

**Part I**

**Proofs**



## Mathematical Proofs

This text is all about methods for constructing and understanding proofs. In fact, we could have titled the book *Proofs, Proofs, and More Proofs*. We will begin in Part I with a description of basic proof techniques. We then apply these techniques in chapter 4 to establish some very important facts about numbers, facts that form the underpinning of the world’s most widely used cryptosystem.

Simply put, a proof is a method of establishing truth. Like beauty, “truth” sometimes depends on the eye of the beholder, however, and it should not be surprising that what constitutes a proof differs among fields. For example, in the judicial system, *legal* truth is decided by a jury based on the allowable evidence presented at trial. In the business world, *authoritative* truth is specified by a trusted person or organization, or maybe just your boss. In fields such as physics and biology, *scientific* truth<sup>1</sup> is confirmed by experiment. In statistics, *probable* truth is established by statistical analysis of sample data.

*Philosophical* proof involves careful exposition and persuasion typically based on a series of small, plausible arguments. The best example begins with “Cogito ergo sum,” a Latin sentence that translates as “I think, therefore I am.” It comes from the beginning of a 17th century essay by the mathematician/philosopher, René Descartes, and it is one of the most famous quotes in the world: do a web search on the phrase and you will be flooded with hits.

Deducing your existence from the fact that you’re thinking about your existence is a pretty cool and persuasive-sounding idea. However, with just a few more lines of argument in this vein, Descartes [goes on](#) to conclude that there is an infinitely beneficent God. Whether or not you believe in a beneficent God, you’ll probably agree that any very short proof of God’s existence is bound to be far-fetched. So even in masterful hands, this approach is not reliable.

Mathematics has its own specific notion of “proof.”

**Definition.** A *formal proof* of a *proposition* is a chain of *logical deductions* leading to the proposition from a base set of *axioms*.

The three key ideas in this definition are highlighted: proposition, logical deduction, and axiom. These three ideas are explained in the following chapters, beginning with propositions in chapter 1. We will then provide *lots* of examples of proofs and even some examples of “false proofs” (*i.e.*, arguments that look like a proof but that contain mis-steps, or deductions that aren’t so logical when examined closely).

---

<sup>1</sup>Actually, only scientific *falsehood* can really be demonstrated by an experiment—when the experiment fails to behave as predicted. But no amount of experiment can confirm that the *next* experiment won’t fail. For this reason, scientists rarely speak of truth, but rather of *theories* that accurately predict past, and anticipated future, experiments.



## **Part II**

# **Mathematical Data Types**





**Part III**

**Counting**







**Part IV**

**Probability**



# Index

axioms, [35](#)

formal proof, [35](#)

logical deductions, [35](#)

proposition, [35](#)