Recitation 14: GFS
Plan

- Comments on DPPRs
- Recitation Qs
- Setting for GFS
- How GFS works

Logistics

- Midterm graded next week
- Technical feedback on DPPR by tmrw
- Volunteers for recitation Qs next week?
Comments on DPPRs

1. Passive voice

2. Specifics

"GFS provides large amounts of storage across many machines to many clients concurrently."

"GFS provides hundreds of terabytes of storage across thousands of disks on over a thousand mutineers, and it is concurrently accessed by hundreds of clients."

3. Claims must have evidence.

"MySys is more efficient and secure than prior systems."

4. Compound adjectives

Single disk machine

Single-disk machine
Note on terminology:

GFS paper uses "master" for server that coordinates chunk servers.

We will use "main" instead (Katrina prefers "coordinator").

Most open-source projects & companies have deprecated use of "master" and we will follow that convention.
1. What assumptions does GFS rely on?
   - Few modifications, mostly appends
   - Large streaming reads, small random reads
   - B/W more important than latency

2. How does it exploit these assumptions?
   - Record append
   - Large chunk size

3. Why does GFS make these assumptions?
   - Google workload
   - Control both ends
   - Why systems people love the data center?
The Setting

- Google crawls the web and needs to store the data somewhere.
- Later, they need to process the data into a search index.
- Today, there's also YouTube, Maps, Analytics, ...

To be clear, this version of GFS probably not used anywhere today.

One of the innovations at Google was to use cheap but less reliable machines instead of heartier expensive ones.

Why?
- Price/perform ratio better for workstations
- If you have enough machines you'll have to handle failures anyways.

Fun Fact: Ghemawat was MIT PhD, advised by Kaashoek.
To understand GFS, let's try to design our own storage system.

**Attempt 1. Single Server**

Write problem in chat

+ Simple.
- Fault tolerance
- Capacity
- Throughput

**Attempt 2. Replicas of database**

Write problem in chat

+ Better tolerance to server faults!
- Potential for inconsistency.
  - Client crashes midway
  - Two clients write at same time
  - Could read inconsistent data (?)
- Also: Problems with scale?
  - What happens when FS replica is too big to fit on server?
Attempt 3

Client sends F/W regs through a single coordinator. 

- Keeps track of which file is where

+ No consistency issues
+ Can scale to very large # of desks

Main fails?

Throughput problem

Limited by throughput of main server.

GFS is essentially Attempt 3 with some improvements to handle throughput.
Key GFS properties

* Single main server

* Data reads/writes bypass main server
  - Can introduce some inconsistency.
  - Let app worry about it.

* Weak consistency guarantee
  - Two replicas might not store exactly the same copy of a file.
- Why does this provide fault tolerance?
- Why is performance good enough?
- What would happen if chunk size was very small?

Write is similar, except that primary must take a "lease" on file to be written (like a lock)
Record Append

Guarantee: Append at least once (maybe more!)

- Order one burrito... fulfilled "at least once"?!
- Different clients see different file contents.

Why is this useful?
- Many appends can happen concurrently.
- What happens if write fails?
- What happens if two clients write concurrently?
Problems?

- Main server can fail
  * Log - write important things to disk
  * Shadow main server

- Many files → throughput ↓
  * Main is a bottleneck

- Concurrent writes
  * Not great, but not terrible

- What if three servers fail?
- All main replicas fail?