 assembly) if needed

Fragment back ends:
- Use multipass
- Works well for non-programmable hardware
- Harder for programmable hardware
Back End Modules

Host processor:
- C code with external compiler
- Internal x86 assembler

Hardware:
- Multipass OpenGL 1.2 with extensions
- NVIDIA vertex programs
- NVIDIA register combiners
- ATI vertex and fragment shaders
- Stanford Imagine processor
- DX9-like GPUs ...
Demo

OpenGL 1.2 (multipass)
- Constructing the RenderMan Bowling Pin

NVIDIA nv2x (register combiners)
- Textbook Strike
- Animated Fish
- Volume Rendering
Programmable hardware

- Very capable, but hard to use
- Need a shading language interface

Stanford shading system

- Shading language designed for hardware
- Programmable pipeline abstraction
- Retargetable compiler back end
- Runs in real-time on today’s hardware
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Coming Soon: DirectX 9

Increased fragment programmability:
- Similar to current vertex programs
- More complex operators
- Floating-point support

Already supported in our shading system:
- Updated language exposes new hardware features
- New back ends target DX9 hardware
Images

Produced using:
- OpenGL + NV_fragment_program
- NVIDIA NV30 emulation driver

Shaders consist entirely of fragment computations
Procedural Textures
Procedural Anti-Aliasing

Use new screen-space derivative operators

Aliased (45 ops)  Anti-aliased (74 ops)
Procedural Noise + Solid Textures

- Perlin’s original noise implementation
- Lots of computation and texture lookups (48 ops)
Wood Surface

- Originally a RenderMan shader by Larry Gritz
- See RenderMan Repository online
- Uses noise function 3 times
- 207 ops
Wood Surface
Wood Surface
What About Really Big Shaders?

Shading system abstraction:
- Conceptually, one rendering pass
- Internally splits shaders into passes if needed

Why multipass?
- Easy to write large shaders using high-level languages
- Large computations are important, even if too slow to run in real-time on today’s hardware
- Hardware more programmable, but still has resource limits
Resource Constraints Example

Vertex Interpolants

V0 V1 \cdotsVk

Instructions

TEX tex, coord;
DP3 bump, N, tex;

\cdots

MUL out, t, bump;

Registers

R0 R1 \cdots Rn

Textures

T0 T1 \cdots Tm
Virtualization Using Multipass

Basic idea:
- Split shaders into multiple passes; each pass satisfies all resource constraints.
- Intermediate results saved to texture memory and restored in later passes.
- Requires floating-point!

Problem:
- There are many ways to split a shader. Which one renders the fastest?
Pass Split Algorithm

Goals:

- Support arbitrarily large shaders
- Efficiently target programmable hardware

Support:

- Hardware with different resource constraints
- Hardware with different performance behavior

HWWS 2002 paper

- Eric Chan, Ren Ng, Pradeep Sen, Kekoa Proudfoot, Pat Hanrahan
Recursive Dominator Split (RDS)

1. Pass split example
2. Problem statement
3. Algorithm overview
4. Demo
Pass Split Example (1 of 5)

Source code

```c
// bowling pin, based on RenderMan bowling pin
surface shader floatv bowling_pin (texref pinbase, texref bruns, texref marks, floatv uv)
{
  // generate texture coordinates
  floatv uv_wrap = { uv[0], 10 * Pobj[1], 0, 1 }; // texture transformation matrices
  floatv uv_label = { 10 * Pobj[0], 10 * Pobj[1], 0, 1 }; // per-vertex scalar used to select front half of pin
  float front = select(Pobj[2] >= 0, 1, 0); // lookup texture colors
  floatv Base = texture(pinbase, t_base * uv_wrap); // compute lighting
  floatv Bruns = front * texture(bruns, t_bruns * uv_label); // compute surface color
  floatv Marks = texture(marks, t_marks * uv_wrap);
  floatv Cd = lightmodel_diffuse({ 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 1 }, { 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 1 });
  floatv Cs = lightmodel_specular({ 0.35, 0.35, 0.35, 1 }, { 0, 0, 0, 0 }, 20);
  return (Bruns over Base) * (Marks * Cd) + Cs;
}
```
Pass Split Example (2 of 5)

Intermediate Representation
Instruction DAG
(hardware ops)
Pass partitioning
(using RDS)
Pass Split Example (5 of 5)

Code generation

; pass 0
texcrd r0.rgb, t0
tex2d r1.rgb, t1
tex2d r2.rgb, t2
tex2d r3.rgb, t3
tex2d r4.rgb, t4
mul r1.rgb, r0, r1
mul r1.a, r0.r, r1
mul r2.rgb, r0.r2
mul r2.a, r0.r, r2
mul r0.rgb, r0, r3
mul r0.a, r0.g, r3
mad r0, r0, r4, r0
mad r0, r2, r0, r2

; pass 1
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
texcdrd r2.rgb, t2
texcdrd r3.rgb, t3
texcdrd r4.rgb, t4
mul r2.rgb, r0, r1
mul r2.a, r0.r, r2
mad r1, r1, r2, r3
mad r0, r0, r4, r1

; pass 2
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r2.rgb, t2
texcdrd r3.rgb, t3
texcdrd r5.rgb, t5
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
texcdrd r4.rgb, t4
mul r0.rgb, r0, r1
mul r0.a, r0.g, r3
mul r1.rgb, r0, r4
mul r1.a, r3, r4
texcdrd r0.rgb, r0
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
mad r0, r0, r0, r1

; pass 3
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
texcdrd r2.rgb, t2
texcdrd r4.rgb, t4
texcdrd r3.rgb, t3
texcdrd r5.rgb, t5
mul r2.rgb, r0, r2
mul r2.a, r2, r3
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
mul r0.rgb, r0, r1
mul r0.a, r0, r1
mad r0, r2, r0, r4

; pass 4
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r2.rgb, t2
texcdrd r3.rgb, t3
texcdrd r5.rgb, t5
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
texcdrd r4.rgb, t4
mul r0.rgb, r0, r1
mul r0.a, r0, r1
mul r1.rgb, r0, r4
mul r1.a, r3, r4
texcdrd r0.rgb, r0
texcdrd r1.rgb, r1
mad r0, r0, r0, r1

; pass 5
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
texcdrd r2.rgb, t2
texcdrd r4.rgb, t4
texcdrd r3.rgb, t3
texcdrd r5.rgb, t5
mul r2.rgb, r0, r2
mul r2.a, r0, r3
mad r1, r1, r2, r3
mad r0, r0, r4, r1

; pass 6
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
texcdrd r2.rgb, t2
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r3.rgb, t3
texcdrd r4.rgb, t4
add r1.rgb, r1, r3
add r1.a, r1, r3
mul r0, r0, r1
mad r0, r2, r0, r4
mad r0, r2, r0, r4

; pass 7
texcdrd r1.rgb, t1
texcdrd r2.rgb, t2
texcdrd r0.rgb, t0
texcdrd r3.rgb, t3
texcdrd r4.rgb, t4
add r1.rgb, r1, r3
add r1.a, r1, r3
mul r0, r0, r1
mad r0, r2, r0, r4
mad r0, r2, r0, r4
Multipass Partitioning Problem

Definitions:

- Each way of splitting a shader is a **partition**
- A **cost model** evaluates the cost of partitions
- A partition is **valid** if each pass satisfies all constraints

Task:

- Given a DAG and a cost model, find a valid partition with the lowest cost
RDS Algorithm Overview

Basic strategy to find best partition:

1. Greedy bottom-up merging for fewer passes
2. Search over multiply-referenced nodes for save vs. recompute

See paper for details
Demo

Shader:
- RenderMan bowling pin
- 1 point light source
- multiple projected texture lights

Hardware
- ATI Radeon 9700 (R300)
RDS Remarks

Pros:
- Supports arbitrarily large shaders
- Works on different architectures
- Usually within 5% of optimal (measured by cost)

Cons:
- Doesn’t support branching
- Doesn’t support multiple outputs
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Comparison To Other Languages

Compared to Cg:

1. Surface and light shaders
2. Single pipeline program split by computation frequency
3. Hides multipass

RTSL provides higher-level abstraction than Cg
RTSL to Cg

RTSL compiler

Cg compiler
RTSL to Cg

- Surface shader
- Light shaders
RTSL to Cg

RTSL compiler

V F

Cg compiler

- Cg vertex program
- Cg fragment program
RTSL to Cg (OpenGL)

RTSL compiler

Cg compiler

GL vertex program

GL fragment program
RTSL to Cg (DirectX)

RTSL compiler

Cg compiler

DirectX vertex shader

DirectX pixel shader
Summary

Current system:
- Next-generation fragment programmability
- Arbitrarily complex shaders via multipass
- Compiles to lower-level languages such as Cg
Final Thoughts

Industry will improve code generators

Co-existence of different types of shading languages
- Higher-level, domain-specific (e.g. RTSL)
- Lower-level, general (e.g. Cg or 3D Labs’ OpenGL 2.0 proposal)

Map wild algorithms to the GPU:
- Ray tracing
- Physical simulations (fluid flow, etc.)
- Cryptography
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