

Semi-Random Models

def: A monotone adversary is given
G_nSBM and can modify it:

(1) add edge (u,v) btwn nodes in
same community

(2) delete edge (u,v) btwn nodes in
diff. communities

Seems helpful, but can it hurt?

Recall counting common neighbors ($p = \frac{1}{2}$, $q = \frac{1}{4}$)

$$\text{same } (p^2)\binom{n}{2} + q^2\binom{n}{2} = \frac{5n}{32}$$

$$\text{diff } pqn = \frac{4n}{32} \quad \text{delete}$$

After monotone changes, some pairs from
same comm. will have fewer common
neigh. than pairs from diff. comm.

Following [Feige, Killian] will give robust
algorithm via SDPs

Semi Definite Programming

$$\text{Primal} \quad \min \langle C, X \rangle \triangleq \sum_{ij} C_{ij} X_{ij}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \langle A_i, X \rangle = b_i \quad \forall i=1 \text{ to } m$$

$$X \geq 0$$

Can think of feasible region as

$$x \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall a, a^T x \geq 0$$

infinite set of linear constraints

Prop: Can be efficiently solved via the Ellipsoid algorithm

Caveat: only get optimal value $\pm \epsilon$, cannot "jump" to optimal value as in LPs

Duality

The dual is

$$\max b^T y$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum y_i A_i + s = c$$

$$s \geq 0$$

Lemma [Weak Duality] If x & y are feasible then

$$b^T y \leq \langle c, x \rangle$$

Proof: Since y is feasible, we have

$$\langle c, x \rangle = \langle \sum y_i A_i, x \rangle + \langle s, x \rangle$$

①

②

By feasibility of X , we have

$$\textcircled{1} = \sum y_i \langle A_i, X \rangle = b^T y$$

And because $S, X \geq 0$ we have

$$S = Y Y^T = \sum_i y_i y_i^T$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\textcircled{2} &= \langle S, X \rangle = \text{Trace}(S^T X) \\ &= \text{Trace}(Y^T X Y) \\ &= \sum_i y_i^T X y_i \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Back to Community Detection

We will solve the following relaxation
for minimum bisection

$$\min \frac{|E|}{2} - \sum_{(u,v) \in E} \frac{x_{u,v}}{2}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{u,v} x_{u,v} = 0$$

$$x_{u,u} = 1 \quad \forall u$$

$$X \geq 0$$

Notice if $(U, V \setminus U)$ is a bisection we can set $S \in \{ \pm 1 \}^{G^n}$ as

$$s_u = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } u \in U \\ -1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and $X = ss^T$.

It is easy to check X is feasible and achieves
obj. value $|E(u, V \setminus u)|$

Thm If $(p-a)n \geq C\sqrt{pn \log n}$ then
 whp over G_nSBM the value of the SDP
 is equal to size of its minimum bisection

We will pass to the dual to show there's no better soln than the planted one

$$\max \frac{|E|}{2} \leq \frac{y_u}{4}$$

$$\text{s.t. } M \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -A - y_0 J - Y \succeq 0$$

\uparrow \uparrow
 adjacency diagonal
 matrix matrix of y_i 's

LET'S guess the solution - can pretend we know the planted bisection

Let $O_u = \#$ neighbors of u of diff. community
 $S_u = \#$ " " " same community

Now we set $y_0 = 1$, $y_4 = 0_u - s_u$

Claim: For this choice of y_u 's we have

$$\frac{|E|}{2} + \frac{\sum y_u}{4} = |E(u, V \setminus u)|$$

What remains is to show $M = -A + J - Y \succeq 0$

From our discussion last time, we expect

$$\lambda_1(A) \sim \frac{p+\alpha}{2} n$$

$$\lambda_2(A) \leq 2\sqrt{pn} + (pn)^{1/4+o(1)}$$

Moreover $\lambda_1(A)$ should be covered by J

And the diagonal entries of Y should behave like

$$\sim \text{Bin}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n\right) - \text{Bin}\left(\frac{p}{2}, n\right)$$

Thus we expect

$$\lambda_{\min}(-A + J - Y) \gtrsim -\lambda_2(A) - \lambda_{\min}(Y)$$

$$\gtrsim \left(\frac{p-\alpha}{2}\right)n \pm C\sqrt{pn}$$

Robustness

Now let's prove it's robust

def. A function h is robust wrt monotone changes if

$$h(G) = b(G) \Rightarrow h(H) = b(H)$$

↑ ↑
minimum obtained from G
bisection via monotone changes

Lemma Suppose h satisfies the following conditions

$$(1) \forall G, h(G) \leq b(G)$$

(2) If $H = G + e$ then

$$h(G) \leq h(H) \leq h(G) + 1$$

then h is robust wrt monotone changes

Proof: Suppose $h(G) = b(G)$ and H is obtained by adding an edge within a community

$$\text{then } h(G) \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} h(H) \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} b(H) = b(G)$$

And so $h(H) = b(H)$

Suppose instead H is obtained by deleting an edge btwn communities. Then

$$h(G) - 1 \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} h(H) \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} b(H) = b(G) - 1$$

notice G and H
are swapped b/c
we added one edge
to H to form G

And so $h(H) = b(H)$ again. \square

Now let's verify the SDP satisfies the conditions of the lemma:

(1) $h(G) \leq b(G)$ because it is a relaxation

(2) Suppose $H = G + \{u, v\}$. Then

$$\text{Obj}' = \text{Obj} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_{u,v}}{2}$$

But because $X \geq 0$ and $x_{u,u} = x_{v,v} = 1$
we must have $|x_{u,v}| \leq 1$

otherwise the 2×2 subdeterminant would be negative

thus for any feasible X , the objective value can increase by at most one

So we have

Thm [Feige, Kilian] If $(p-q)n \geq C\sqrt{pn \log n}$
the SDP recovers the value of the exact minimum bisection w.h.p. in semirandom model