

Name: _____ Section: Section 10 Date: 12pm noon

Content

1. Audience Appropriateness
2. Technical Details
3. Visual Aids
4. Creative

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

X = Weak

⊕ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Shameen									
Shameen	+	+	+	⊕	+				a little stiff at start, good project
Anton		-				-		-	COS? focus on team with approach not content
Mike		-	-			-	-		looking at screen; unmm; a bit fussy, mixed with
Aditya		+	⊕				-		assumed "minutes" we "left" for
Ben									pitched; screen shots included not good; spoke clearly
Anna	+	-	+	+					order & logically clear
Roberto					+			+	done good project; did video; gave concise
Bella									more ppt slides; well organized
									spoke fast; MHC → windows missed slides
									light screen; clear voice; webcam didn't
									begin - not clear; nice but present content
									organize content on slides

1. Audience Appropriateness
2. Technical Details
3. Visual Aids
4. Creative

1. Structure
2. Verbal Comm
3. Non-Verbal Comm
4. Impact.

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Ben Lu	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	Great speaking voice. Great Display using visual tools. Very easy to understand what RSS is.
Anna Ayuso	+	+	+	X	+	+	X	X	Great introduction. Good use of graphics. Technical details were very well explained but it seemed that lots of details were given at one time.
Roberto Carli	+	+	X	X	+	+	X	+	Good interaction with people. Good graphic to show what part he worked on. Showed composure despite graphic results.

Bella? 9/28/06

Section 10

Name: Michael Murray

Section: Wayne Neen

Date: 9/21/06

10

Content

1. Audience Appropriateness
2. Technical Details
3. Visual Aids
4. Creative

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Sharmeen	X	+	+		+	+	+		Appropriate level of detail
Ankon	+	+	+	+	+	+			Nice use of single slides, great structure
Aitya	+	+	+		+			+	Nice conclusions
Benjamin	+		+		+	+	+		Great slides, but simplistic for
Anna	X	+			+		+		Great intro, get lost in tech details
Roberto	+	+	X		+	+			liked conclusions, slides weren't
Bella	+	+	+		+		+		Talked a little soft + circular

Date: 9/21/09

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Sharmeen	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Very clear
Anton	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	X	Creative approach focusing on team work
Michael	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	X	Good structure, a bit dull
Ben	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	Very good, more technical detail
Anna	+		+	+	+			+	
Roberto	+		X		+	+	+	+	Easy style, coped well
Bella	+		+		+	+	+		Good pace, good intro

Johnson
Katz

12 pm - Meyer Date: 9/21/2006

Date: 9/21/2006

1.5ht Sensitive - sdog performance

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Sharmeen	+	+	+		+	+		+	Slides are colorful! good structure
Mickel		+		+	+	+		+	Good intro, interesting relevant used demo on slides.
Aditya		+	+				+	+	structure, good flow, description
Ben		+	+			+	+	+	a bit nervous but interesting should cope with the failure of a/c rather than pretend it's +
Anna		+			+	+			
Roberto		+		+		+	+		
Bella	+	+	+			+		+	Good starting slide! nice concept.

Name: Anna Ayuso

Section: 10

Date: 9/21/00

Content

1. Audience Appropriateness
2. Technical Details
3. Visual Aids
4. Creative

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Shawneen Brewster	+	+	+	+	+	a little bit shy	+	+	pics might have been neater for game experience like screen shot
Anton Katz	+		+		+	+	+		good job not over phased by pop up
Michael Murray	+	+			+	+			major make eye contact w/ audience
Ben Li	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		made into about actual project w/ you
Roberto Case			+	+		+	+		- you read at slides a bit too much? make eye contact! pictures?
Bella Liang	+		+		+	+	+		great job good command of material technical difficulties good setup, good examples // will use not made as clear as could be

Name: Sharmeen BrouwerSection: 10Date: 9/21/06

Content

1. Audience Appropriateness
2. Technical Details
3. Visual Aids
4. Creative

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
1 me									
2 Anton Katz	+	+	+		+	X		+	composed, redundant w/ a couple points but still well done overall composed well, spoke a little fast. but good w/ too technical
3 Michael Murray	+		X	+	+		+		took too softly, presentation very helpful got more comfortable as time went on
4 Aditya Kathmanam	+	+			X		+	+	strong, clear and confident speaker
5 Benjamin Lu		+		X	+	+			good explanation of RSS
6 Anna Ayuso	+	+			+		+	X	good transitions, great explanations, seemed slightly nervous.
7 Roberto Carli	X					+	+		very engaging, strong voice. handled lack of pictures well - too bad!
8 Pella Liang		+				+	+		relaxed speaker, composed,

Section: 10 Date: 9/21/06

Section: 10 Date: 9/21/06

Date: 9/21/06

Content

1. Audience Appropriateness
2. Technical Details
3. Visual Aids
4. Creative

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Sharmeen						x			a lot of tension in voice
Anton	+	x		+	x	+	+	+	focus of your thesis
Michael	+	+	x	x	+	+	+	x	improve glauciability, overall impact
Aditya	+	++	x		+				if you need slides, make them
Ben									need full screen image!
Anva	+	+	+	+	+	x			great context
Roberto			x					+	enthusiastic, good thinking on fly
Bella			+	+	x	+	+	+	better organization of ideas

27/2006 _____

Delivery

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Impact

X = Weak **+** = Strong

[illegible]