

## The Harshman-Jennrich Algorithm

This algorithm has been rediscovered many times, originates in psychometrics

Setup: We are given  $T$ , assumed to be of the form

$$T = \sum_{l=1}^r u^{(l)} \otimes v^{(l)} \otimes w^{(l)}$$

definition. We say two sets of factors

$$\{(u^{(l)}, v^{(l)}, w^{(l)})\} \text{ and } \{\hat{u}^{(l)}, \hat{v}^{(l)}, \hat{w}^{(l)}\}$$

are equivalent if there is a permutation  $\pi$  s.t.

$$u^{(l)} \otimes v^{(l)} \otimes w^{(l)} = \hat{u}^{\pi(l)} \otimes \hat{v}^{\pi(l)} \otimes \hat{w}^{\pi(l)}$$

i.e. they produce equivalent low rank decompositions

Main Question When are the factors of  $T$  determined up to equivalence?

Theorem [Harshman, Jennrich] Suppose the following conditions hold

(1) The vectors  $\{u^{(l)}\}$  are linearly indep.

(2) same for  $\{v^{(l)}\}$

(3) every pair of vectors in  $\{w^{(l)}\}$  are linearly indep.

Then the factors are uniquely determined up to equivalence, and there is a polynomial time algorithm to find them

The algorithm is simple

- Choose  $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^{n_3}$  uniformly at random, set

$$T_a = \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} a_i T_{(., ., i)} ; T_b = \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} b_i T_{(., ., i)}$$

- Compute the eigen decompositions of

$$T_a (T_b)^+ \text{ and } (T_a^+ T_b)^+$$

Let  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{V}$  be eigenvectors with non-zero eigenvalue

Pair up  $\hat{U}^{(i)}$  and  $\hat{V}^{(j)}$  iff their eigenvalues are reciprocals

- Solve for  $\hat{W}^{(i)}$  in the linear system

$$T = \sum_{i=1} \hat{U}^{(i)} \otimes \hat{V}^{(i)} \otimes \hat{W}^{(i)}$$

The analysis follows by tracking the structure of  $T$  through the algorithm, and using standard uniqueness facts about eigen decomp.

Let  $D_a = \text{Diag}(a^T w^{(i)})$ ;  $D_b = \text{Diag}(b^T w^{(i)})$

Lemma: we have that

$$T_a = U D_a V^T \text{ and } T_b = U D_b V^T$$

Proof: Since the operation of computing  $T_a$  from  $T$  is linear, we can do it just for a rank one term:

$$\text{If } T = U \otimes V \otimes W \text{ then } T_a = (a^T w) U \otimes V$$

Thus, in general, we have

$$T_a = \sum_{i=1}^r (a^T w^{(i)}) U^{(i)} \otimes V^{(i)} = U D_a V^T \quad \square$$

For simplicity, let's assume  $T_a$  and  $T_b$  are invertible. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_a T_b^{-1} &= \stackrel{\text{lemma}}{=} U D_a V^T (V^T)^{-1} D_b^{-1} U^{-1} \\ &= U D_a D_b^{-1} U^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

From property (3), almost surely the diag. entries of  
 $D_a D_b^{-1}$

will be distinct. Thus  $T_a T_b^{-1}$  has distinct eigenvalues  $\Rightarrow$  its eigen decomposition is unique  $\Rightarrow$  we can find  $U$ , up to a permutation/ rescaling of its columns

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}(T_a^{-1} T_b)^T &= ((N)^{-1} D_a^{-1} U^{-1} U D_b V^T)^T \\ &= ((V^T)^{-1} D_a^{-1} D_b V^T)^T \\ &= V D_a^{-1} D_b^{-1} V^T\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can determine  $V$ , up to a permutation/rescaling of its columns, again from uniqueness of the eigen decomp.

Moreover pairing succeeds, again because the diagonal entries of  $D_a^{-1} D_b$  are distinct

Finally, we show:

Lemma: The matrices  $U^{(i)} \otimes V^{(j)}$  are linearly independent

Proof: Suppose not. Then  $\exists \alpha_i$ 's s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (U^{(i)} \otimes V^{(i)}) = 0 \quad \text{Suppose wlog } \alpha_1 \neq 0$$

By condition (1), we know  $\exists x$  s.t.

$$x^T U^{(i)} \neq 0, x^T U^{(i)} = 0 \quad \forall i \neq 1$$

Now using the identity above, we get

$$(\alpha, \alpha^T U^{(1)}) V^{(1)} = 0 \Rightarrow V^{(1)} = 0$$

which contradicts condition (2).  $\square$

Why was this algorithm forgotten?

Psychometrics generally cared about uniqueness,  
and there are better non-algorithmic  
uniqueness theorems known

Now returning to factor analysis

Given:  $T = \sum_{i=1}^r u^{(i)} \otimes v^{(i)} \otimes w^{(i)}$ , when are the

factors determined up to equivalence?

Harshman - Jennrich: when  $\{u^{(i)}\}$  and  $\{v^{(i)}\}$  are  
linearly indep, and no pair in  $\{w^{(i)}\}$  are scalar  
multiples of each other