

Name: Seaton 13 Section: 3 pm Date: \_\_\_\_\_

- Content
1. Audience Appropriateness
  2. Technical Details
  3. Visual Aids
  4. Creative

- Delivery
1. Structure
  2. Verbal Communication
  3. Non-Verbal Communication
  4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Nina	+	X			X	+		+	too much motivation; impressive; not enough technical; relaxed slow start; didn't finish on time
Dan		X			X			X	manage Betas? abrupt end
Stoy		X	+		+		X		"Power transitions" nice gestures "policy off index" possible needs build;
Charles						+			vague on "step"
Ya-Ling	X	X							spoke softly at times; "infringe speech & gesture clock"
Dan	+	X			X	+			good motivation
Ashling									
Yvette									
Tim									
Marta									

*(circled)* Wish grade sheet?

Name: ROBERT TOSCANO

Section: 13

Date: 9/21/2006

- Content**
1. Audience Appropriateness
  2. Technical Details
  3. Visual Aids
  4. Creative

- Delivery**
1. Structure
  2. Verbal Communication
  3. Non-Verbal Communication
  4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
NIMA	X	+	X	+	X	+	X	+	Water treatment -
DAN	+	X	X	+	X	+	X	+	Checks
Joy	+	+	X	X	+	X	X	+	Dashboard - didn't understand for
ERIC		+	+	X	X	X	X	+	No punch lines
PETE		+	+	+		X			needed to be louder
MON RON		X	+		+		+		
TIM HILL		+	+		+	++		+	

Name:

MonRon Cho

Section:

13

Date:

09/21/06

- Content
1. Audience Appropriateness
  2. Technical Details
  3. Visual Aids
  4. Creative

- Delivery
1. Structure
  2. Verbal Communication
  3. Non-Verbal Communication
  4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Nima Veiseh			+				+		good use of props to help visualize size of product. Good control of voice.
Dan Wheeler						+			Dashboard awfully great. Glanceable slides
Joy Ebertz			+			+			Good tonal variation. Good level of technical det., 1. Don't look at screen
Eric DeMenthon		+				+	X	+	I find myself reading your slides. Good voice and gestures.
Charles Hung		+	X			+	+		I liked the numbers. Bad Reagan's slide
Petro Moran			+					+	Good simple slides, good body language
Robert Toscano						+	+		Loud voice, clear voice.
Tim Hill			+					+	



Name: Tim Hill

Section: 13

Date: 09/21/2006

- Content
1. Audience Appropriateness
  2. Technical Details
  3. Visual Aids
  4. Creative

- Delivery
1. Structure
  2. Verbal Communication
  3. Non-Verbal Communication
  4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Nina	+		+		X		+		It had examples, including visual ones. Needs stronger start & end time management
Dan					X				slides good mix of text & graphics
Joy		X	+			+			Explained project well, paragraphs had hands in pockets
Eric		+	+				X		Slides could have used color, what's a CDO?
Charles			X				+		Seems a little repetitive
Pete	+				X	X			It had quite a bit of time over time, simplifying technical details
Robert			+		X				
Monika						X			

Name: Jay Ebertz

Section: 13

Date: 9/21/00

- Content**
1. Audience Appropriateness
  2. Technical Details
  3. Visual Aids
  4. Creative

- Delivery**
1. Structure
  2. Verbal Communication
  3. Non-Verbal Communication
  4. Impact

X = Weak

+ = Strong

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Nima Nersisyan	+				+				looked at the screen a bit excessively well organized. Calm, collected.
Dan					X				Background - little weak. Good composure. Could have had a little more passion.
Eric			+						Good visuals, but were really involved - not necessarily bad but distracted slides very wordy. Good finishes good communication style.
Charles		X				+	+		felt choppy. Good flow - good use of number. Intro a bit weak.
Pete					+	X			could use an example w/ NET expansion.
Rob		+	+		X				A little too much background vs what did a little quiet. A little too much preface repetition. Good use of gestures.
MonRon						+			a little fast. Good structure & flow.
Tim			+						Some good use of visuals.

Name: Nima Verseh Section: 3pm Meyer Date: GJ-28

**Content**

1. Audience Appropriateness
2. Technical Details
3. Visual Aids
4. Creativity

**Delivery**

1. Structure
2. Verbal Communication
3. Non-Verbal Communication
4. Overall Effectiveness

*Other sheet lost*

-1 = Sub-par      0 = Average      +1 = Superlative

Speaker	Content				Delivery				Comments
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Robert				-			+		Great presentation More enthusiasm Good images Complated but visual
Pete			-				-		
War Ron				+			+		
Tim				-			*		

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Section: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Content	Delivery
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Audience Appropriateness</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ right level?</li> <li>○ appropriate technical language?</li> <li>○ keep audience attention?</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Technical Details</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ accurate?</li> <li>○ intuitive?</li> <li>○ spotty, incomplete, or confusing?</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Visual Aids</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ effective?</li> <li>○ omissions?</li> <li>○ number of slides</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Creative?</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Structure</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ introduction and a conclusion?</li> <li>○ smooth transitions?</li> <li>○ logical &amp; easy to follow?</li> <li>○ right amount of time?</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Verbal Communication</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ clear language?</li> <li>○ pace &amp; volume?</li> <li>○ interact with audience?</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Non-Verbal Communication</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ gestures?</li> <li>○ facial expression?</li> <li>○ body language?</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Overall Effectiveness</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ engaging &amp; interesting?</li> <li>○ memorable?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>