

# Complexity of Computing the Margin of Victory for Various Voting Rules

Ronald L. Rivest

Emily Shen

Lirong Xia



CAEC, Nov. 18, 2011

# Voting



voting rule



# Criteria for voting rules

- Lots of voting rules (plurality, approval, instant runoff voting, etc.) – How to choose one?
- “Traditional” criteria: monotonicity, consistency, majority, etc.
- More recently: computational complexity of manipulation (strategic voting)
- We consider: efficient auditability – specifically, computational complexity of computing **margin of victory** (related to manipulation problems)

# Margin of Victory (MoV)

- **Definition:** Given a profile of ballots, the *margin of victory* is the smallest number  $k$  such that  $k$  modified ballots could change the election winner
- Margin of victory is critical to ***efficient, effective post-election audits***
  - To provide a given level of statistical confidence, landslide election requires much less checking than a close election
- Margin of victory is a ***measure of closeness*** of election, suggests level of political mandate won by winner

# Margin of Victory Examples

- Plurality
  - A:10 votes, B: 15 votes, C: 4 votes
  - Margin of victory = 3
- Instant-runoff voting (IRV)

$A > B > C$	$B > A > C$	$C > A > B$
10	15	4

- Margin of victory = 1

# The MoV computational problem

- Computational problem MoV: compute margin of victory of a profile of ballots
- Decision problem MoVk: Is the margin of victory at most  $k$ ?
- MoV problem closely related to previously studied manipulation problems: UCM, bribery

# Margin of Victory & Related Manipulation Problems

Problem	Objective	By	Desired Complexity
Margin of Victory	Change the winner	Changing votes	Low
Unweighted Coalitional Manipulation	Make a given candidate win	Adding votes	High
Bribery	Make a given candidate win	Changing votes	High

# Our Results

Voting rule	Margin of Victory	Unweighted Coalitional Manipulation
Positional scoring rules Including Borda	This work	P (1 manipulator) [BTT89]
	P	NPC (2 or more) [XCP10] [DKNW11] [BNW11]
Plurality with runoff	P	P [ZPR09]
Copeland	NPC and FPT	P (1 manipulator) [BTT89]
		NPC (2 or more) [FHS08,10]
Maximin	NPC and FPT	P (1 manipulator) [BTT89]
		NPC (2 or more) [XZP <sup>+</sup> 09]
STV	NPC for MoV <sub>1</sub>	NPC [BO91]
Ranked pairs	NPC for MoV <sub>1</sub>	NPC [XZP <sup>+</sup> 09]
Nanson's rule	?	NPC [NWX11]
Baldwin's rule	?	NPC [NWX11]

# Poly-time margin algorithm for plurality with runoff

- Let  $d$  be the current winner
- For every  $k$ 
  - Check whether there is a way to make  $d$  not in the runoff by changing  $k$  votes
  - Check for every adversarial  $c$ , every threshold  $l$ , whether there is a way to change  $k$  votes such that
    - $c$  and  $d$  are ranked at the top for at least  $l$  times
    - Any other alternative is ranked at the top for no more than  $l$  times
    - $c$  beats  $d$  in their pairwise election

# IRV Margin of Victory = 1 is NP-Complete

- Proof by reduction from unweighted coalitional manipulation problem
- Tweak UCM1 profile  $P$  to get new profile  $P'$  by:
  - Adding a new candidate  $d$
  - Ranking  $d$  just below  $c$  in  $P$
  - Adding  $|P|+1$  voters who all rank  $d$  as 1st choice
- Show: MoV of  $P'$  is 1 if and only if UCM1 has a solution

# Summary and Future Work

- We studied complexity of computing the margin of victory for some common voting rules

## Future work:

- Complexity of MoVk ( $k > 1$ ) for IRV, ranked pairs
- Practical algorithms to compute/approximate margin of victory for IRV, ranked pairs
  - Heuristics, approximation algorithms