

Aside: Cumulants

Let $m_1 = \mathbb{E}_D[X]$, $m_2 = \mathbb{E}_D[X^2]$, etc be moments

There's another basis that's sometimes more convenient

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_1 = m_1 \\ K_2 = m_2 - m_1^2 \\ K_3 = 2m_3 - 3m_1m_2 + m_1^3 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right.$$

cumulants

Fact: If $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$ then $K_i(x+Y) = K_i(x) + K_i(Y)$

Not true for moments!

Easier way to think about cumulants

$$\begin{aligned} K(t) &= \log \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i \frac{t^i}{i!} \end{aligned}$$

Now the fact is easy to prove

$$\log \mathbb{E}[e^{t(x+y)}] = \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{tx}] \mathbb{E}[e^{ty}])$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(x+y) \frac{t^i}{i!} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(x) \frac{t^i}{i!} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(y) \frac{t^i}{i!}$$

Many strange identities that come up
when we use tensor decompositions can
be understood thru power series

Independent Component Analysis

Given samples $y = Ax + b$ ↑ ↑ Gaussian noise
full rank independent coordinates

want to learn A

Claim: If each $x_i \sim N(0, 1)$, it's impossible

This is because both

$$y = Ax + b \text{ and } y' = A'Ux + b'$$

generate the same distribution (i.e. check $A = A'$)

Meta Theorem: If the x_i 's are nongaussian,
there are efficient algorithms to learn A
up to permutation and scaling of columns

Can we use tensor decompositions?

For now, let's assume $b = 0$

Then we can try $\mathbb{E}[y^4]$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n)^{\otimes 4}]$$

$$= \sum_{\text{is kcl}} \mathbb{E}[x_i x_j x_k x_l] A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3 \otimes A_4$$

D

Is D diagonal? If it was, could use Jennrich
Unfortunately not, consider

$$D_{i,j,j,i} = \mathbb{E}[x_i^2 x_j^2] > 0$$

Can we correct D to make it diagonal,
by subtracting off expressions involving
lower order moments?

Digression: Multivariate cumulants

$$K(\vec{x}) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\vec{t}^\top \vec{x}}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle K_i, \vec{x}^{\otimes i} \rangle}{i!}$$

K_1 is a vector, K_2 is a matrix, ... - K_i is
an i^{th} order tensor

Claim: If x_i 's are LL then K_i 's are diagonal

Proof: Consider the case $n=2$ and by LL

$$K_2(x_1 + x_2) = K_2(x_1) + K_2(x_2)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} K_2(\vec{t}) &= K_2(\vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2) \\ &= K_2(\vec{e}_1) + K_2(\vec{e}_2) \end{aligned} \right|_{\vec{t}=\vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2} \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &= e_1^T K_2 e_1 + e_2^T K_2 e_2 \\ \Rightarrow (e_1 + e_2)^T K_2 (e_1 + e_2) &= e_1^T K_2 e_1 + e_2^T K_2 e_2 \end{aligned} \right|_{\vec{t}=\vec{e}_1}$$

But this can only happen if K_2 is diagonal. \blacksquare

So the cumulants, whatever they are, give us a way to fix the moments

Note: Many works use cumulants w/o calling them that, can make identities look mysterious

Fact: The third and higher cumulants of a Gaussian are zero

So if x_i 's have nonzero cumulants:

cumulants + jennrich = ICA