

Aside: Perturbation Bounds

So far:

generative model

conditional independence

low rank tensor

can be estimated
from samples

Phylogenetic trees

HMMs

GMMs

community detection

Jennrich's
Algorithm

parameter
learning

Main Question: what about sampling noise?

When is Jennrich's algorithm stable?

def: The condition number is

$$\kappa(M) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}(M)}{\sigma_{\min}(M)}$$

Lemma: Suppose $Mx = b$ and we are given \tilde{b} .

Let

$$\tilde{x} = M^{-1} \tilde{b}$$

$$\text{Then } \frac{\|\tilde{x} - x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \kappa(M) \frac{\|\tilde{b} - b\|}{\|b\|}$$

i.e. the condition number controls the relative error

Proof: We have

$$\tilde{x} - x = M^{-1}(b + \tilde{b} - b) - x$$

$$= M^{-1}(\tilde{b} - b)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\tilde{x} - x\| \leq \frac{\|\tilde{b} - b\|}{\sigma_{\min}(M)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|\tilde{x} - x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|\tilde{b} - b\|}{\|x\| \sigma_{\min}(M)} \quad (1)$$

Moreover $\|b\| \leq \sigma_{\max}(M) \|x\| \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\sigma_{\max}(M)}{\|b\|} \quad (2)$$

(1) + (2) completes proof \square

Ultimately we want perturbation bounds for eigenvalues/eigen vectors:

If $M = UDU^{-1}$ and \tilde{M} is close to M , when can we accurately estimate U ?

First we'll bound change in eigenvalues

Thm [Gershgorin Disk Thm] The eigenvalues of M are contained in

$$\bigcup_i D(M_{ii}, R_i)$$

disk in \mathbb{C} around M_{ii} , of radius R_i

$$\text{where } R_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |M_{ij}|$$

Proof: Let (x, λ) be an eigenvector-eigenvalue pair for M . Let

$$i = \operatorname{argmax}_i |x_i| \quad (\text{break ties arbitrarily})$$

Then from the eigenvector eqn

$$\sum_j M_{ij} x_j = \lambda x_i \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij} x_j = \lambda x_i - M_{ii} x_i \Rightarrow$$

$$|\lambda - M_{ii}| = \left| \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij} x_j}{x_i} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{M_{ij} x_j}{x_i} \right| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij} = R_i \quad \square$$

Now suppose $M = UDU^{-1}$ and $\tilde{M} = M + E$

Let $\delta = \min_{i \neq j} |D_{ii} - D_{jj}|$

Claim: If E is small enough, compared to δ and $\kappa(u)$, then \tilde{M} has distinct eigenvalues

Proof: We have

$$U^{-1} \tilde{M} U = D + \underbrace{U^{-1} E U}_{\text{can be controlled by } \kappa(u)}$$

can be controlled by $\kappa(u)$
and norm of E

So if E is small enough, the Gershgorin disks will be disjoint

Noed a continuity argument to ensure each disk contains one and only one eigenvalue. \square

What about the eigenvectors?

Now that we know \tilde{M} is diagonalizable, can write

$$\tilde{M} = \tilde{U} \tilde{D} \tilde{U}^{-1}$$

Moreover there is a natural pairing

btwn eigenvectors of M/\tilde{M}

$$u_i \leftrightarrow \tilde{u}_i$$

Suppose $\tilde{u}_i = \sum_j c_j u_j$. We'd like to show that $|c_j|$ is small for any $j \neq i$.

We have $\tilde{M}\tilde{u}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i\tilde{u}_i \Rightarrow$

$$\sum_j c_j \lambda_j u_j + E\tilde{u}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i\tilde{u}_i \Rightarrow$$
$$\sum_j c_j (\lambda_j - \tilde{\lambda}_i) u_j = -E\tilde{u}_i$$

Now let $w_j^T = j^{\text{th}}$ row of U^{-1} . Then

$$w_j^T \left(\underbrace{\sum_k c_k (\lambda_k - \tilde{\lambda}_i) u_k}_{c_j (\lambda_j - \tilde{\lambda}_i)} \right) = -w_j^T E \tilde{u}_i.$$

Thus u_i and \tilde{u}_i get close as E gets smaller

Going back to Jennrich's algorithm, as we take more samples

$$\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$$

This in turn implies

$$\tilde{T}_a \xrightarrow{N} T_a \text{ and } \tilde{T}_b \xrightarrow{N} T_b$$

when T_a and T_b are invertible it can be shown that

$$\tilde{T}_a^{-1} \xrightarrow{N} T_a^{-1} \text{ and } \tilde{T}_b^{-1} \xrightarrow{N} T_b^{-1}$$

And so finally

$$\tilde{T}_a \tilde{T}_b^{-1} \xrightarrow{N} T_a T_b^{-1}, \text{etc}$$

and we get estimators for the factors that converge at an inverse polynomial rate

Note: The expression is complicated, and involves condition numbers and separation btwn eigenvalues, etc