

Lecture 23

Lecturer: Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribe: Alex Cornejo

Recall

Definition 1 Function $\varepsilon(n)$ is negligible if $\varepsilon(n) < \frac{1}{n^c} \forall c$. Let $f : \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then $L_1(f) = \sum_S |\hat{f}(S)|$

Definition 2 $L \in BPP$ if there exists a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm \mathcal{A} such that.

- $x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr[\mathcal{A}(x) \text{ accepts}] \geq \frac{2}{3}$
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr[\mathcal{A}(x) \text{ accepts}] \leq \frac{1}{3}$

Definition 3 Statistical distance

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \max_{T \subseteq S} |\Pr[x \in T] - \Pr[x \in S]|$$

Plan for Today

- Computational Indistinguishability
- Pseudorandom Generators (and derandomizing BPP)
- Unpredictability

n random bits. \longrightarrow PRG \longrightarrow $m \gg n$ random bits.

How should we measure the amount of randomness? L_1 distance?, Kolmogorov Complexity?, we will focus on computational indistinguishability.

Computational Indistinguishability

Definition 4 (Computational Indistinguishability (C.I.)) Let X_n and Y_n be sequences of random variables on $\{0, 1\}^n$. We say the collections $\{X_n\}, \{Y_n\}$ are " $\varepsilon(n)$ -indistinguishable for time $t(n)$ " if \forall probabilistic $t(n)$ -time algorithm T , $|\Pr[T(X_n) = 1] - \Pr[T(Y_n) = 1]| \leq \varepsilon(n)$, $\forall n > n_0$ for some n_0 .

advantage of T

- If $\varepsilon(n)$ not specified then $\varepsilon(n) = \frac{1}{t(n)}$
- $X_n \stackrel{c}{\equiv} Y_n$ used for C.I.
- It is stronger to say that T is nonuniform, i.e. $t(n)$ size circuits.
- N.C.I. used for non-uniform C.I., which means that it also holds when given $\leq t(n)$ bits of advice.

Definition 5 (Pseudorandom (P.R.)) X_n is pseudo-random if $X_n \stackrel{c}{\equiv} U_n$.

Some nice theorems:

Theorem 6 If X_n, Y_n are N.C.I., then $\forall k = \text{poly}(n)$ $\underbrace{X_n^k, Y_n^k}_{k \text{ independent copies}}$ are N.C.I.

Theorem 7 If X_n, Y_n are C.I., and X_n, Y_n are polytime sampleable then $X_n^k \stackrel{c}{\equiv} Y_n^k$.

Definition 8 (PRG) [Blum-Micali-Yao] $G : \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ is a pseudo-random generator if $\ell(n) < n$ and $G(U_{\ell(n)}) \stackrel{c}{\equiv} U_n$. G is "efficient" if computable in time $\text{poly}(n)$.

Theorem 9 If there is an efficient PRG against time n with seed length $\ell(n)$ then $BPP \subseteq \bigcup_c DTIME(2^{\ell(n^c)} n^c)$.

In particular, using this theorem we get several interesting results by assuming different values of $\ell(n)$, for example:

Theorem 10 *There exists a PRG against nonuniform time $t(n)$ with seed length $O(\log t(n))$.*

However, notice that the theorem does not say if it is efficiently computable, and therefore it does not imply that $BPP = P$.

Theorem 11 *If there exists an efficient PRG then $P \neq NP$.*

Proof We prove the contrapositive of the statement, that is if $P = NP$ then no efficient PRG exists. Fix G and define $T(x)$ as:

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists y \text{ such that } G(y) = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The test $T(x)$ is such that $\Pr_{x \in G(U_{\ell(n)})} [T(x) = 1] = 1$ and $\Pr_{x \in U_n} [T(x) = 1] \leq \frac{2^{\ell(n)}}{2^n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore T distinguishes distributions $G(U_{\ell(n)})$ and U_n with advantage $\geq \frac{1}{2}$.

If we assume that G is efficiently computable, notice that $T \in NP$ since we can guess y and verify $G(y) = x$ in polynomial time since G . Therefore if $P = NP$ then T is an efficiently computable test that distinguishes G from the uniform distribution, which means that G is not efficiently computable – a contradiction. ■

Next-bit Unpredictable

Definition 12 *Next-bit unpredictable Let $\mathbb{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a distribution on $\{0, 1\}^n$. We say \mathbb{X} is next bit unpredictable if for every probabilistic polynomial time algorithm A there is a negligible function $\varepsilon(n)$ such that.*

$$\Pr_{x, i, \text{coins of } P} [P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_i] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon(n)$$

Notice that if \mathbb{X} were the uniform distribution then $\varepsilon(n) = 0$.

Theorem 13 *\mathbb{X} is pseudo-random if \mathbb{X} is next-bit unpredictable.*

Proof

- If \mathbb{X} is next-bit unpredictable $\Rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is not pseudo-random.

Assume

$$\Pr_{x, i, \text{coins of } P} [P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_i] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n^k}$$

In particular this means that $\exists i$ such that

$$\Pr_{x, \text{coins of } P} [P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_i] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n^k}$$

We now define the statistical test $T(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ as

$$T(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P(y_1, \dots, y_n) \neq y_i \\ 1 & \text{if } P(y_1, \dots, y_n) = y_i \end{cases}$$

So the probability that T passes is $\Pr_{y \in \mathbb{X}} [T \text{ passes}] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n^k}$, and $\Pr_{y \in U_n} [T \text{ passes}] = \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore T distinguishes \mathbb{X} and U_n with advantage $\geq \frac{1}{n^k}$, which means that \mathbb{X} is not pseudo-random.

- If \mathbb{X} is not pseudo-random \Rightarrow exists next-bit test.

Not enough time to prove in this lecture, but here is the outline:

- Use **hybrid** argument to construct next-bit predictor P

–

$$\begin{array}{rcl} U = & D_0 = & U_1, \dots, U_n \\ & D_1 = & X_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \\ & & \vdots \\ X = & D_n = & X_1, \dots, X_n \end{array}$$

- If distance between U and X is ε then there exists D_i, D_j with distance $\geq \varepsilon/n$.

■